
## Maziar Mohaddes

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8066387/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Head size in primary total hip arthroplasty. EFORT Open Reviews, 2018, 3, 225-231.	1.8	74
2	Use of dual-mobility cup in revision hip arthroplasty reduces the risk for further dislocation: analysis of seven hundred and ninety one first-time revisions performed due to dislocation, reported to the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register. International Orthopaedics, 2017, 41, 583-588.	0.9	52
3	Validation of inertial measurement units with optical tracking system in patients operated with Total hip arthroplasty. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 2019, 20, 52.	0.8	43
4	High Early Migration of the Revised Acetabular Component is a Predictor of Late cup Loosening: 312 cup Revisions followed with Radiostereometric Analysis for 2-20 Years. HIP International, 2015, 25, 471-476.	0.9	36
5	Uncemented or cemented revision stems? Analysis of 2,296 first-time hip revision arthroplasties performed due to aseptic loosening, reported to the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2019, 90, 421-426.	1.2	30
6	Long-lived plasma cells in human bone marrow can be either CD19+ or CD19–. Blood Advances, 2017, 1, 835-838.	2.5	29
7	Does surgeon experience affect patient-reported outcomes 1 year after primary total hip arthroplasty?. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2018, 89, 265-271.	1.2	28
8	No Increase in Survival for 36-mm versus 32-mm Femoral Heads in Metal-on-polyethylene THA: A Registry Study. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, 2018, 476, 2367-2378.	0.7	28
9	Trabecular metal acetabular components in primary total hip arthroplasty. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2018, 89, 259-264.	1.2	25
10	Similar Risk of Re-Revision in Patients after One- or Two-Stage Surgical Revision of Infected Total Hip Arthroplasty: An Analysis of Revisions in the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register 1979–2015 Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2019, 8, 485.	1.0	25
11	Can patient-reported outcomes predict re-operations after total hip replacement?. International Orthopaedics, 2018, 42, 273-279.	0.9	23
12	Short-term survival of the trabecular metal cup is similar to that of standard cups used in acetabular revision surgery. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2015, 86, 26-31.	1.2	22
13	Does the Risk of Rerevision Vary Between Porous Tantalum Cups and Other Cementless Designs After Revision Hip Arthroplasty?. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, 2017, 475, 3015-3022.	0.7	22
14	Contemporary posterior surgical approach in total hip replacement: still more reoperations due to dislocation compared with direct lateral approach? An observational study of the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register including 156,979 hips. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2019, 90, 411-416.	1.2	18
15	Reflecting on and managing the emotional impact of prosthetic joint infections on orthopaedic surgeons—a qualitative study. Bone and Joint Journal, 2020, 102-B, 736-743.	1.9	18
16	Implant survival and patient-reported outcome following total hip arthroplasty in patients 30 years or younger: a matched cohort study of 1,008 patients in the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2019, 90, 249-252.	1.2	17
17	Association between patient survival following reoperation after total hip replacement and the reason for reoperation: an analysis of 9,926 patients in the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2019, 90, 226-230.	1.2	14
18	Changes in health-related quality of life are associated with patient satisfaction following total hip replacement: an analysis of 69,083 patients in the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2020, 91, 48-52.	1.2	14

MAZIAR MOHADDES

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Is Parkinson's Disease Associated with Increased Mortality, Poorer Outcomes Scores, and Revision Risk After THA? Findings from the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, 2019, 477, 1347-1355.	0.7	13
20	Method of Fixation Does Not Influence the Overall Risk of Rerevision in First-time Cup Revisions. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, 2013, 471, 3922-3931.	0.7	12
21	A review of the efficacy of intraarticular hip injection for patients with hip osteoarthritis: To inject or not to inject in hip osteoarthritis?. Joint Diseases and Related Surgery, 2022, 33, 255-262.	0.6	12
22	High Proximal Migration in Cemented Acetabular Revisions Operated with Bone Impaction Grafting; 47 Revision Cups Followed with RSA for 17 Years. HIP International, 2017, 27, 251-258.	0.9	11
23	Does the use of the largest possible metal head increase the wear of vitamin E-doped cross-linked polyethylene? Two-year results from a randomized controlled trial. Bone and Joint Journal, 2021, 103-B, 1206-1214.	1.9	11
24	Exchange of Modular Components Improves Success of Debridement, Antibiotics, and Implant Retention. JBJS Open Access, 2020, 5, e20.00110-e20.00110.	0.8	10
25	Uncemented or cemented stems in first-time revision total hip replacement? An observational study of 867 patients including assessment of femoral bone defect size. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2021, 92, 143-150.	1.2	9
26	Precision of low-dose CT-based micromotion analysis technique for the assessment of early acetabular cup migration compared with gold standard RSA: a prospective study of 30 patients up to 1 year. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2022, 93, 459-465.	1.2	8
27	Postoperative Thromboprophylaxis With New Oral Anticoagulants is Superior to LMWH in Hip Arthroplasty Surgery: Findings from the Swedish Registry. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, 2019, 477, 1335-1343.	0.7	7
28	General Assembly, Research Caveats: Proceedings of International Consensus on Orthopedic Infections. Journal of Arthroplasty, 2019, 34, S245-S253.e1.	1.5	7
29	The Effect of Body Mass Index Class on Patient-Reported Health-Related Quality of Life Before and After Total Hip Arthroplasty for Osteoarthritis. JBJS Open Access, 2020, 5, e20.00100.	0.8	6
30	No increased risk of early revision during the implementation phase of new cup designs. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2016, 87, 31-36.	1.2	5
31	Is Preoperative Patient-Reported Health Status Associated with Mortality after Total Hip Replacement?. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2017, 14, 899.	1.2	4
32	No difference in whole-blood metal ions between 32-mm and 36- to 44-mm femoral heads in metal-on-polyethylene total hip arthroplasty: a 2-year report from a randomised control trial. HIP International, 2023, 33, 184-192.	0.9	4
33	The Use of Porous Titanium Coating and the Largest Possible Head Do Not Affect Early Cup Fixation. JBJS Open Access, 2020, 5, e20.00107-e20.00107.	0.8	3
34	Prior hip arthroscopy does not affect 1-year patient-reported outcomes following total hip arthroplasty: a register-based matched case-control study of 675 patients. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2021, 92, 408-412.	1.2	3
35	Iranian Joint Registry (Iranian National Hip and Knee Arthroplasty Registry). Archives of Bone and Joint Surgery, 2016, 4, 192-6.	0.1	2
36	EPOS trial: the effect of air filtration through a plasma chamber on the incidence of surgical site infection in orthopaedic surgery: a study protocol of a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e047500.	0.8	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Does cup position differ between trabecular metal and titanium cups? A radiographic propensity score matched study of 300 hips. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2020, 91, 682-686.	1.2	1
38	Influence of implant variations on survival of the Lubinus SP II stem: evaluation of 76,530 hips in the Swedish Arthroplasty Register, 2000–2018. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2021, , 1-6.	1.2	0
39	Similar outcome with a new anteverted or a straight standard stem: a randomized study of 72 total hip arthroplasties evaluated with clinical variables, radiostereometry, and DXA up to 2 years. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2021, , 1-7.	1.2	0