

Mads Brix Kronborg

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7981425/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

55
papers

1,114
citations

471509

17
h-index

434195

31
g-index

58
all docs

58
docs citations

58
times ranked

1366
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	His or para-His pacing preserves left ventricular function in atrioventricular block: a double-blind, randomized, crossover study. <i>Europace</i> , 2014, 16, 1189-1196.	1.7	144
2	Multimodality imaging-guided left ventricular lead placement in cardiac resynchronization therapy: a randomized controlled trial. <i>European Journal of Heart Failure</i> , 2016, 18, 1365-1374.	7.1	103
3	The "10 commandments"™ for the 2021 ESC guidelines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2021, 42, 4295-4295.	2.2	79
4	Prevalence and predictors of low voltage zones in the left atrium in patients with atrial fibrillation. <i>Europace</i> , 2018, 20, 956-962.	1.7	66
5	Early detection of atrial high rate episodes predicts atrial fibrillation and thromboembolic events in patients with cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> , 2015, 12, 2368-2375.	0.7	60
6	His and para-His pacing in AV block: feasibility and electrocardiographic findings. <i>Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology</i> , 2011, 31, 255-262.	1.3	57
7	Continuous monitoring after atrial fibrillation ablation: the LINQ AF study. <i>Europace</i> , 2018, 20, f312-f320.	1.7	50
8	Left and right ventricular lead positions are imprecisely determined by fluoroscopy in cardiac resynchronization therapy: a comparison with cardiac computed tomography. <i>Europace</i> , 2014, 16, 1334-1341.	1.7	43
9	Endo-/Epicardial Catheter Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation. <i>Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology</i> , 2018, 11, e005748.	4.8	43
10	Electrocardiographic patterns and long-term clinical outcome in cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Europace</i> , 2010, 12, 216-222.	1.7	42
11	Very long term follow-up of cardiac resynchronization therapy: Clinical outcome and predictors of mortality. <i>European Journal of Heart Failure</i> , 2008, 10, 796-801.	7.1	37
12	Long-term clinical outcome and left ventricular lead position in cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Europace</i> , 2009, 11, 1177-1182.	1.7	34
13	Electrically vs. imaging-guided left ventricular lead placement in cardiac resynchronization therapy: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Europace</i> , 2019, 21, 1369-1377.	1.7	32
14	Left ventricular performance during para-His pacing in patients with high-grade atrioventricular block: an acute study. <i>Europace</i> , 2012, 14, 841-846.	1.7	25
15	Adding the implantable cardioverter-defibrillator to cardiac resynchronization therapy is associated with improved long-term survival in ischaemic, but not in non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy. <i>Europace</i> , 2016, 18, 413-419.	1.7	22
16	Optimization of heart failure medication after cardiac resynchronization therapy and the impact on long-term survival. <i>European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy</i> , 2015, 1, 182-188.	3.0	20
17	European Society of Cardiology Quality Indicators for the care and outcomes of cardiac pacing: developed by the Working Group for Cardiac Pacing Quality Indicators in collaboration with the European Heart Rhythm Association of the European Society of Cardiology. <i>Europace</i> , 2022, 24, 165-172.	1.7	20
18	Non-contrast magnetic resonance imaging for guiding left ventricular lead position in cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology</i> , 2012, 33, 27-35.	1.3	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Long-term outcomes in a randomized controlled trial of multimodality imaging-guided left ventricular lead placement in cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Europace</i> , 2022, 24, 828-834.	1.7	16
20	Longer inter-lead electrical delay is associated with response to cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with presumed optimal left ventricular lead position. <i>Europace</i> , 2018, 20, 1630-1637.	1.7	14
21	Recurrent atrial flutter ablation and incidence of atrial fibrillation ablation after first-time ablation for typical atrial flutter: A nation-wide Danish cohort study. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2020, 298, 44-51.	1.7	14
22	Avoiding implant complications in cardiac implantable electronic devices: what works?. <i>Europace</i> , 2021, 23, 163-173.	1.7	14
23	An anterior left ventricular lead position is associated with increased mortality and non-response in cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2016, 222, 157-162.	1.7	13
24	Left atrial fibrosis predicts left ventricular ejection fraction response after atrial fibrillation ablation in heart failure patients: the Fibrosis-HF Study. <i>Europace</i> , 2020, 22, 1812-1821.	1.7	13
25	A randomized trial of contact force in atrial flutter ablation. <i>Europace</i> , 2020, 22, 947-955.	1.7	11
26	His Bundle Pacing: Techniques and Outcomes. <i>Current Cardiology Reports</i> , 2016, 18, 76.	2.9	10
27	The paced electrocardiogram cannot be used to identify left and right ventricular pacing sites in cardiac resynchronization therapy: validation by cardiac computed tomography. <i>Europace</i> , 2015, 17, 432-438.	1.7	8
28	Outcome after catheter ablation for left atrial flutter. <i>Scandinavian Cardiovascular Journal</i> , 2019, 53, 133-140.	1.2	8
29	Left Atrial Isolation and Appendage Occlusion in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation at End-Stage Left Atrial Fibrotic Disease. <i>Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology</i> , 2021, 14, e010011.	4.8	8
30	Association between right ventricular lead position and clinical outcomes in patients with cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Europace</i> , 2018, 20, 629-635.	1.7	7
31	Electrically guided versus imaging-guided implant of the left ventricular lead in cardiac resynchronization therapy: a study protocol for a double-blinded randomized controlled clinical trial (ElectroCRT). <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 600.	1.6	7
32	Reproducibility of measuring QRS duration and implications for optimization of interventricular pacing delay in cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Annals of Noninvasive Electrocardiology</i> , 2019, 24, e12621.	1.1	7
33	Electrophysiological findings during atrial fibrillation reablation: Extending from pulmonary vein reconnection to sequential bipolar voltage map information. <i>Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology</i> , 2020, 31, 885-894.	1.7	7
34	Discontinuation of oral anticoagulation and risk of stroke and death after ablation for typical atrial flutter: A nation-wide Danish cohort study. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2021, 333, 110-116.	1.7	7
35	Pacing in sinus node disease to prevent atrial fibrillation. <i>Expert Review of Cardiovascular Therapy</i> , 2012, 10, 851-858.	1.5	6
36	Rate of device-related infections using an antibacterial envelope in patients undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy reoperations. <i>Europace</i> , 2022, 24, 421-429.	1.7	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Risk of Cardiac Implantable Electronic Device Malfunctioning During Pencil Beam Proton Scanning in an In Vitro Setting. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2021, 111, 186-195.	0.8	6
38	Left atrial size and function as assessed by computed tomography in cardiac resynchronization therapy: Association to echocardiographic and clinical outcome. International Journal of Cardiovascular Imaging, 2017, 33, 917-925.	1.5	5
39	Non-infective left ventricular lead complications requiring re-intervention following cardiac resynchronization therapy: prevalence, causes and outcomes. Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology, 2022, 63, 69-75.	1.3	5
40	Left ventricular regional remodeling and lead position during cardiac resynchronization therapy. Heart Rhythm, 2018, 15, 1542-1549.	0.7	4
41	Association between implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy and different lead positions in patients with cardiac resynchronization therapy. Europace, 2018, 20, e133-e139.	1.7	4
42	Electroanatomical mapping and CT scan image integration guided pacing lead implantation: A case series and review of the recent literature. Heart Rhythm O2, 2020, 1, 341-350.	1.7	3
43	Left Atrial Function Determined by Cardiac Computed Tomography Predicts Device-Detected Atrial High-Rate Episodes in Patients Treated With Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2020, 44, 784-789.	0.9	2
44	Rate of permanent cardiac implantable electronic device infections after active fixation temporary transvenous pacing: A nationwide Danish cohort study. Heart Rhythm O2, 2022, 3, 50-56.	1.7	2
45	Recurrent atrial arrhythmia in a randomised controlled trial comparing contact force guided and contact force blinded ablation for typical atrial flutter. Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology, 2022, , 1.	1.3	2
46	Cardiac surgery in patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices and risk of device infections: a nationwide nested case-control study. Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology, 2023, 66, 897-904.	1.3	2
47	Social determinants of health and catheter ablation after an incident diagnosis of atrial fibrillation: a Danish nationwide cohort study. European Heart Journal Quality of Care & Clinical Outcomes, 0, , .	4.0	2
48	Can progression to permanent atrial fibrillation be prevented by pacing?: Figure 1. European Heart Journal, 2014, 35, 2349-2351.	2.2	1
49	Left ventricular performance during triggered left ventricular pacing in patients with cardiac resynchronization therapy and left bundle branch block. Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology, 2016, 46, 345-351.	1.3	1
50	Reproducibility and repeatability of identifying the latest electrical activation during mapping of coronary sinus branches in CRT recipients. Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology, 2020, 31, 2940-2947.	1.7	1
51	Bipolar versus quadripolar left ventricular leads for cardiac resynchronization therapy: evidence to date. Expert Review of Cardiovascular Therapy, 2021, 19, 1075-1084.	1.5	1
52	Spot-scanning proton therapy for targets with adjacent cardiac implantable electronic devices - Strategies for breast and head & neck cancer. Physics and Imaging in Radiation Oncology, 2022, 21, 66-71.	2.9	1
53	Lead complications after cardiac surgery in patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices. European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery, 0, , .	1.4	1
54	Guided left ventricular lead placement for cardiac resynchronization therapy - an opportunity for image integration: reply. European Journal of Heart Failure, 2017, 19, 1344-1344.	7.1	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Cardiac computed tomography-verified right ventricular lead position and outcomes in cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology</i> , 2022, , 1.	1.3	0