## Emily L Weiser

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7946443/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



FMILY | WEISED

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Does Garbage in the Diet Improve Reproductive Output of Glaucous Gulls?. Condor, 2010, 112, 530-538.	1.6	75
2	Weak effects of geolocators on small birds: A metaâ€analysis controlled for phylogeny and publication bias. Journal of Animal Ecology, 2020, 89, 207-220.	2.8	61
3	Effects of geolocators on hatching success, return rates, breeding movements, and change in body mass in 16 species of Arctic-breeding shorebirds. Movement Ecology, 2016, 4, 12.	2.8	51
4	Migratory connectivity of Semipalmated Sandpipers and implications for conservation. Condor, 2017, 119, 207-224.	1.6	50
5	Environmental and ecological conditions at Arctic breeding sites have limited effects on true survival rates of adult shorebirds. Auk, 2018, 135, 29-43.	1.4	40
6	Geographic variation in the intensity of warming and phenological mismatch between Arctic shorebirds and invertebrates. Ecological Monographs, 2019, 89, e01383.	5.4	39
7	Effects of environmental conditions on reproductive effort and nest success of Arcticâ€breeding shorebirds. Ibis, 2018, 160, 608-623.	1.9	34
8	Evaluating gull diets: a comparison of conventional methods and stable isotope analysis. Journal of Field Ornithology, 2011, 82, 297-310.	0.5	32
9	Simulating Retention of Rare Alleles in Small Populations to Assess Management Options for Species with Different Life Histories. Conservation Biology, 2013, 27, 335-344.	4.7	31
10	<scp>A</scp> llele <scp>R</scp> etain: a program to assess management options for conserving allelic diversity in small, isolated populations. Molecular Ecology Resources, 2012, 12, 1161-1167.	4.8	29
11	<b>Lifeâ€history tradeoffs revealed by seasonal declines in reproductive traits of Arcticâ€breeding shorebirds</b> . Journal of Avian Biology, 2018, 49, jav-01531.	1.2	29
12	Unexpected positive and negative effects of continuing inbreeding in one of the world's most inbred wild animals. Evolution; International Journal of Organic Evolution, 2016, 70, 154-166.	2.3	25
13	The Integrated Monarch Monitoring Program: From Design to Implementation. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 2019, 7, .	2.2	23
14	Delayed eggâ€laying and shortened incubation duration of Arcticâ€breeding shorebirds coincide with climate cooling. Ecology and Evolution, 2018, 8, 1339-1351.	1.9	22
15	Reduction of Garbage in the Diet of Nonbreeding Glaucous Gulls Corresponding to a Change in Waste Management. Arctic, 2011, 64, .	0.4	19
16	Annual adult survival drives trends in Arctic-breeding shorebirds but knowledge gaps in other vital rates remain. Condor, 2020, 122, .	1.6	16
17	Balancing sampling intensity against spatial coverage for a community science monitoring programme. Journal of Applied Ecology, 2019, 56, 2252-2263.	4.0	14
18	Challenges for leveraging citizen science to support statistically robust monitoring programs. Biological Conservation, 2020, 242, 108411.	4.1	13

EMILY L WEISER

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Demographic variation, reintroduction, and persistence of an island duck (Anas laysanensis ). Journal of Wildlife Management, 2013, 77, 1094-1103.	1.8	12
20	Wrangling distributed computing for high-throughput environmental science: An introduction to HTCondor. PLoS Computational Biology, 2018, 14, e1006468.	3.2	11
21	Consequences of ignoring spatial variation in population trend when conducting a power analysis. Ecography, 2019, 42, 836-844.	4.5	7
22	Fully accounting for nest age reduces bias when quantifying nest survival. Condor, 2021, 123, .	1.6	7
23	Effects of leg flags on nest survival of four species of Arcticâ€breeding shorebirds. Journal of Field Ornithology, 2018, 89, 287-297.	0.5	5
24	TrendPowerTool : A lookup tool for estimating the statistical power of a monitoring program to detect population trends. Conservation Science and Practice, 2021, 3, e445.	2.0	1