List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7911380/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Spatial cueing effects do not always index attentional capture: evidence for a priority accumulation framework. Psychological Research, 2022, 86, 1547-1564.	1.0	7
2	Does feature intertrial priming guide attention? The jury is still out. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2022, 29, 369-393.	1.4	13
3	The attentional capture debate: the long-lasting consequences of a misnomer. Visual Cognition, 2021, 29, 544-547.	0.9	8
4	Spatial cueing effects are not what we thought: On the timing of attentional deployment Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2021, 47, 946-962.	0.7	8
5	On the time course of Conscious and Unconscious Semantic Processing. Journal of Vision, 2021, 21, 2090.	0.1	0
6	An attentional blink in the absence of spatial attention: a cost of awareness?. Psychological Research, 2020, 84, 1039-1055.	1.0	4
7	The attentional blink unveils the interplay between conscious perception, spatial attention and working memory encoding. Consciousness and Cognition, 2020, 85, 103008.	0.8	2
8	Do semantic priming and retrieval of stimulus-response associations depend on conscious perception?. Consciousness and Cognition, 2019, 69, 36-51.	0.8	5
9	Splitting the attentional spotlight? Evidence from attentional capture by successive events. Visual Cognition, 2019, 27, 518-536.	0.9	11
10	Reexamining unconscious response priming: A liminal-prime paradigm. Consciousness and Cognition, 2018, 59, 87-103.	0.8	24
11	Attentional capture by irrelevant emotional distractor faces is contingent on implicit attentional settings. Cognition and Emotion, 2018, 32, 303-314.	1.2	12
12	Dissociating between the N2pc and attentional shifting: An attentional blink study. Neuropsychologia, 2018, 121, 153-163.	0.7	56
13	Contingent Attentional Engagement: Stimulus- and Goal-Driven Capture Have Qualitatively Different Consequences. Psychological Science, 2018, 29, 1930-1941.	1.8	32
14	Target activation and distractor inhibition underlie priming of pop-out: A response to Dent (this) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rg	BT /Overlo 0.7	ck <sub>1</sub> 10 Tf 50 2
15	Testing the Attentional Dwelling Hypothesis of Attentional Capture. Journal of Cognition, 2018, 1, 43.	1.0	21
16	Prior conscious experience enhances conscious perception but does not affect response priming☆. Cognition, 2017, 160, 62-81.	1.1	16
17	Contingent capture is weakened in search for multiple features from different dimensions Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2017, 43, 1974-1992.	0.7	20

<sup>18</sup>High level visual processing is not spared from the attentional blink. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 1201.0.10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Attentional capture and engagement during the attentional blink: A "camera―metaphor of attention Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2016, 42, 1886-1902.	0.7	22
20	Towards a resolution of the attentional-capture debate Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2015, 41, 1772-1782.	0.7	29
21	The Role of Conscious Perception in Attentional Capture and Object-File Updating. Psychological Science, 2015, 26, 48-57.	1.8	39
22	Comparing unconscious processing during continuous flash suppression and meta-contrast masking just under the limen of consciousness. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 969.	1.1	36
23	Inter-trial priming does not affect attentional priority in asymmetric visual search. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 957.	1.1	11
24	The same-location cost is unrelated to attentional settings: An object-updating account Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2014, 40, 1465-1478.	0.7	57
25	Synchronous contextual irregularities affect early scene processing: Replication and extension. Neuropsychologia, 2014, 56, 447-458.	0.7	63
26	Do conscious perception and unconscious processing rely on independent mechanisms? A meta-contrast study. Consciousness and Cognition, 2014, 24, 22-32.	0.8	33
27	The role of motor response in implicit encoding: Evidence from intertrial priming in pop-out search. Vision Research, 2013, 93, 80-87.	0.7	15
28	Orientation search is mediated by distractor suppression: Evidence from priming of pop-out. Vision Research, 2013, 81, 29-35.	0.7	13
29	Temporal position priming: Memory traces of recent experience bias the allocation of attention in time Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2013, 39, 1443-1456.	0.7	11
30	Visual consciousness and intertrial feature priming. Journal of Vision, 2013, 13, 1-1.	0.1	18
31	The role of motor response in implicit encoding: evidence from intertrial priming in pop-out search. Vision Research, 2013, 93, 80-7.	0.7	7
32	The P3 component of the ERP reflects conscious perception, not confidence. Consciousness and Cognition, 2012, 21, 961-968.	0.8	75
33	Integration Without Awareness. Psychological Science, 2011, 22, 764-770.	1.8	220
34	The role of search difficulty in intertrial feature priming. Vision Research, 2011, 51, 2099-2109.	0.7	34
35	Refining the dual-stage account of intertrial feature priming: Does motor response or response feature matter?. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2011, 73, 2160-2167.	0.7	37
36	Reevaluating the disengagement hypothesis. Acta Psychologica, 2010, 135, 127-129.	0.7	10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	A dual-stage account of inter-trial priming effects. Vision Research, 2010, 50, 1396-1401.	0.7	64
38	Intertrial Repetition Facilitates Selection in Time. Psychological Science, 2010, 21, 243-251.	1.8	27
39	Intertrial repetition affects perception: The role of focused attention. Journal of Vision, 2010, 10, 3-3.	0.1	33
40	Task-irrelevant stimulus salience affects visual search. Vision Research, 2009, 49, 1472-1480.	0.7	31
41	Neural Correlates of Subjective Awareness and Unconscious Processing: An ERP Study. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 2009, 21, 1435-1446.	1.1	125
42	Unconscious auditory information can prime visual word processing: A process-dissociation procedure study. Consciousness and Cognition, 2008, 17, 688-698.	0.8	23
43	Priming of Pop-out provides reliable measures of target activation and distractor inhibition in selective attention. Vision Research, 2008, 48, 30-41.	0.7	104
44	Intertrial target-feature changes do not lead to more distraction by singletons: Target uncertainty does. Vision Research, 2008, 48, 1274-1279.	0.7	31
45	Emotional priming of pop-out in visual search Emotion, 2008, 8, 151-161.	1.5	43
46	Implicit memory for spatial context in depression and schizophrenia Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 2008, 117, 954-961.	2.0	16
47	The role of within-dimension singleton priming in visual search Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2008, 34, 268-285.	0.7	23
48	Threat-related attentional bias in anxious and nonanxious individuals: A meta-analytic study Psychological Bulletin, 2007, 133, 1-24.	5.5	3,049
49	Effects of search mode and intertrial priming on singleton search. Perception & Psychophysics, 2006, 68, 919-932.	2.3	82
50	Grouping does not require attention. Perception & Psychophysics, 2006, 68, 17-31.	2.3	48
51	Effects of top-down guidance and singleton priming on visual search. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2006, 13, 287-293.	1.4	27
52	Temporal expectations modulate attentional capture. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2005, 12, 1112-1119.	1.4	32
53	Effects of Task Relevance and Stimulus-Driven Salience in Feature-Search Mode Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2004, 30, 1019-1031.	0.7	141
54	Does a salient distractor capture attention early in processing?. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2003, 10, 621-629.	1.4	61

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Attentional capture in singleton-detection and feature-search modes Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2003, 29, 1003-1020.	0.7	134
56	Object-based selection: The role of attentional shifts. Perception & Psychophysics, 2002, 64, 52-66.	2.3	95
57	Object features, object locations, and object files: Which does selective attention activate and when?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2000, 26, 1387-1400.	0.7	48
58	Attending to an object's color entails Attending to its location: Support for location-special views of visual attention. Perception & Psychophysics, 2000, 62, 960-968.	2.3	26
59	Object-based selection under focused attention: A failure to replicate. Perception & Psychophysics, 2000, 62, 1272-1279.	2.3	15
60	A salient distractor does not disrupt conjunction search. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 1999, 6, 93-98.	1.4	34