

Dominique Lamy

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7911380/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

60
papers

5,294
citations

201575

27
h-index

138417

58
g-index

65
all docs

65
docs citations

65
times ranked

4960
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Threat-related attentional bias in anxious and nonanxious individuals: A meta-analytic study.. Psychological Bulletin, 2007, 133, 1-24.	5.5	3,049
2	Integration Without Awareness. Psychological Science, 2011, 22, 764-770.	1.8	220
3	Effects of Task Relevance and Stimulus-Driven Saliency in Feature-Search Mode.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2004, 30, 1019-1031.	0.7	141
4	Attentional capture in singleton-detection and feature-search modes.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2003, 29, 1003-1020.	0.7	134
5	Neural Correlates of Subjective Awareness and Unconscious Processing: An ERP Study. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 2009, 21, 1435-1446.	1.1	125
6	Priming of Pop-out provides reliable measures of target activation and distractor inhibition in selective attention. Vision Research, 2008, 48, 30-41.	0.7	104
7	Object-based selection: The role of attentional shifts. Perception & Psychophysics, 2002, 64, 52-66.	2.3	95
8	Effects of search mode and intertrial priming on singleton search. Perception & Psychophysics, 2006, 68, 919-932.	2.3	82
9	The P3 component of the ERP reflects conscious perception, not confidence. Consciousness and Cognition, 2012, 21, 961-968.	0.8	75
10	A dual-stage account of inter-trial priming effects. Vision Research, 2010, 50, 1396-1401.	0.7	64
11	Synchronous contextual irregularities affect early scene processing: Replication and extension. Neuropsychologia, 2014, 56, 447-458.	0.7	63
12	Does a salient distractor capture attention early in processing?. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2003, 10, 621-629.	1.4	61
13	The same-location cost is unrelated to attentional settings: An object-updating account.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2014, 40, 1465-1478.	0.7	57
14	Dissociating between the N2pc and attentional shifting: An attentional blink study. Neuropsychologia, 2018, 121, 153-163.	0.7	56
15	Object features, object locations, and object files: Which does selective attention activate and when?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2000, 26, 1387-1400.	0.7	48
16	Grouping does not require attention. Perception & Psychophysics, 2006, 68, 17-31.	2.3	48
17	Emotional priming of pop-out in visual search.. Emotion, 2008, 8, 151-161.	1.5	43
18	The Role of Conscious Perception in Attentional Capture and Object-File Updating. Psychological Science, 2015, 26, 48-57.	1.8	39

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Refining the dual-stage account of intertrial feature priming: Does motor response or response feature matter?. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2011, 73, 2160-2167.	0.7	37
20	Comparing unconscious processing during continuous flash suppression and meta-contrast masking just under the limen of consciousness. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2014, 5, 969.	1.1	36
21	A salient distractor does not disrupt conjunction search. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 1999, 6, 93-98.	1.4	34
22	The role of search difficulty in intertrial feature priming. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2011, 51, 2099-2109.	0.7	34
23	Intertrial repetition affects perception: The role of focused attention. <i>Journal of Vision</i> , 2010, 10, 3-3.	0.1	33
24	Do conscious perception and unconscious processing rely on independent mechanisms? A meta-contrast study. <i>Consciousness and Cognition</i> , 2014, 24, 22-32.	0.8	33
25	Temporal expectations modulate attentional capture. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2005, 12, 1112-1119.	1.4	32
26	Contingent Attentional Engagement: Stimulus- and Goal-Driven Capture Have Qualitatively Different Consequences. <i>Psychological Science</i> , 2018, 29, 1930-1941.	1.8	32
27	Intertrial target-feature changes do not lead to more distraction by singletons: Target uncertainty does. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2008, 48, 1274-1279.	0.7	31
28	Task-irrelevant stimulus salience affects visual search. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2009, 49, 1472-1480.	0.7	31
29	Towards a resolution of the attentional-capture debate.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance</i> , 2015, 41, 1772-1782.	0.7	29
30	Effects of top-down guidance and singleton priming on visual search. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2006, 13, 287-293.	1.4	27
31	Intertrial Repetition Facilitates Selection in Time. <i>Psychological Science</i> , 2010, 21, 243-251.	1.8	27
32	Attending to an object's color entails Attending to its location: Support for location-special views of visual attention. <i>Perception & Psychophysics</i> , 2000, 62, 960-968.	2.3	26
33	Reexamining unconscious response priming: A liminal-prime paradigm. <i>Consciousness and Cognition</i> , 2018, 59, 87-103.	0.8	24
34	Unconscious auditory information can prime visual word processing: A process-dissociation procedure study. <i>Consciousness and Cognition</i> , 2008, 17, 688-698.	0.8	23
35	The role of within-dimension singleton priming in visual search.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance</i> , 2008, 34, 268-285.	0.7	23
36	Attentional capture and engagement during the attentional blink: A "camera" metaphor of attention.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance</i> , 2016, 42, 1886-1902.	0.7	22

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Testing the Attentional Dwelling Hypothesis of Attentional Capture. <i>Journal of Cognition</i> , 2018, 1, 43.	1.0	21
38	Contingent capture is weakened in search for multiple features from different dimensions.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance</i> , 2017, 43, 1974-1992.	0.7	20
39	Visual consciousness and intertrial feature priming. <i>Journal of Vision</i> , 2013, 13, 1-1.	0.1	18
40	Implicit memory for spatial context in depression and schizophrenia.. <i>Journal of Abnormal Psychology</i> , 2008, 117, 954-961.	2.0	16
41	Prior conscious experience enhances conscious perception but does not affect response priming. <i>Cognition</i> , 2017, 160, 62-81.	1.1	16
42	Object-based selection under focused attention: A failure to replicate. <i>Perception & Psychophysics</i> , 2000, 62, 1272-1279.	2.3	15
43	The role of motor response in implicit encoding: Evidence from intertrial priming in pop-out search. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2013, 93, 80-87.	0.7	15
44	Orientation search is mediated by distractor suppression: Evidence from priming of pop-out. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2013, 81, 29-35.	0.7	13
45	Does feature intertrial priming guide attention? The jury is still out. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2022, 29, 369-393.	1.4	13
46	Attentional capture by irrelevant emotional distractor faces is contingent on implicit attentional settings. <i>Cognition and Emotion</i> , 2018, 32, 303-314.	1.2	12
47	Temporal position priming: Memory traces of recent experience bias the allocation of attention in time.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance</i> , 2013, 39, 1443-1456.	0.7	11
48	Inter-trial priming does not affect attentional priority in asymmetric visual search. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2014, 5, 957.	1.1	11
49	Splitting the attentional spotlight? Evidence from attentional capture by successive events. <i>Visual Cognition</i> , 2019, 27, 518-536.	0.9	11
50	Reevaluating the disengagement hypothesis. <i>Acta Psychologica</i> , 2010, 135, 127-129.	0.7	10
51	The attentional capture debate: the long-lasting consequences of a misnomer. <i>Visual Cognition</i> , 2021, 29, 544-547.	0.9	8
52	Spatial cueing effects are not what we thought: On the timing of attentional deployment.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance</i> , 2021, 47, 946-962.	0.7	8
53	Spatial cueing effects do not always index attentional capture: evidence for a priority accumulation framework. <i>Psychological Research</i> , 2022, 86, 1547-1564.	1.0	7
54	The role of motor response in implicit encoding: evidence from intertrial priming in pop-out search. <i>Vision Research</i> , 2013, 93, 80-7.	0.7	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Do semantic priming and retrieval of stimulus-response associations depend on conscious perception?. <i>Consciousness and Cognition</i> , 2019, 69, 36-51.	0.8	5
56	An attentional blink in the absence of spatial attention: a cost of awareness?. <i>Psychological Research</i> , 2020, 84, 1039-1055.	1.0	4
57	The attentional blink unveils the interplay between conscious perception, spatial attention and working memory encoding. <i>Consciousness and Cognition</i> , 2020, 85, 103008.	0.8	2
58	Target activation and distractor inhibition underlie priming of pop-out: A response to Dent (this) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /Overlock_10 Tf 50 6	0.7	1
59	On the time course of Conscious and Unconscious Semantic Processing. <i>Journal of Vision</i> , 2021, 21, 2090.	0.1	0
60	High level visual processing is not spared from the attentional blink. <i>Journal of Vision</i> , 2017, 17, 1201.	0.1	0