

Eline M Bunnik

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7890181/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

48
papers

781
citations

567281

15
h-index

552781

26
g-index

50
all docs

50
docs citations

50
times ranked

1021
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	A tiered-layered-staged model for informed consent in personal genome testing. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2013, 21, 596-601.	2.8	96
2	Personal utility in genomic testing: is there such a thing?. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2015, 41, 322-326.	1.8	89
3	Personal genome testing: Test characteristics to clarify the discourse on ethical, legal and societal issues. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2011, 12, 11.	2.4	59
4	The New Genetics and Informed Consent: Differentiating Choice to Preserve Autonomy. <i>Bioethics</i> , 2013, 27, 348-355.	1.4	53
5	Perspectives on Communicating Biomarker-Based Assessments of Alzheimer's Disease to Cognitively Healthy Individuals. <i>Journal of Alzheimer's Disease</i> , 2018, 62, 487-498.	2.6	38
6	Ethics of routine: a critical analysis of the concept of "routinisation" in prenatal screening. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2018, 44, 626-631.	1.8	35
7	Informed Consent in Direct-to-Consumer Personal Genome Testing: The Outline of A Model between Specific and Generic Consent. <i>Bioethics</i> , 2014, 28, 343-351.	1.4	30
8	On the personal utility of Alzheimer's disease-related biomarker testing in the research context. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2018, 44, 830-834.	1.8	27
9	The role of disease characteristics in the ethical debate on personal genome testing. <i>BMC Medical Genomics</i> , 2012, 5, 4.	1.5	26
10	Ethical framework for the detection, management and communication of incidental findings in imaging studies, building on an interview study of researchers' practices and perspectives. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2017, 18, 10.	2.4	26
11	Secondary research use of personal medical data: attitudes from patient and population surveys in The Netherlands and Germany. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2021, 29, 495-502.	2.8	26
12	Little to lose and no other options: Ethical issues in efforts to facilitate expanded access to investigational drugs. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2018, 122, 977-983.	3.0	21
13	Implementing non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT) in the Netherlands: An interview study exploring opinions about and experiences with societal pressure, reimbursement, and an expanding scope. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 2020, 29, 112-121.	1.6	19
14	Toward a Framework for Assessing Privacy Risks in Multi-Omic Research and Databases. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2021, 21, 46-64.	0.9	19
15	Incidental findings in population imaging revisited. <i>European Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 31, 1-4.	5.7	17
16	Rethinking counselling in prenatal screening: An ethical analysis of informed consent in the context of non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT). <i>Bioethics</i> , 2020, 34, 671-678.	1.4	17
17	Ethical Considerations in Screening for Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder in the General Population. <i>Movement Disorders</i> , 2020, 35, 1939-1944.	3.9	16
18	Physicians Must Discuss Potential Long-Term Risks of Fecal Microbiota Transplantation to Ensure Informed Consent. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2017, 17, 61-63.	0.9	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The changing landscape of expanded access to investigational drugs for patients with unmet medical needs: ethical implications. <i>Journal of Pharmaceutical Policy and Practice</i> , 2017, 10, 10.	2.4	14
20	Should pregnant women be charged for non-invasive prenatal screening? Implications for reproductive autonomy and equal access. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2020, 46, 194-198.	1.8	14
21	The Framing of "Alzheimer's Disease": Differences Between Scientific and Lay Literature and Their Ethical Implications. <i>Gerontologist</i> , The, 2021, 61, 746-755.	3.9	13
22	Mainstreaming informed consent for genomic sequencing: A call for action. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2021, 148, 405-410.	2.8	13
23	Non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT): societal pressure or freedom of choice? A vignette study of Dutch citizens' attitudes. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2021, 29, 2-10.	2.8	11
24	What do patients with unmet medical needs want? A qualitative study of patients' views and experiences with expanded access to unapproved, investigational treatments in the Netherlands. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2019, 20, 80.	2.4	10
25	The Role of Physicians in Expanded Access to Investigational Drugs: A Mixed-Methods Study of Physicians' Views and Experiences in The Netherlands. <i>Journal of Bioethical Inquiry</i> , 2021, 18, 319-334.	1.5	10
26	Ex Situ Perfusion of Hearts Donated After Euthanasia: A Promising Contribution to Heart Transplantation. <i>Transplantation Direct</i> , 2021, 7, e676.	1.6	8
27	Informed consent practices for exome sequencing: An interview study with clinical geneticists in the Netherlands. <i>Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine</i> , 2022, 10, e1882.	1.2	7
28	The Impact of Incidental Findings Detected During Brain Imaging on Research Participants of the Rotterdam Study: An Interview Study. <i>Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics</i> , 2020, 29, 542-556.	0.8	5
29	Towards a Responsible Transition to Learning Healthcare Systems in Precision Medicine: Ethical Points to Consider. <i>Journal of Personalized Medicine</i> , 2021, 11, 539.	2.5	5
30	Factors of feasibility: an interview study of physicians' experiences of expanded access to investigational drugs in three countries. <i>Humanities and Social Sciences Communications</i> , 2021, 8, .	2.9	5
31	Uninformed consent in nutrigenomic research. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2017, 25, 789-790.	2.8	4
32	Ethical Issues in Research and Development of Epigenome-wide Technologies. <i>Epigenetics Insights</i> , 2020, 13, 251686572091325.	2.0	4
33	Prevention in the age of personal responsibility: epigenetic risk-predictive screening for female cancers as a case study. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2021, 47, e46-e46.	1.8	4
34	Inequitable Access to Transplants: Adults With Impaired Decision-Making Capacity. <i>Transplant International</i> , 2022, 35, 10084.	1.6	4
35	Why Exceptional Public Investment in the Development of Vaccines Is Justified for COVID-19, But Not for Other Unmet Medical Needs. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2021, 21, 22-25.	0.9	3
36	Exploring the Ethics of Implementation of Epigenomics Technologies in Cancer Screening: A Focus Group Study. <i>Epigenetics Insights</i> , 2021, 14, 251686572110636.	2.0	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Should Doctors Offer Biomarker Testing to Those Afraid to Develop Alzheimer's Dementia?. Journal of Bioethical Inquiry, 2022, , 1.	1.5	3
38	Naming and framing in genomic testing. Trends in Molecular Medicine, 2014, 20, 63-65.	6.7	2
39	A Model for Communication About Longshot Treatments in the Context of Early Access to Unapproved, Investigational Drugs. American Journal of Bioethics, 2018, 18, 34-36.	0.9	2
40	Impact of incidental findings on young adult participants in brain imaging research: an interview study. European Radiology, 2022, , 1.	4.5	2
41	Delineating the Scope of NIPT: Ethics Meets Practice. American Journal of Bioethics, 2022, 22, 34-36.	0.9	2
42	Let Us Not Take the Ethics Out of Innovative Practice: A Case Against Institutional Review. American Journal of Bioethics, 2019, 19, 36-38.	0.9	1
43	Financial considerations in expanded access policy for gene therapies: A tough nut to crack?. Molecular Therapy, 2021, 29, 1936.	8.2	1
44	Early Detection of AD Biomarkers and the Ethical Criteria for Screening Programs. AJOB Neuroscience, 2021, 12, 231-233.	1.1	1
45	Ethics of rooming-in with COVID-19 patients: Mitigating loneliness at the end of life. Journal of Critical Care, 2021, 67, 182-182.	2.2	1
46	[P3]: AN ADAPTED STAGED CONSENT MODEL FOR RECRUITMENT AND INFORMED CONSENT IN READINESS COHORTS: THE PERSPECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PREVENTION OF ALZHEIMER'S DEMENTIA CONSORTIUM (EPAD). Alzheimer's and Dementia, 2017, 13, P961.	0.8	0
47	[P4]: EXPECTATIONS RELATED TO THE IMPLICATIONS OF LEARNING ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE RISK AMONG COGNITIVELY HEALTHY RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS. Alzheimer's and Dementia, 2017, 13, P1437.	0.8	0
48	Response to letter entitled: Re: Mainstreaming informed consent for genomic sequencing: A call for action. European Journal of Cancer, 2021, 155, 310-312.	2.8	0