
Tom Christensen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7871034/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	How to Balance Individual Rights and Societal Security? The View of Civil Servants. Studies in Conflict and Terrorism, 2023, 46, 1150-1166.	0.8	4
2	Government technological capacity and public–private partnerships regarding digital service delivery: evidence from Chinese cities. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2023, 89, 95-111.	1.9	17
3	Policy attention and the adoption of public sector innovation. Public Management Review, 2023, 25, 1815-1834.	3.4	13
4	Leading groups: public sector reform with Chinese characteristics in a post-NPM era. International Public Management Journal, 2023, 26, 66-86.	1.2	3
5	Reputation Management and Administrative Reorganization: How Different Media Reputation Dimensions Matter for Agency Termination. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 2023, 33, 217-231.	2.2	5
6	Chinese University Administrations: Chinese Characteristics or Global Influence?. Higher Education Policy, 2022, 35, 255-276.	1.3	4
7	ICT Use in Central Government: Scope, Predictors and Effects on Coordination Quality. International Journal of Public Administration, 2022, 45, 273-286.	1.4	9
8	The longâ€ŧerm development of crisis management in China—Continuity, institutional punctuations and reforms. Review of Policy Research, 2022, 39, 282-302.	2.8	9
9	Scientization Under Pressure—The Problematic Role of Expert Bodies During the Handling of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Public Organization Review, 2022, 22, 291-307.	1.1	11
10	Crisis coordination in centralized regimes: Explaining China's strategy for combatting the COVID-19 pandemic. International Public Management Journal, 2022, 25, 1131-1150.	1.2	7
11	Corruption and Accountability in China's Rural Poverty Governance: Main Features from Village and Township Cadres. International Journal of Public Administration, 2021, 44, 1383-1393.	1.4	6
12	Myths, instrumental reality, or cultural change? Modern service-oriented government reforms in China. International Public Management Journal, 2021, 24, 269-286.	1.2	6
13	The Demise of an Agency Director – A Puzzling Saga of Political Control and Professional Autonomy. International Journal of Public Administration, 2021, 44, 250-261.	1.4	6
14	Challenges of Implementing a Performance and Reward System in Higher Education Institutions in Pakistan: Perceptions of Top Leaders in Contending Regulatory Bodies. Public Organization Review, 2021, 21, 243-262.	1.1	2
15	ICT Tools in Central Government: Scope, Predictors, and Effect on Coordination Quality. , 2021, , 1-6.		0
16	Comparing SARS and COVID-19: Challenges of Governance Capacity and Legitimacy. Public Organization Review, 2021, 21, 629-645.	1.1	20
17	Articles for a Special Issue of Public Organization Review (POR) on the Scientization of Public Decision-Making Processes – the Relevance for the Handling of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Public Organization Review, 2021, 21, 177-181.	1.1	0
18	ICT Tools in Central Government: Scope, Predictors and Effect on Coordination Quality. , 2021, , 1-6.		0

2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Mapping the evolution of the central government apparatus in China. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2020, 86, 80-97.	1.9	16
20	Coordination Structures and Mechanisms for Crisis Management in China: Challenges of Complexity. Public Organization Review, 2020, 20, 19-36.	1.1	21
21	Coordination Quality in Central Government – the Case of Norway. Public Organization Review, 2020, 20, 145-162.	1.1	18
22	All roads lead to Rome? The contingency factors of institutional integration: a comparative case study on environmental institutional reform in Guangdong, China. International Public Management Journal, 2020, 23, 338-357.	1.2	2
23	Trust in Local Government: Service Satisfaction, Culture, and Demography. Administration and Society, 2020, 52, 1268-1296.	1.2	26
24	Ambiguous hybridity? Main features of China's service-oriented government reform. International Journal of Public Sector Management, 2020, 33, 419-433.	1.2	4
25	The coronavirus crisis—crisis communication, meaning-making, and reputation management. International Public Management Journal, 2020, 23, 713-729.	1.2	78
26	Reputation management by Chinese universities: Primary profile and comparative features. Public Administration, 2020, 98, 1027-1043.	2.3	7
27	Balancing Governance Capacity and Legitimacy: How the Norwegian Government Handled the <scp>COVID</scp> â€19 Crisis as a High Performer. Public Administration Review, 2020, 80, 774-779.	2.9	244
28	Government Trust, Social Trust, and Citizens' Risk Concerns: Evidence from Crisis Management in China. Public Performance & Management Review, 2019, 42, 383-404.	1.3	59
29	Organizing for Societal Security and Crisis Management: Governance Capacity and Legitimacy. , 2019, , 1-23.		3
30	Reputation Management in Public Agencies: The Relevance of Time, Sector, Audience, and Tasks. Administration and Society, 2019, 51, 885-914.	1.2	22
31	Beyond NPM to Post-NPM? A Study of China's Government Reforms Over the Past 40 Years. American Review of Public Administration, 2019, 49, 855-865.	1.5	15
32	Administrative coordination capacity; does the wickedness of policy areas matter?. Policy and Society, 2019, 38, 237-254.	2.9	27
33	Competing for father's love? The politics of central government agency termination in China. Governance, 2019, 32, 761-777.	1.5	20
34	Same Bed, Different Dreams? Structural Factors and Leadership Characteristics of Central Government Agency Reform in China. International Public Management Journal, 2019, 22, 643-663.	1.2	6
35	Strengthening the strategic capacity of public universities: The role of internal governance models. Public Policy and Administration, 2019, 34, 475-493.	1.5	15
36	Reputation Management, Social Embeddedness, and Rationalization of Universities. , 2019, , 3-39.		11

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Reputation Management in Nordic Universities—Profiles and Development Over Time. , 2019, , 43-65.		1
38	Reputation Profiles of Chinese Universities—Converging with Global Trends or National Characteristics?. , 2019, , 93-115.		6
39	Social Science Disciplines in Complex Development Contexts—The Professional Dimension of Reputation Management. , 2019, , 117-153.		1
40	Blind Spots: Organizational and Institutional Biases in Intra- and Inter-organizational Contexts. , 2019, , 49-67.		1
41	Post-New Public Management: a new administrative paradigm for China?. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2018, 84, 389-404.	1.9	29
42	An Organization Approach to Public Administration. , 2018, , 1087-1104.		13
43	Hybridity in the Public Sector—Comparing Development Features in Municipalities in Japan and Norway. International Journal of Public Administration, 2018, 41, 1404-1417.	1.4	1
44	Academic Autonomy and Freedom under Pressure: Severely Limited, or Alive and Kicking?. Public Organization Review, 2018, 18, 487-506.	1.1	19
45	The Open Public Value Account and Comprehensive Social Development: An Assessment of China and the United States. Administration and Society, 2017, 49, 852-881.	1.2	17
46	Ex Ante Project Evaluation and the Complexity of Early Decision-Making. Public Organization Review, 2017, 17, 1-17.	1.1	44
47	Resources allocation in Chinese universities: hierarchy, academic excellence, or both?. Oxford Review of Education, 2017, 43, 659-676.	1.4	9
48	Reputation Management in Complex Environments—A Comparative Study of University Organizations. Higher Education Policy, 2017, 30, 123-140.	1.3	42
49	COMPARING COORDINATION STRUCTURES FOR CRISIS MANAGEMENT IN SIX COUNTRIES. Public Administration, 2016, 94, 316-332.	2.3	96
50	Organizing for Crisis Management: Building Governance Capacity and Legitimacy. Public Administration Review, 2016, 76, 887-897.	2.9	262
51	Accountability in Multilevel Health Care Services: The Case of Norway. , 2016, , 115-146.		2
52	Conflicting Accountabilities in the 2012 Health Care Reforms in England. International Journal of Public Administration, 2015, 38, 461-472.	1.4	6
53	A new opera house: combining entrepreneurship, garbage can features and windows of opportunity. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2015, 81, 734-751.	1.9	0
54	The challenges of coordination in national security management – the case of the terrorist attack in Norway. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2015, 81, 352-372.	1.9	38

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Performance Management and Accountability: The Welfare Administration Reform in Norway and Germany. International Journal of Public Administration, 2015, 38, 947-959.	1.4	16
56	Accountability and Performance Management: The Norwegian Hospital, Welfare, and Immigration Administration. International Journal of Public Administration, 2015, 38, 971-982.	1.4	8
57	Performance and Accountability—A Theoretical Discussion and an Empirical Assessment. Public Organization Review, 2015, 15, 207-225.	1.1	83
58	Why Reforms So Often Disappoint. American Review of Public Administration, 2014, 44, 3-16.	1.5	38
59	Joined-Up Government for Welfare Administration Reform in Norway. Public Organization Review, 2014, 14, 439-456.	1.1	56
60	Global Pressures and National Cultures. , 2014, , 30-51.		39
61	A System under Stress: The <scp>I</scp> celandic Volcano Ash Crisis. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 2013, 21, 71-81.	1.6	6
62	The formalization of the university: rules, roots, and routes. Higher Education, 2013, 65, 695-708.	2.8	120
63	Welfare Administration Reform Between Coordination and Specialization. International Journal of Public Administration, 2013, 36, 556-566.	1.4	10
64	After a Terrorist Attack: Challenges for Political and Administrative Leadership in <scp>N</scp> orway. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 2013, 21, 167-177.	1.6	38
65	Competing principles of agency organization – the reorganization of a reform. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2012, 78, 579-596.	1.9	34
66	Global Ideas and Modern Public Sector Reforms. American Review of Public Administration, 2012, 42, 635-653.	1.5	42
67	Governance And Administrative Reforms. , 2012, , .		9
68	Imitating the West? Evidence on Administrative Reform from the Upper Echelons of Chinese Provincial Government. Public Administration Review, 2012, 72, 798-806.	2.9	32
69	Cross-Border Coordination Activities in Central Government Administration—Combining Organizational Conditions and Individual Features. Public Organization Review, 2012, 12, 367-382.	1.1	7
70	Democracy and administrative policy: contrasting elements of New Public Management (NPM) and post-NPM. European Political Science Review, 2011, 3, 125-146.	1.9	105
71	University governance reforms: potential problems of more autonomy?. Higher Education, 2011, 62, 503-517.	2.8	145
72	Complexity and Hybrid Public Administration—Theoretical and Empirical Challenges. Public Organization Review, 2011, 11, 407-423.	1.1	292

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Japanese University Reform — Hybridity in Governance and Management. Higher Education Policy, 2011, 24, 127-142.	1.3	16
74	Ethics and Administrative Reforms. Public Management Review, 2011, 13, 459-477.	3.4	22
75	HOW TO ASSESS ADMINISTRATIVE REFORM? INVESTIGATING THE ADOPTION AND PRELIMINARY IMPACTS OF THE NORWEGIAN WELFARE ADMINISTRATION REFORM. Public Administration, 2010, 88, 232-246.	2.3	51
76	A Case Study of China's Administrative Reform. American Review of Public Administration, 2010, 40, 170-188.	1.5	45
77	Civil Servants' Perceptions Regarding ICT Use in Norwegian Central Government. Journal of Information Technology and Politics, 2010, 7, 3-21.	1.8	15
78	Living in the Past? Change and Continuity in the Norwegian Central Civil Service. Public Administration Review, 2009, 69, 951-961.	2.9	55
79	Reforms of Welfare Administration and Policy—A Comparison of Complexity and Hybridization: An Introduction. International Journal of Public Administration, 2009, 32, 1001-1005.	1.4	10
80	How to Carry Out Joined-Up Government Reforms: Lessons from the 2001–2006 Norwegian Welfare Reform. International Journal of Public Administration, 2009, 32, 1006-1025.	1.4	29
81	Organising immigration policy: the unstable balance between political control and agency autonomy. Policy and Politics, 2009, 37, 161-177.	1.4	9
82	The Challenge of Coordination in Central Government Organizations: The Norwegian Case. Public Organization Review, 2008, 8, 97-116.	1.1	119
83	BEYOND NEW PUBLIC MANAGEMENT: AGENCIFICATION AND REGULATORY REFORM IN NORWAY. Financial Accountability and Management, 2008, 24, 15-30.	1.9	52
84	NPM and beyond — structure, culture and demography. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2008, 74, 7-23.	1.9	69
85	The Development of Public Institutions. Administration and Society, 2008, 40, 271-297.	1.2	86
86	Administrative reform in China's central government — how much `learning from the West'?. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2008, 74, 351-371.	1.9	88
87	The Challenges of Modernizing Tax Administration. Public Policy and Administration, 2007, 22, 155-182.	1.5	29
88	Reform of the employment and welfare administrations — the challenges of co-coordinating diverse public organizations. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2007, 73, 389-408.	1.9	65
89	The Wholeâ€ofâ€Government Approach to Public Sector Reform. Public Administration Review, 2007, 67, 1059-1066.	2.9	722
90	Regulatory Agencies—The Challenges of Balancing Agency Autonomy and Political Control. Governance, 2007, 20, 499-520.	1.5	103

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Performance Management and Public Sector Reform: The Norwegian Hospital Reform. International Public Management Journal, 2006, 9, 113-139.	1.2	59
92	The Norwegian state transformed?. West European Politics, 2005, 28, 721-739.	3.4	32
93	Citizens and Consumers. Public Management Review, 2005, 7, 225-246.	3.4	150
94	Autonomization and Policy Capacity: The Dilemmas and Challenges Facing Political Executives. , 2005, , 137-163.		5
95	Governmental autonomisation and control: the Norwegian way. Public Administration and Development, 2004, 24, 129-135.	0.9	31
96	The Politics of SARS – Rational Responses or Ambiguity, Symbols and Chaos?. Policy and Society, 2004, 23, 18-48.	2.9	17
97	Administrative Reform Policy: The Challenges of Turning Symbols into Practice. Public Organization Review, 2003, 3, 3-27.	1.1	45
98	Coping with Complex Leadership Roles: The Problematic Redefinition of Government-owned Enterprises. Public Administration, 2003, 81, 803-831.	2.3	50
99	Narratives of Norwegian governance: elaborating the strong state tradition. Public Administration, 2003, 81, 163-190.	2.3	82
100	Transforming Administrative Policy. Public Administration, 2002, 80, 153-178.	2.3	101
101	Radical Reform in New Zealand: Crisis, Windows of Opportunity, and Rational Actors. Public Administration, 2001, 79, 403-422.	2.3	87
102	New Public Management: Design, Resistance, or Transformation? A Study of How Modern Reforms Are Received in a Civil Service System. Public Performance & Management Review, 1999, 23, 169.	0.4	102
103	Administrative reform policy: the case of Norway. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 1998, 64, 457-475.	1.9	47
104	Organizational Innovations and Multiple Forms of Accountability in the Post-New Public Management Era. , 0, , 290-309.		0
105	The Social Policy Response to COVID-19 – The Failure to Help Vulnerable Children and Elderly People. Public Organization Review, 0, , 1.	1.1	5
106	Organization Theory and the Public Sector. , 0, , .		169
107	Organization Theory and the Public Sector. , 0, , .		61
108	Special Issue on The Scientization of Public Decision-Making Processes – the Relevance for the Handling of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Public Organization Review, 0, , 1.	1.1	3