Kevin R Brooks

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7862808/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The effect of attention on body size adaptation and body dissatisfaction. Royal Society Open Science, 2022, 9, 211718.	2.4	2
2	The "cheerleader effect―in facial and bodily attractiveness: A result of memory bias and not perceptual encoding. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2021, 74, 972-980.	1.1	6
3	Visual bodyâ€ s ize adaptation and estimation of tactile distance. British Journal of Psychology, 2021, 112, 1012-1027.	2.3	4
4	Over or Under? Mental Representations and the Paradox of Body Size Estimation. Frontiers in Psychology, 2021, 12, 706313.	2.1	6
5	Editorial: Experimental Approaches to Body Image, Representation and Perception. Frontiers in Psychology, 2021, 12, 809385.	2.1	0
6	Looking at the Figures: Visual Adaptation as a Mechanism for Body-Size and -Shape Misperception. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 2020, 15, 133-149.	9.0	16
7	Muscle and fat aftereffects and the role of gender: Implications for body image disturbance. British Journal of Psychology, 2020, 111, 742-761.	2.3	9
8	Shining a Light on Race: Contrast and Assimilation Effects in the Perception of Skin Tone and Racial Typicality. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020, 11, 604617.	2.1	3
9	Gender and the Body Size Aftereffect: Implications for Neural Processing. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 2019, 13, 1100.	2.8	12
10	The Thin White Line: Adaptation Suggests a Common Neural Mechanism for Judgments of Asian and Caucasian Body Size. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 2532.	2.1	9
11	Experimental manipulation of visual attention affects body size adaptation but not body dissatisfaction. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 2019, 52, 79-87.	4.0	17
12	Vection strength increases with simulated eye-separation. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2019, 81, 281-295.	1.3	7
13	The high-level basis of body adaptation. Royal Society Open Science, 2018, 5, 172103.	2.4	21
14	Visual attention mediates the relationship between body satisfaction and susceptibility to the body size adaptation effect. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0189855.	2.5	28
15	Independent Aftereffects of Fat and Muscle: Implications for neural encoding, body space representation, and body image disturbance. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 40392.	3.3	48
16	Body size and shape misperception and visual adaptation: An overview of an emerging research paradigm. Journal of International Medical Research, 2017, 45, 2001-2008.	1.0	36
17	Depth Perception and the History of Three-Dimensional Art: Who Produced the First Stereoscopic Images?. I-Perception, 2017, 8, 204166951668011.	1.4	14
18	A Systematic Comparison of Static and Dynamic Cues for Depth Perception. , 2016, 57, 3545.		19

KEVIN R BROOKS

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Body Image Distortion and Exposure to Extreme Body Types: Contingent Adaptation and Cross Adaptation for Self and Other. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 2016, 10, 334.	2.8	53
20	No Effect of Featural Attention on Body Size Aftereffects. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 1223.	2.1	15
21	Improving Unfamiliar Face Matching by Masking the External Facial Features. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2016, 30, 622-627.	1.6	19
22	Perceived Speed of Compound Stimuli Is Moderated by Component Contrast, Not Overall Pattern Contrast. I-Perception, 2016, 7, 204166951667495.	1.4	0
23	The Body and the Beautiful: Health, Attractiveness and Body Composition in Men's and Women's Bodies. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0156722.	2.5	77
24	No role for lightness in the encoding of Black and White: Race-contingent face aftereffects depend on facial morphology, not facial luminance. Visual Cognition, 2015, 23, 597-611.	1.6	18
25	Face encoding is not categorical: Consistent evidence across multiple types of contingent aftereffects. Visual Cognition, 2015, 23, 867-893.	1.6	12
26	Simulation of Driving in Low-Visibility Conditions: Does Stereopsis Improve Speed Perception?. Perception, 2015, 44, 145-156.	1.2	18
27	An exponential filter model predicts lightness illusions. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2015, 9, 368.	2.0	7
28	Complex cells decrease errors for the MÃf¼ller-Lyer illusion in a model of the visual ventral stream. Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience, 2014, 8, 112.	2.1	4
29	Differential processing: Towards a unified model of direction and speed perception. Vision Research, 2013, 92, 10-18.	1.4	3
30	Corrections to: Reducing magnocellular processing of various motion trajectories tests single process theories of visual position perception Journal of Vision, 2013, 13, 13-13.	0.3	12
31	The Movement Advantage in Famous and Unfamiliar Faces: A Comparison of Point-Light Displays and Shape-Normalised Avatar Stimuli. Perception, 2013, 42, 950-970.	1.2	8
32	Race-contingent face aftereffects: A result of perceived racial typicality, not categorization. Journal of Vision, 2013, 13, 13.	0.3	13
33	The Impact of Presentation Modality on Perceptions of Truthful and Deceptive Confessions. Journal of Criminology, 2013, 2013, 1-10.	0.5	3
34	The Müller-Lyer Illusion in a Computational Model of Biological Object Recognition. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e56126.	2.5	6
35	No role for lightness in adaptation for Black and White: Race-contingent face aftereffects depend on facial morphology, not skin tone. Journal of Vision, 2013, 13, 860-860.	0.3	1
36	The Hierarchical Order of Processes Underlying the Direction Illusion and the Direction Aftereffect. Perception, 2012, 41, 389-401.	1.2	3

KEVIN R BROOKS

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Challenging the distribution shift: Statically-induced direction illusion implicates differential processing of object-relative and non-object-relative motion. Vision Research, 2012, 58, 10-18.	1.4	5
38	Movement helps famous and unfamiliar face matching: Evidence from a sorting task. Journal of Vision, 2012, 12, 981-981.	0.3	0
39	Contrast and stimulus complexity moderate the relationship between spatial frequency and perceived speed: Implications for MT models of speed perception. Journal of Vision, 2011, 11, 19-19.	0.3	18
40	Individual Differences in Visual Search: Relationship to Autistic Traits, Discrimination Thresholds, and Speed of Processing. Perception, 2011, 40, 739-742.	1.2	40
41	Avatars versus point-light faces: Movement matching is better without a face. Journal of Vision, 2011, 11, 597-597.	0.3	Ο
42	Breaking camouflage: Binocular disparity reduces contrast masking in natural images. Journal of Vision, 2010, 10, 38-38.	0.3	20
43	No Role for Lightness in the Perception of Black and White? Simultaneous Contrast Affects Perceived Skin Tone, but Not Perceived Race. Perception, 2010, 39, 1142-1145.	1.2	26
44	Accuracy of Stereomotion Speed Perception with Persisting and Dynamic Textures. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 2010, 111, 921-935.	1.3	2
45	Spatial scale of stereomotion processing from changing disparity signals. Journal of Vision, 2010, 3, 857-857.	0.3	0
46	Monocular artifacts and the perception of stereomotion speed. Journal of Vision, 2010, 2, 329-329.	0.3	2
47	Perceptual Memory for Highly Familiar People's Body Shape: Manipulation of Images of the Self and Friend. Perception, 2009, 38, 261-270.	1.2	Ο
48	Sensitivity to Feature Displacement in Familiar and Unfamiliar Faces: Beyond the Internal/External Feature Distinction. Perception, 2007, 36, 1646-1659.	1.2	24
49	Hinge versus Twist: The Effects of â€~Reference Surfaces' and Discontinuities on Stereoscopic Slant Perception. Perception, 2007, 36, 596-616.	1.2	16
50	Stereomotion perception for a monocularly camouflaged stimulus. Journal of Vision, 2007, 7, 1.	0.3	35
51	The swinging doors of perception: Stereomotion without binocular matching. Journal of Vision, 2006, 6, 2.	0.3	14
52	Spatial scale of stereomotion speed processing. Journal of Vision, 2006, 6, 9-9.	0.3	17
53	Stereomotion suppression and the perception of speed: Accuracy and precision as a function of 3D trajectory. Journal of Vision, 2006, 6, 6-6.	0.3	29
54	Monocular transparency and unpaired stereopsis. Vision Research, 2006, 46, 1695-1705.	1.4	4

KEVIN R BROOKS

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Quantitative perceived depth from sequential monocular decamouflage. Vision Research, 2006, 46, 605-613.	1.4	15
56	Speed can go up as well as down at low contrast: Implications for models of motion perception. Vision Research, 2006, 46, 782-786.	1.4	127
57	Erratum to "Monocular transparency and unpaired stereopsis―[Vision Research 46 (2006) 1965–1705]. Vision Research, 2006, 46, 3041.	1.4	1
58	Monocular Transparency and unpaired stereopsis. Vision Research, 2006, 46, 3042-3053.	1.4	3
59	Stereomotion speed perception: Contributions from both changing disparity and interocular velocity difference over a range of relative disparities. Journal of Vision, 2004, 4, 6.	0.3	57
60	Human discrimination of visual direction of motion with and without smooth pursuit eye movements. Journal of Vision, 2003, 3, 16.	0.3	30
61	Interocular velocity difference contributes to stereomotion speed perception. Journal of Vision, 2002, 2, 2-2.	0.3	72
62	Monocular motion adaptation affects the perceived trajectory of stereomotion Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2002, 28, 1470-1482.	0.9	22
63	Monocular motion adaptation affects the perceived trajectory of stereomotion Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2002, 28, 1470-1482.	0.9	17
64	Stereomotion Speed Perception is Contrast Dependent. Perception, 2001, 30, 725-731.	1.2	45
65	Perceived speed of motion in depth is reduced in the periphery. Vision Research. 2000. 40. 3507-3516.	1.4	39