

# Andrea Liese

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/785156/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

29  
papers

448  
citations

1163117  
8  
h-index

1058476  
14  
g-index

32  
all docs

32  
docs citations

32  
times ranked

140  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Mind the Gap? Comparing De Facto and De Jure Expert Authority of International Public Administrations in Financial and Agricultural Policy. <i>Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice</i> , 2022, 24, 230-253.	2.9	7
2	Advocacy Coalition Constellations and Norm Collisions: Insights from International Drug Control, Human Trafficking, and Child Labour. <i>Global Society</i> , 2022, 36, 25-48.	1.7	7
3	The Power of Global Performance Indicators. Edited by Judith G. Kelley and Beth A. Simmons. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2020. 450p. \$120.00 cloth.. <i>Perspectives on Politics</i> , 2022, 20, 380-382.	0.3	0
4	The heart of bureaucratic power: Explaining international bureaucracies' expert authority. <i>Review of International Studies</i> , 2021, 47, 353-376.	1.7	14
5	Expert authority and support for COVID-19 measures in Germany and the UK: a survey experiment. <i>West European Politics</i> , 2021, 44, 1258-1282.	4.7	20
6	Managing performance and winning trust: how World Bank staff shape recipient performance. <i>Review of International Organizations</i> , 2021, 16, 625-653.	3.4	12
7	Policy recommendations of international bureaucracies: the importance of country-specificity. <i>International Review of Administrative Sciences</i> , 2021, 87, 775-793.	3.1	7
8	Why National Ministries Consider the Policy Advice of International Bureaucracies: Survey Evidence from 106 Countries. <i>International Studies Quarterly</i> , 2021, 65, 669-682.	1.5	15
9	Recommandations de politique publique des organisations internationales: l'importance de la spécificité nationale. <i>International Review of Administrative Sciences</i> , 2021, Vol. 87, 685-703.	0.0	3
10	Activating norm collisions: Interface conflicts in international drug control. <i>Global Constitutionalism</i> , 2020, 9, 290-317.	0.4	21
11	Birds of a feather? The determinants of impartiality perceptions of the IMF and the World Bank. <i>Review of International Political Economy</i> , 2020, , 1-25.	4.7	13
12	Autorität in den internationalen Beziehungen. <i>Zeitschrift Förer Internationale Beziehungen</i> , 2020, 27, 97-109.	0.2	2
13	Methodischer Anspruch trifft organisationale Wirklichkeit: Interviewführung in Internationalen Organisationen. <i>Zeitschrift Förer Internationale Beziehungen</i> , 2018, 25, 199-210.	0.2	45
14	The Authority of International Public Administrations. , 2017, , 97-122.		44
15	Nichtstaatliche Akteure in der Menschenrechtspolitik: von Normanwälten über Komplizen zu Infragestellern und Herausforderern. , 2016, , 651-676.		0
16	Nichtstaatliche Akteure in der Menschenrechtspolitik: von Normanwälten über Komplizen zu Infragestellern und Herausforderern. <i>Zeitschrift für Außen- Und Sicherheitspolitik</i> , 2015, 8, 651-676.	0.4	4
17	Transnational Partnerships: Conditions for Successful Service Provision in Areas of Limited Statehood. <i>Governance</i> , 2014, 27, 655-673.	2.0	25
18	Who Governs Partnerships? On the Role of Boards, Donors, Partners, and Other Stakeholders. , 2014, , 107-127.		3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Can PPPs Make It Anywhere? How Limited Statehood and Other Area Factors Influence PPP Effectiveness. , 2014, , 131-160.	2	
20	Summing Up: Key Findings and Avenues for Future Research. , 2014, , 193-216.	1	
21	Research Design: Measuring and Explaining the Effectiveness of PPPs. , 2014, , 17-41.	1	
22	Public private partnerships in global food governance: business engagement and legitimacy in the global fight against hunger and malnutrition. Agriculture and Human Values, 2011, 28, 385-399.	3.0	19
23	Explaining Varying Degrees of Openness in the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). , 2010, , 88-109.		51
24	8 Die unterschiedlich starke „Offnung“ internationaler Organisationen gegenüber nichtstaatlichen Akteuren. Erklärungen der Institutionen- und Organisationstheorie. , 2009, , 189-210.		48
25	Transnationale „Öffentlich-private Partnerschaften“ – Bestimmungsfaktoren für die Effektivität ihrer Governance-Leistungen. , 2008, , 452-474.	6	
26	Governance durch Public Private Partnerships in schwachen Staaten. , 2007, , 326-345.	1	
27	Erfolgsbedingungen transnationaler Partnerschaften: Hypothesen und erste Ergebnisse. , 2007, , 245-272.	1	
28	Bound to Withdraw? The United States and the Global Human Rights Regime. International Studies Review, 1997, 41, 136.	0.4	0
29	The power of human rights a decade after. , 0, , 26-42.	66	