

Christel Cederberg

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7833175/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

25
papers

2,279
citations

361045

20
h-index

552369

26
g-index

27
all docs

27
docs citations

27
times ranked

2517
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Strategic deployment of riparian buffers and windbreaks in Europe can co-deliver biomass and environmental benefits. <i>Communications Earth & Environment</i> , 2021, 2, .	2.6	11
2	Can integrated watershed management reduce soil erosion and improve livelihoods? A study from northern Ethiopia. <i>International Soil and Water Conservation Research</i> , 2020, 8, 266-276.	3.0	26
3	Towards better representation of organic agriculture in life cycle assessment. <i>Nature Sustainability</i> , 2020, 3, 419-425.	11.5	171
4	Subnational nutrient budgets to monitor environmental risks in EU agriculture: calculating phosphorus budgets for 243 EU28 regions using public data. <i>Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems</i> , 2020, 117, 199-213.	1.1	16
5	Is the nitrogen footprint fit for purpose? An assessment of models and proposed uses. <i>Journal of Environmental Management</i> , 2019, 240, 198-208.	3.8	18
6	Beyond the borders – burdens of Swedish food consumption due to agrochemicals, greenhouse gases and land-use change. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 2019, 214, 644-652.	4.6	26
7	Nitrogen flows on organic and conventional dairy farms: a comparison of three indicators. <i>Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems</i> , 2018, 110, 25-38.	1.1	18
8	Updated indicators of Swedish national human toxicity and ecotoxicity footprints using USEtox 2.01. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2017, 62, 110-114.	4.4	26
9	Characterization factors for land use impacts on biodiversity in life cycle assessment based on direct measures of plant species richness in European farmland in the “Temperate Broadleaf and Mixed Forest”™ biome. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2017, 580, 358-366.	3.9	42
10	Freshwater ecotoxicity impacts from pesticide use in animal and vegetable foods produced in Sweden. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2017, 581-582, 448-459.	3.9	31
11	Improved life cycle modelling of benefits from sewage sludge anaerobic digestion and land application. <i>Resources, Conservation and Recycling</i> , 2017, 122, 126-134.	5.3	41
12	Carbon footprints and land use of conventional and organic diets in Germany. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 2017, 161, 127-142.	4.6	77
13	How to analyse ecosystem services in landscapes – A systematic review. <i>Ecological Indicators</i> , 2017, 73, 492-504.	2.6	120
14	Challenges in developing regionalized characterization factors in land use impact assessment: impacts on ecosystem services in case studies of animal protein production in Sweden. <i>International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment</i> , 2017, 22, 328-345.	2.2	11
15	A method for calculating a land-use change carbon footprint (LUC-CFP) for agricultural commodities – applications to Brazilian beef and soy, Indonesian palm oil. <i>Global Change Biology</i> , 2014, 20, 3482-3491.	4.2	59
16	Modeling Potential Freshwater Ecotoxicity Impacts Due to Pesticide Use in Biofuel Feedstock Production: The Cases of Maize, Rapeseed, <i>Salix</i> , Soybean, Sugar Cane, and Wheat. <i>Environmental Science & Technology</i> , 2014, 48, 11379-11388.	4.6	43
17	Exploring variability in methods and data sensitivity in carbon footprints of feed ingredients. <i>International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment</i> , 2013, 18, 768-782.	2.2	42
18	The interaction between milk and beef production and emissions from land use change – critical considerations in life cycle assessment and carbon footprint studies of milk. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 2012, 28, 134-142.	4.6	143

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Including Carbon Emissions from Deforestation in the Carbon Footprint of Brazilian Beef. Environmental Science & Technology, 2011, 45, 1773-1779.	4.6	181
20	The impact of various parameters on the carbon footprint of milk production in New Zealand and Sweden. Agricultural Systems, 2011, 104, 459-469.	3.2	167
21	How does co-product handling affect the carbon footprint of milk? Case study of milk production in New Zealand and Sweden. International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 2011, 16, 420-430.	2.2	117
22	Environmental assessment of plant protection strategies using scenarios for pig feed production. Ambio, 2005, 34, 408-13.	2.8	3
23	System expansion and allocation in life cycle assessment of milk and beef production. International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 2003, 8, 350-356.	2.2	250
24	Agricultural land use in life cycle assessment (LCA): case studies of three vegetable oil crops. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2000, 8, 283-292.	4.6	163
25	Life cycle assessment of milk production – a comparison of conventional and organic farming. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2000, 8, 49-60.	4.6	409