

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7799829/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

22  
papers

1,126  
citations

516561

16  
h-index

642610

23  
g-index

25  
all docs

25  
docs citations

25  
times ranked

1121  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Bibliometrically Disciplined Peer Review: on Using Indicators in Research Evaluation. Scholarly Assessment Reports, 2020, 2, .	1.8	5
2	Measuring researcher independence using bibliometric data: A proposal for a new performance indicator. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0202712.	1.1	23
3	Funding, evaluation, and the performance of national research systems. Journal of Informetrics, 2018, 12, 365-384.	1.4	67
4	Quantity matters, but how does it work?. Journal of Informetrics, 2018, 12, 1059-1062.	1.4	1
5	Studying grant decision-making: a linguistic analysis of review reports. Scientometrics, 2018, 117, 313-329.	1.6	38
6	Perverse effects of output-based research funding? Butler's Australian case revisited. Journal of Informetrics, 2017, 11, 905-918.	1.4	53
7	Do observations have any role in science policy studies? A reply. Journal of Informetrics, 2017, 11, 941-944.	1.4	3
8	Counterintuitive effects of incentives?. Research Evaluation, 2017, 26, 349-351.	1.3	2
9	Vicious circles of gender bias, lower positions, and lower performance: Gender differences in scholarly productivity and impact. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0183301.	1.1	107
10	Towards field-adjusted production: Estimating research productivity from a zero-truncated distribution. Journal of Informetrics, 2016, 10, 1143-1152.	1.4	8
11	Gender differences in research performance and its impact on careers: a longitudinal case study. Scientometrics, 2016, 106, 143-162.	1.6	118
12	Quantity and/or Quality? The Importance of Publishing Many Papers. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0166149.	1.1	89
13	What is the Required Level of Data Cleaning? A Research Evaluation Case. Journal of Scientometric Research, 2016, 5, 07-12.	0.3	6
14	Defining the role of cognitive distance in the peer review process with an explorative study of a grant scheme in infection biology. Research Evaluation, 2015, 24, 271-281.	1.3	21
15	Early career grants, performance, and careers: A study on predictive validity of grant decisions. Journal of Informetrics, 2015, 9, 826-838.	1.4	57
16	Large-scale bibliometric review of diffusion research. Scientometrics, 2015, 102, 1615-1645.	1.6	24
17	Research quality and diversity of funding: A model for relating research money to output of research. Scientometrics, 2009, 79, 341-349.	1.6	35
18	The field factor: towards a metric for academic institutions. Research Evaluation, 2009, 18, 243-250.	1.3	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Combining curriculum vitae and bibliometric analysis: mobility, gender and research performance. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2009, 18, 135-142.	1.3	55
20	Persistent nepotism in peer-review. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2008, 74, 175-189.	1.6	136
21	Inertia and change in Scandinavian public-sector research systems: the case of biotechnology. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2000, 27, 443-454.	1.2	27
22	Institutionalizing the triple helix: research funding and norms in the academic system. <i>Research Policy</i> , 2000, 29, 291-301.	3.3	227