

Ulf Sandström

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7799829/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

22
papers

1,126
citations

516561

16
h-index

642610

23
g-index

25
all docs

25
docs citations

25
times ranked

1121
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Institutionalizing the triple helix: research funding and norms in the academic system. <i>Research Policy</i> , 2000, 29, 291-301.	3.3	227
2	Persistent nepotism in peer-review. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2008, 74, 175-189.	1.6	136
3	Gender differences in research performance and its impact on careers: a longitudinal case study. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016, 106, 143-162.	1.6	118
4	Vicious circles of gender bias, lower positions, and lower performance: Gender differences in scholarly productivity and impact. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0183301.	1.1	107
5	Quantity and/or Quality? The Importance of Publishing Many Papers. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0166149.	1.1	89
6	Funding, evaluation, and the performance of national research systems. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018, 12, 365-384.	1.4	67
7	Early career grants, performance, and careers: A study on predictive validity of grant decisions. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015, 9, 826-838.	1.4	57
8	Combining curriculum vitae and bibliometric analysis: mobility, gender and research performance. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2009, 18, 135-142.	1.3	55
9	Perverse effects of output-based research funding? Butler's Australian case revisited. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017, 11, 905-918.	1.4	53
10	Studying grant decision-making: a linguistic analysis of review reports. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018, 117, 313-329.	1.6	38
11	Research quality and diversity of funding: A model for relating research money to output of research. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2009, 79, 341-349.	1.6	35
12	Inertia and change in Scandinavian public-sector research systems: the case of biotechnology. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2000, 27, 443-454.	1.2	27
13	Large-scale bibliometric review of diffusion research. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2015, 102, 1615-1645.	1.6	24
14	Measuring researcher independence using bibliometric data: A proposal for a new performance indicator. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0202712.	1.1	23
15	Defining the role of cognitive distance in the peer review process with an explorative study of a grant scheme in infection biology. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2015, 24, 271-281.	1.3	21
16	The field factor: towards a metric for academic institutions. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2009, 18, 243-250.	1.3	17
17	Towards field-adjusted production: Estimating research productivity from a zero-truncated distribution. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016, 10, 1143-1152.	1.4	8
18	What is the Required Level of Data Cleaning? A Research Evaluation Case. <i>Journal of Scientometric Research</i> , 2016, 5, 07-12.	0.3	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Bibliometrically Disciplined Peer Review: on Using Indicators in Research Evaluation. Scholarly Assessment Reports, 2020, 2, .	1.8	5
20	Do observations have any role in science policy studies? A reply. Journal of Informetrics, 2017, 11, 941-944.	1.4	3
21	Counterintuitive effects of incentives?. Research Evaluation, 2017, 26, 349-351.	1.3	2
22	Quantity matters, but how does it work?. Journal of Informetrics, 2018, 12, 1059-1062.	1.4	1