

# Joanna Cent

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7798497/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

21  
papers

737  
citations

687363

13  
h-index

888059

17  
g-index

23  
all docs

23  
docs citations

23  
times ranked

881  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	What counts? Volunteers and their organisations in the recording and monitoring of biodiversity. <i>Biodiversity and Conservation</i> , 2008, 17, 3443-3454.	2.6	156
2	Expansion of Nature Conservation Areas: Problems with Natura 2000 Implementation in Poland?. <i>Environmental Management</i> , 2011, 47, 11-27.	2.7	119
3	Public Participation and Environmental Justice in Biodiversity Governance in Finland, Greece, Poland and the UK. <i>Environmental Policy and Governance</i> , 2015, 25, 330-342.	3.7	73
4	Factors influencing perception of protected areasâ€”The case of Natura 2000 in Polish Carpathian communities. <i>Journal for Nature Conservation</i> , 2012, 20, 284-292.	1.8	62
5	Governance rescaling and the neoliberalization of nature: the case of biodiversity conservation in four EU countries. <i>International Journal of Sustainable Development and World Ecology</i> , 2014, 21, 481-494.	5.9	59
6	Emerging multilevel environmental governance â€” A case of public participation in Poland. <i>Journal for Nature Conservation</i> , 2014, 22, 93-102.	1.8	49
7	Roles and impacts of non-governmental organizations in Natura 2000 implementation in Hungary and Poland. <i>Environmental Conservation</i> , 2013, 40, 119-128.	1.3	40
8	Application of the ecosystem services concept in environmental policyâ€”A systematic empirical analysis of national level policy documents in Poland. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2016, 128, 169-176.	5.7	35
9	Social benefits of river restoration from ecosystem services perspective: A systematic review. <i>Environmental Science and Policy</i> , 2021, 124, 90-100.	4.9	23
10	Protected area conflicts: a state-of-the-art review and a proposed integrated conceptual framework for reclaiming the role of geography. <i>Biodiversity and Conservation</i> , 2019, 28, 2463-2498.	2.6	19
11	Can public participation increase nature conservation effectiveness?. <i>Innovation: the European Journal of Social Science Research</i> , 2011, 24, 371-378.	1.6	18
12	Who is responsible for Natura 2000 in Poland? a potential role of NGOs in establishing the programme. <i>International Journal of Environment and Sustainable Development</i> , 2007, 6, 422.	0.3	16
13	Application of the ecosystem services concept at the local level â€” Challenges, opportunities, and limitations. <i>Ecosystem Services</i> , 2020, 42, 101077.	5.4	16
14	Can green infrastructure help to conserve biodiversity?. <i>Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space</i> , 2017, 35, 265-288.	1.9	13
15	Volunteers on the Political Anvil: Citizenship and Volunteer Biodiversity Monitoring in Three Postcommunist Countries. <i>Environment and Planning C: Urban Analytics and City Science</i> , 2011, 29, 170-185.	1.5	10
16	Confronting and Coping with Uncertainty in Biodiversity Research and Praxis. <i>Nature Conservation</i> , 0, 8, 45-75.	0.0	10
17	Environmental justice in Natura 2000 conservation conflicts: The case for resident empowerment. <i>Land Use Policy</i> , 2021, 107, 105494.	5.6	8
18	Cooperation in the Polish national parks and their neighborhood in a view of different stakeholders â€” a long way ahead?. <i>Innovation: the European Journal of Social Science Research</i> , 2011, 24, 295-312.	1.6	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Resident Perceptions of Distribution, Recognition and Representation Justice Domains of Environmental Policy-Making: The Case of European Ecological Network Natura 2000 in Poland. Society and Natural Resources, 2021, 34, 248-268.	1.9	4
20	Effects of connection to nature on residents' perceptions of conservation policy justice of Natura 2000. Conservation Biology, 0, , .	4.7	3
21	Divergent or convergent? Prioritization and spatial representation of ecosystem services as perceived by conservation professionals and local leaders. Land Use Policy, 2022, 119, 106193.	5.6	0