Sharon Leal

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

93 papers	2,985 citations	201674 27 h-index	189892 50 g-index
93 all docs	93 docs citations	93 times ranked	842 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Increasing cognitive load to facilitate lie detection: The benefit of recalling an event in reverse order.. Law and Human Behavior, 2008, 32, 253-265.	0.7	351
2	Detecting deception by manipulating cognitive load. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2006, 10, 141-142.	7.8	246
3	Outsmarting the liars: The benefit of asking unanticipated questions.. Law and Human Behavior, 2009, 33, 159-166.	0.7	213
4	Outsmarting the Liars: Toward a Cognitive Lie Detection Approach. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 2011, 20, 28-32.	5.3	177
5	A cognitive load approach to lie detection. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling, 2008, 5, 39-43.	0.6	129
6	You cannot hide your telephone lies: Providing a model statement as an aid to detect deception in insurance telephone calls. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2015, 20, 129-146.	2.0	100
7	â€˜Look into my eyesâ€™: can an instruction to maintain eye contact facilitate lie detection?. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2010, 16, 327-348.	1.0	87
8	Blinking During and After Lying. Journal of Nonverbal Behavior, 2008, 32, 187-194.	1.0	83
9	Imposing cognitive load to elicit cues to deceit: inducing the reverse order technique naturally. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2012, 18, 579-594.	1.0	63
10	Thermal imaging as a lie detection tool at airports.. Law and Human Behavior, 2011, 35, 40-48.	0.7	55
11	Using the model statement to elicit information and cues to deceit in interpreter-based interviews. Acta Psychologica, 2017, 177, 44-53.	1.5	51
12	Using the Model Statement to Elicit Information and Cues to Deceit from Native Speakers, Nonâ€native Speakers and Those Talking Through an Interpreter. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2016, 30, 854-862.	1.6	50
13	Using sketch drawing to induce inconsistency in liars. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2011, 16, 253-265.	2.0	47
14	A comparison between lying about intentions and past activities: Verbal cues and detection accuracy. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2011, 25, 212-218.	1.6	47
15	Withinâ€subjects verbal lie detection measures: A comparison between total detail and proportion of complications. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2018, 23, 265-279.	2.0	46
16	Lying about flying: the first experiment to detect false intent. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2011, 17, 611-620.	1.0	42
17	Two heads are better than one? How to effectively use two interviewers to elicit cues to deception. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2013, 18, 324-340.	2.0	42
18	Crossâ€cultural verbal deception. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2018, 23, 192-213.	2.0	40

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Translating theory into practice: Evaluating a cognitive lie detection training workshop.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2015, 4, 110-120.	1.1	39
20	Verbal Deception and the Model Statement as a Lie Detection Tool. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 2018, 9, 492.	2.6	39
21	Detecting true and false opinions: The Devil's Advocate approach as a lie detection aid. Acta Psychologica, 2010, 134, 323-329.	1.5	38
22	Windows to the Soul? Deliberate Eye Contact as a Cue to Deceit. Journal of Nonverbal Behavior, 2012, 36, 205-215.	1.0	38
23	Is anyone there? Drawings as a tool to detect deceit in occupation interviews. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2012, 18, 377-388.	1.0	37
24	The effect of interpreters on eliciting information, cues to deceit and rapport. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2016, 21, 286-304.	2.0	34
25	Sketching as a technique to eliciting information and cues to deceit in interpreter-based interviews.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2018, 7, 303-313.	1.1	33
26	The occurrence of eye blinks during a guilty knowledge test. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2010, 16, 349-357.	1.0	32
27	Insurance based lie detection: Enhancing the verifiability approach with a model statement component. Acta Psychologica, 2017, 174, 1-8.	1.5	30
28	The Relationship between Complications, Common Knowledge Details and Self-handicapping Strategies and Veracity: A Meta-analysis. European Journal of Psychology Applied To Legal Context, 2021, 13, 55-77.	4.6	29
29	Collective interviewing of suspects.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2012, 1, 41-44.	1.1	27
30	Did Somebody See It? Applying the Verifiability Approach to Insurance Claim Interviews. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling, 2014, 11, 237-243.	0.6	26
31	Using the Verifiability lie Detection Approach in an Insurance Claim Setting. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling, 2016, 13, 183-197.	0.6	25
32	Getting into the Minds of Pairs of Liars and Truth Tellers: An Examination of Their Strategies~!2010-04-16~!2010-05-12~!2010-06-24~!. The Open Criminology Journal, 2010, 3, 17-22.	0.1	24
33	Combining Verbal Veracity Assessment Techniques to Distinguish Truth Tellers from Lie Tellers. European Journal of Psychology Applied To Legal Context, 2020, 13, 9-19.	4.6	24
34	Expect the Unexpected? Variations in Question Type Elicit Cues to Deception in Joint Interviewer Contexts. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2013, 27, 336-343.	1.6	23
35	Using unexpected questions to elicit information and cues to deceit in interpreterâ€based interviews. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2018, 32, 94-104.	1.6	23
36	Using the model statement to elicit verbal differences between truth tellers and liars: The benefit of examining core and peripheral details.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2018, 7, 610-617.	1.1	22

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Drawings as an innovative and successful lie detection tool. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2010, 24, 587-594.	1.6	21
38	A cognitive approach to elicit verbal and nonverbal cues to deceit. , 2016, , 284-302.		21
39	Using the Reverse Order Technique with Non‐Native Speakers or Through an Interpreter. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2016, 30, 242-249.	1.6	21
40	The time of the crime: Cognitively induced tonic arousal suppression when lying in a free recall context. Acta Psychologica, 2008, 129, 1-7.	1.5	20
41	Plausibility: A Verbal Cue to Veracity worth Examining?. European Journal of Psychology Applied To Legal Context, 2021, 13, 47-53.	4.6	20
42	How researchers can make verbal lie detection more attractive for practitioners. Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 2023, 30, 383-396.	1.2	20
43	Lying Eyes: Why Liars Seek Deliberate Eye Contact. Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 2013, 20, 452-461.	1.2	19
44	Mimicry and Investigative Interviewing: Using Deliberate Mimicry to Elicit Information and Cues to Deceit. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling, 2015, 12, 217-230.	0.6	19
45	'We'll Take It from Here': The Effect of Changing Interviewers in Information Gathering Interviews. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2014, 28, 908-916.	1.6	18
46	Applying the Verifiability Approach in an international airport setting. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2017, 23, 812-825.	1.0	18
47	The Efficacy of Using Countermeasures in a Model Statement Interview. European Journal of Psychology Applied To Legal Context, 2019, 12, 23-34.	4.6	18
48	The Direction of Deception: Neuro-Linguistic Programming as a Lie Detection Tool. Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology, 2012, 27, 160-166.	1.9	17
49	Eliciting information and cues to deceit through sketching in interpreter‐based interviews. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2019, 33, 1197-1211.	1.6	17
50	Sketching while narrating as a tool to detect deceit. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2020, 34, 628-642.	1.6	17
51	Collective interviewing: Eliciting cues to deceit using a turn-taking approach.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 2014, 20, 309-324.	1.2	16
52	The effect of the presence and seating position of an interpreter on eliciting information and cues to deceit. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2017, 23, 180-200.	1.0	16
53	Encouraging interviewees to say more and deception: The ghostwriter method. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2019, 24, 273-287.	2.0	16
54	A stability bias effect among deceivers.. Law and Human Behavior, 2017, 41, 519-529.	0.7	16

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Getting into the Minds of Pairs of Liars and Truth Tellers: An Examination of Their Strategies. The Open Criminology Journal, 2010, 3, 17-22.	0.1	16
56	The Effects of Unexpected Questions on Detecting Familiar and Unfamiliar Lies. Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 2013, 20, 29-35.	1.2	15
57	Lying about flying: The efficacy of the information protocol and model statement for detecting deceit. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2020, 34, 241-255.	1.6	14
58	The psychology of the corrupt: some preliminary findings. Journal of Financial Crime, 2019, 26, 488-495.	1.2	13
59	Can I take your picture? Undercover interviewing to detect deception.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 2012, 18, 231-244.	1.2	10
60	Collective interviewing: A transactive memory approach towards identifying signs of truthfulness.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2014, 3, 12-20.	1.1	10
61	Using Specific Model Statements to Elicit Information and Cues to Deceit in Information-Gathering Interviews. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2018, 7, 132-142.	1.1	10
62	â€˜Please tell me all you rememberâ€™: a comparison between British and Arab intervieweesâ€™ free narrative performance and its implications for lie detection. Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 2021, 28, 546-559.	1.2	10
63	The effects of a model statement on information elicitation and deception detection in multiple interviews. Acta Psychologica, 2020, 207, 103080.	1.5	10
64	Train the Trainers: A First Step towards a Scienceâ€Based Cognitive Lie Detection Training Workshop Delivered by a Practitioner. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling, 2016, 13, 110-130.	0.6	9
65	Deception Traits in Psychological Interviewing. Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology, 2013, 28, 115-126.	1.9	8
66	Please be Honest and Provide Evidence: Deterrents of Deception in an Online Insurance Fraud Context. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2016, 30, 768-774.	1.6	8
67	Are you for real? Exploring language use and unexpected process questions within the detection of identity deception. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2018, 32, 622-634.	1.6	8
68	Proportion of complications in interpreter-absent and interpreter-present interviews. Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 2020, 27, 155-164.	1.2	8
69	Applying the verifiability approach to deception detection in alibi witness situations. Acta Psychologica, 2020, 204, 103020.	1.5	8
70	The effects of sketching while narrating on information elicitation and deception detection in multiple interviews. Acta Psychologica, 2021, 213, 103236.	1.5	8
71	Verbal cues to deceit when lying through omitting information. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2020, 25, 278-294.	2.0	7
72	Amplifying deceiversâ€™ flawed metacognition: Encouraging disclosures after delays with a model statement. Acta Psychologica, 2019, 200, 102935.	1.5	6

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	The Devil's Advocate approach: An interview technique for assessing consistency among deceptive and truthâ€telling pairs of suspects. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2018, 23, 37-52.	2.0	6
74	Mapping Details to Elicit Information and Cues to Deceit: The Effects of Map Richness1. European Journal of Psychology Applied To Legal Context, 2022, 14, 11-19.	4.6	6
75	The guilty adjustment: Response trends on the symptom validity test. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2014, 19, 240-254.	2.0	5
76	Combining the model statement and the sketching while narrating interview techniques to elicit information and detect lies in multiple interviews. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2021, 35, 1478-1491.	1.6	5
77	Strategy and Misdirection in Forced Choice Memory Performance Testing in Deception Detection. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2017, 31, 139-145.	1.6	4
78	Extending the verifiability approach framework: The effect of initial questioning. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2018, 32, 787-804.	1.6	4
79	Collective interviewing: The use of a model statement to differentiate between pairs of truthâ€tellers and pairs of liars. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2018, 23, 214-229.	2.0	4
80	Using the model statement to elicit verbal differences between truth tellers and liars amongst Arab interviewees: A partial replication of Leal, Vrij, Deeb, and Jupe (2018). Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2019, 33, 1008-1017.	1.6	4
81	The effectiveness of different model statement variants for eliciting information and cues to deceit. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 0, , .	2.0	4
82	Using the Model Statement Technique as a Lie Detection Tool: A Cross-Cultural Comparison. Psychology in Russia: State of the Art, 2020, 12, 19-33.	0.6	4
83	Resistance to coaching in forcedâ€choice testing. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2018, 32, 693-700.	1.6	3
84	Fading lies: applying the verifiability approach after a period of delay. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2020, 26, 327-342.	1.0	3
85	Effects of time pressure on strategy selection and strategy execution in forced choice tests. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2019, 33, 974-979.	1.6	2
86	When and how are lies told? And the role of culture and intentions in intelligenceâ€gathering interviews. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2020, 25, 257-277.	2.0	2
87	Using specific model statements to elicit information and cues to deceit in information-gathering interviews.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2018, 7, 132-142.	1.1	2
88	The Effect of Using Countermeasures in Interpreter-Absent and Interpreter-Present Interviews. European Journal of Psychology Applied To Legal Context, 2022, 14, 53-72.	4.6	2
89	Actions speak louder than words: The Devil's Advocate questioning protocol in opinions about protester actions. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 0, , .	1.6	2
90	Detecting concealed information about person recognition. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2011, 25, 372-376.	1.6	1

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Eliciting Response Bias Within Forced Choice Tests to Detect Random Responders. Scientific Reports, 2019, 9, 8724.	3.3	1
92	Amplifying recall after delays via initial interviewing: Inoculating truth-tellers' memory as a function of encoding quality. Acta Psychologica, 2020, 209, 103130.	1.5	1
93	Analysing openly recorded preinterview deliberations to detect deceit in collective interviews. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2020, 34, 132-141.	1.6	0