

Hilde Karine Wam

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7767198/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

28
papers

806
citations

516710

16
h-index

501196

28
g-index

30
all docs

30
docs citations

30
times ranked

1146
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Democratizing education: Open schooling engaged the less privileged in environmental sciences. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0266655.	2.5	1
2	Forage availability, supplementary feed and ungulate density: Associations with ungulate damage in pine production forests. Forest Ecology and Management, 2022, 513, 120187.	3.2	9
3	Monitoring deer food and browsing in forests: Coherence and discrepancies between national and local inventories. Ecological Indicators, 2021, 120, 106967.	6.3	3
4	Macronutrient balancing in free-ranging populations of moose. Ecology and Evolution, 2021, 11, 11223-11240.	1.9	11
5	Varied diets, including broadleaved forage, are important for a large herbivore species inhabiting highly modified landscapes. Scientific Reports, 2020, 10, 1904.	3.3	16
6	CITIZEN SCIENCE INITIATIVE FOR SCHOOLS: EDU-ARCTIC MONITORING OF METEOROLOGICAL AND PHENOLOGICAL PARAMETERS. , 2019, , .		2
7	Moose selecting for specific nutritional composition of birch places limits on food acceptability. Ecology and Evolution, 2018, 8, 1117-1130.	1.9	21
8	The complexity of interacting nutritional drivers behind food selection, a review of northern cervids. Ecosphere, 2018, 9, e02230.	2.2	41
9	Subtle foodscape displacement of a native ungulate by free-ranging livestock in a forest agroecosystem. Ecosphere, 2018, 9, e02280.	2.2	3
10	Interactions between ungulates, forests, and supplementary feeding: the role of nutritional balancing in determining outcomes. Mammal Research, 2017, 62, 1-7.	1.3	28
11	Compositional Changes in Foliage Phenolics with Plant Age, a Natural Experiment in Boreal Forests. Journal of Chemical Ecology, 2017, 43, 920-928.	1.8	23
12	Weather affects temporal niche partitioning between moose and livestock. Wildlife Biology, 2017, 2017, 1-12.	1.4	18
13	A new valuation school: Integrating diverse values of nature in resource and land use decisions. Ecosystem Services, 2016, 22, 213-220.	5.4	302
14	Conflicting interests of ecosystem services: Multi-criteria modelling and indirect evaluation of trade-offs between monetary and non-monetary measures. Ecosystem Services, 2016, 22, 280-288.	5.4	31
15	Transient nutritional peak in browse foliage after forest clearing advocates cohort management of ungulates. Basic and Applied Ecology, 2016, 17, 252-261.	2.7	19
16	Contrasting impact of whole-tree-harvesting on chemical quality of plant foliage in coastal versus inland forest. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research, 2016, 31, 541-545.	1.4	2
17	Associational relationships at multiple spatial scales affect forest damage by moose. Forest Ecology and Management, 2015, 348, 97-107.	3.2	29
18	Applying typology analyses to management issues: Deer harvest and declining hunter numbers. Journal of Wildlife Management, 2014, 78, 1282-1292.	1.8	19

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Wild boar rooting in a northern coniferous forest – minor silviculture impact. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research</i> , 2014, 29, 90-95.	1.4	13
20	Forest pasturing of livestock in Norway: effects on spruce regeneration. <i>Journal of Forestry Research</i> , 2014, 25, 941-945.	3.6	14
21	Grouse Hunting Regulations and Hunter Typologies in Norway. <i>Human Dimensions of Wildlife</i> , 2013, 18, 45-57.	1.8	19
22	Balancing hunting regulations and hunter satisfaction: An integrated biosocioeconomic model to aid in sustainable management. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2012, 79, 89-96.	5.7	10
23	Moose summer and winter diets along a large scale gradient of forage availability in southern Norway. <i>European Journal of Wildlife Research</i> , 2010, 56, 745-755.	1.4	53
24	Economists, time to team up with the ecologists!. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2010, 69, 675-679.	5.7	20
25	Moose Summer Diet From Feces and Field Surveys: A Comparative Study. <i>Rangeland Ecology and Management</i> , 2010, 63, 387-395.	2.3	24
26	Differential forage use makes carrying capacity equivocal on ranges of Scandinavian moose (<i>Alces Alces</i>). <i>Canadian Journal of Zoology</i> , 2010, 88, 1179-1191.	1.0	35
27	Taking timber browsing damage into account: A density dependant matrix model for the optimal harvest of moose in Scandinavia. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2007, 62, 45-55.	5.7	23
28	A bio-economic model for optimal harvest of timber and moose. <i>Forest Ecology and Management</i> , 2005, 206, 207-219.	3.2	15