Will Steffen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7737958/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



WILL STEEFEN

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	A safe operating space for humanity. Nature, 2009, 461, 472-475.	13.7	8,638
2	Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet. Science, 2015, 347, 1259855.	6.0	7,124
3	Planetary Boundaries: Exploring the Safe Operating Space for Humanity. Ecology and Society, 2009, 14, .	1.0	3,867
4	The Anthropocene: Are Humans Now Overwhelming the Great Forces of Nature. Ambio, 2007, 36, 614-621.	2.8	2,318
5	The trajectory of the Anthropocene: The Great Acceleration. Infrastructure Asset Management, 2015, 2, 81-98.	1.2	2,231
6	Sustainable development goals for people and planet. Nature, 2013, 495, 305-307.	13.7	2,055
7	Trajectories of the Earth System in the Anthropocene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2018, 115, 8252-8259.	3.3	1,832
8	The Anthropocene is functionally and stratigraphically distinct from the Holocene. Science, 2016, 351, aad2622.	6.0	1,543
9	The Anthropocene: From Global Change to Planetary Stewardship. Ambio, 2011, 40, 739-761.	2.8	1,175
10	Climate tipping points $\hat{a} \in $ too risky to bet against. Nature, 2019, 575, 592-595.	13.7	1,162
11	When did the Anthropocene begin? A mid-twentieth century boundary level is stratigraphically optimal. Quaternary International, 2015, 383, 196-203.	0.7	546
12	Plausible and desirable futures in the Anthropocene: A new research agenda. Global Environmental Change, 2016, 39, 351-362.	3.6	389
13	Human modification of global water vapor flows from the land surface. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2005, 102, 7612-7617.	3.3	299
14	Our future in the Anthropocene biosphere. Ambio, 2021, 50, 834-869.	2.8	275
15	Human impacts on planetary boundaries amplified by Earth system interactions. Nature Sustainability, 2020, 3, 119-128.	11.5	217
16	The emergence and evolution of Earth System Science. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 2020, 1, 54-63.	12.2	213
17	Re-conceptualizing the Anthropocene: A call for collaboration. Global Environmental Change, 2016, 39, 318-327.	3.6	210
18	Policy design for the Anthropocene. Nature Sustainability, 2019, 2, 14-21.	11.5	176

WILL STEFFEN

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Stratigraphic and Earth System approaches to defining the Anthropocene. Earth's Future, 2016, 4, 324-345.	2.4	162
20	Global Boundary Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) for the Anthropocene Series: Where and how to look for potential candidates. Earth-Science Reviews, 2018, 178, 379-429.	4.0	153
21	Extraordinary human energy consumption and resultant geological impacts beginning around 1950 CE initiated the proposed Anthropocene Epoch. Communications Earth & Environment, 2020, 1, .	2.6	101
22	Making the case for a formal Anthropocene Epoch: an analysis of ongoing critiques. Newsletters on Stratigraphy, 2017, 50, 205-226.	0.5	100
23	The Water Planetary Boundary: Interrogation and Revision. One Earth, 2020, 2, 223-234.	3.6	98
24	A planetary boundary for green water. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 2022, 3, 380-392.	12.2	95
25	Illuminating water cycle modifications and Earth system resilience in the Anthropocene. Water Resources Research, 2020, 56, e2019WR024957.	1.7	86
26	The Anthropocene: Comparing Its Meaning in Geology (Chronostratigraphy) with Conceptual Approaches Arising in Other Disciplines. Earth's Future, 2021, 9, e2020EF001896.	2.4	61
27	Colonization of the Americas, †Little Ice Age' climate, and bomb-produced carbon: Their role in defining the Anthropocene. Infrastructure Asset Management, 2015, 2, 117-127.	1.2	57
28	The topology of non-linear global carbon dynamics: from tipping points to planetary boundaries. Environmental Research Letters, 2013, 8, 044048.	2.2	45
29	Current and future threats to human health in the Anthropocene. Environment International, 2022, 158, 106892.	4.8	45
30	A formal Anthropocene is compatible with but distinct from its diachronous anthropogenic counterparts: a response to W.F. Ruddiman's â€~three flaws in defining a formal Anthropocene'. Progress in Physical Geography, 2019, 43, 319-333.	1.4	28
31	Planetary Boundaries: Separating Fact from Fiction. A Response to Montoya et al Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 2018, 33, 233-234.	4.2	21

The Earth System, the Great Acceleration and the Anthropocene. , 2022, , 15-32.

4