## Gloria D Coronado

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7722136/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Improving colorectal cancer screening in rural primary care: Preliminary effectiveness and implementation of a collaborative mailed fecal immunochemical test pilot. Journal of Rural Health, 2023, 39, 279-290.	2.9	4
2	Health planâ€based mailed fecal testing for colorectal cancer screening among dualâ€eligible Medicaid/Medicare enrollees: Outcomes of 2 program models. Cancer, 2022, 128, 410-418.	4.1	4
3	Sustainable infrastructure and risk stratification are needed to appropriately deliver colorectal cancer screening globally. Cancer, 2022, 128, 1165-1167.	4.1	1
4	Clinic Factors Associated With Mailed Fecal Immunochemical Test (FIT) Completion: The Difference-Making Role of Support Staff. Annals of Family Medicine, 2022, 20, 123-129.	1.9	6
5	Cost-Effectiveness of Outreach Strategies for Stool-Based Colorectal Cancer Screening in a Medicaid Population. Population Health Management, 2022, 25, 343-351.	1.7	5
6	Mailed fecal testing and patient navigation versus usual care to improve rates of colorectal cancer screening and follow-up colonoscopy in rural Medicaid enrollees: a cluster-randomized controlled trial. Implementation Science Communications, 2022, 3, 42.	2.2	5
7	Randomized Controlled Trial of Advance Notification Phone Calls vs Text Messages Prior to Mailed Fecal Test Outreach. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2021, 19, 2353-2360.e2.	4.4	9
8	A qualitative study of patient preferences for prompts and reminders for a direct-mail fecal testing program. Translational Behavioral Medicine, 2021, 11, 540-548.	2.4	8
9	Costs of Two Health Insurance Plan Programs to Mail Fecal Immunochemical Tests to Medicare and Medicaid Plan Members. Population Health Management, 2021, 24, 255-265.	1.7	1
10	Predictors of Colorectal Cancer Screening Modality Among Newly Age-Eligible Medicaid Enrollees. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2021, 60, 72-79.	3.0	4
11	The influence of multi-morbidities on colorectal cancer screening recommendations and completion. Cancer Causes and Control, 2021, 32, 555-565.	1.8	4
12	Precision patient navigation to improve rates of follow-up colonoscopy, an individual randomized effectiveness trial. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2021, 30, cebp.1793.2020.	2.5	7
13	Follow-up colonoscopy after an abnormal stool-based colorectal cancer screening result: analysis of steps in the colonoscopy completion process. BMC Gastroenterology, 2021, 21, 356.	2.0	5
14	What's the "secret sauce� How implementation variation affects the success of colorectal cancer screening outreach. Implementation Science Communications, 2021, 2, 5.	2.2	21
15	Two Medicaid health plans' models and motivations for improving colorectal cancer screening rates. Translational Behavioral Medicine, 2020, 10, 68-77.	2.4	14
16	Directâ€toâ€member mailed colorectal cancer screening outreach for Medicaid and Medicare enrollees: Implementation and effectiveness outcomes from the BeneFIT study. Cancer, 2020, 126, 540-548.	4.1	17
17	Patient randomized trial of a targeted navigation program to improve rates of follow-up colonoscopy in community health centers. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 2020, 89, 105920.	1.8	5
18	Initiation of Colorectal Cancer Screening Among Medicaid Enrollees. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2020, 58, 224-231.	3.0	10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Low Rates of Colonoscopy Follow-up After a Positive Fecal Immunochemical Test in a Medicaid Health Plan Delivered Mailed Colorectal Cancer Screening Program. Journal of Primary Care and Community Health, 2020, 11, 215013272095852.	2.1	13
20	Development of a multivariable prediction model to identify patients unlikely to complete a colonoscopy following an abnormal FIT test in community clinics. BMC Health Services Research, 2020, 20, 1028.	2.2	6
21	Factors Influencing Implementation of a Colorectal Cancer Screening Improvement Program in Community Health Centers: an Applied Use of Configurational Comparative Methods. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2020, 35, 815-822.	2.6	39
22	Health plan adaptations to a mailed outreach program for colorectal cancer screening among Medicaid and Medicare enrollees: the BeneFIT study. Implementation Science, 2020, 15, 77.	6.9	10
23	Challenges in Reaching Medicaid and Medicare Enrollees in a Mailed Fecal Immunochemical Test Program. Journal of Community Health, 2020, 45, 916-921.	3.8	4
24	Mailed fecal immunochemical test outreach for colorectal cancer screening: Summary of a Centers for Disease Control and Prevention–sponsored Summit. Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 2020, 70, 283-298.	329.8	75
25	First-year implementation of mailed FIT colorectal cancer screening programs in two Medicaid/Medicare health insurance plans: qualitative learnings from health plan quality improvement staff and leaders. BMC Health Services Research, 2020, 20, 132.	2.2	7
26	Prospective Cohort study of Predictors of Follow-Up Diagnostic Colonoscopy from a Pragmatic Trial of FIT Screening. Scientific Reports, 2020, 10, 2441.	3.3	8
27	Moderators of the effectiveness of an intervention to increase colorectal cancer screening through mailed fecal immunochemical test kits: results from a pragmatic randomized trial. Trials, 2020, 21, 91.	1.6	7
28	A cost-effectiveness analysis of a colorectal cancer screening program in safety net clinics. Preventive Medicine, 2019, 120, 119-125.	3.4	13
29	Factors Affecting Adherence in a Pragmatic Trial of Annual Fecal Immunochemical Testing for Colorectal Cancer. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2019, 34, 978-985.	2.6	16
30	Patient-Refined Messaging for a Mailed Colorectal Cancer Screening Program: Findings from the PROMPT Study. Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine, 2019, 32, 318-328.	1.5	22
31	Using a continuum of hybrid effectiveness-implementation studies to put research-tested colorectal screening interventions into practice. Implementation Science, 2019, 14, 53.	6.9	13
32	Challenges in assessing population reach in a pragmatic trial. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2019, 15, 100910.	1.8	3
33	Addressing guideline and policy changes during pragmatic clinical trials. Clinical Trials, 2019, 16, 431-437.	1.6	7
34	Colorectal cancer screening in newly insured Medicaid members: a review of concurrent federal and state policies. BMC Health Services Research, 2019, 19, 298.	2.2	15
35	Pragmatic clinical trials offer unique opportunities for disseminating, implementing, and sustaining evidence-based practices into clinical care: Proceedings of a workshop. Healthcare, 2019, 7, 51-57.	1.3	16
36	Does a transition to accountable care in Medicaid shift the modality of colorectal cancer testing?. BMC Health Services Research, 2019, 19, 54.	2.2	12

GLORIA D CORONADO

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Developing Patient-Refined Messaging for a Mailed Colorectal Cancer Screening Program in a Latino-Based Community Health Center. Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine, 2019, 32, 307-317.	1.5	21
38	Understanding Complex Roles of Family for Latina Health. Family and Community Health, 2019, 42, 254-260.	1.1	9
39	A Survey of Provider Attitudes, Beliefs, and Perceived Barriers Regarding a Centralized Direct-Mail Colorectal Cancer Screening Approach at Community Health Centers. Journal of Primary Care and Community Health, 2019, 10, 215013271989095.	2.1	1
40	A systematic review of clinic and community intervention to increase fecal testing for colorectal cancer in rural and low-income populations in the United States – How, what and when?. BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 40.	2.6	74
41	Participatory Research to Advance Colon Cancer Prevention (PROMPT): Study protocol for a pragmatic trial. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 2018, 67, 11-15.	1.8	15
42	Mobile Versus Fixed Facility: Latinas' Attitudes and Preferences for Obtaining a Mammogram. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 19-28.	1.8	6
43	Effect of Reminding Patients to Complete Fecal Immunochemical Testing: A Comparative Effectiveness Study of Automated and Live Approaches. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2018, 33, 72-78.	2.6	54
44	Predictors of Colorectal Cancer Screening Prior to Implementation of a Large Pragmatic Trial in Federally Qualified Health Centers. Journal of Community Health, 2018, 43, 128-136.	3.8	9
45	Effectiveness of automated and live phone reminders after mailed-FIT outreach in a pilot randomized trial. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2018, 12, 210-213.	1.8	6
46	Effectiveness of a Mailed Colorectal Cancer Screening Outreach Program in Community Health Clinics. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2018, 178, 1174.	5.1	97
47	Positive predictive values of fecal immunochemical tests used in the STOP CRC pragmatic trial. Cancer Medicine, 2018, 7, 4781-4790.	2.8	17
48	Estimating the costs and cost-effectiveness of promoting mammography screening among US-based Latinas. Journal of Health Disparities Research and Practice, 2018, 12, .	1.1	0
49	Neighborhood Predictors of Mammography Barriers Among US-Based Latinas. Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities, 2017, 4, 233-242.	3.2	10
50	Geographic and population-level disparities in colorectal cancer testing: A multilevel analysis of Medicaid and commercial claims data. Preventive Medicine, 2017, 101, 44-52.	3.4	86
51	Healthcare Factors for Obtaining a Mammogram in Latinas With a Variable Mammography History. Oncology Nursing Forum, 2017, 44, 66-76.	1.2	5
52	Implementation successes and challenges in participating in a pragmatic study to improve colon cancer screening: perspectives of health center leaders. Translational Behavioral Medicine, 2017, 7, 557-566.	2.4	30
53	Applying the Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) approach to a large pragmatic study involving safety net clinics. BMC Health Services Research, 2017, 17, 411.	2.2	64
54	Seasonal and occupational trends of five organophosphate pesticides in house dust. Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology, 2017, 27, 372-378.	3.9	33

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Mapping Multi-Site Clinic Workflows to Design Systems-Enabled Interventions. EGEMS (Washington,) Tj ETQq1	1 0,78431 2.0	4 rgBT /Ove
56	Analytic Challenges Arising from the STOP CRC Trial: Pragmatic Solutions for Pragmatic Problems. EGEMS (Washington, DC), 2017, 3, 19.	2.0	2
57	The validation of electronic health records in accurately identifying patients eligible for colorectal cancer screening in safety net clinics. Family Practice, 2016, 33, 639-643.	1.9	30
58	Early Detection in the Age of Information Technology. , 2016, , 123-143.		2
59	Timeliness of Colonoscopy After Abnormal Fecal Test Results in a Safety Net Practice. Journal of Community Health, 2016, 41, 864-870.	3.8	35
60	Multilevel Intervention Raises Latina Participation in Mammography Screening: Findings from ¡Fortaleza Latina!. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2016, 25, 584-592.	2.5	26
61	Recruiting community health centers into pragmatic research: Findings from STOP CRC. Clinical Trials, 2016, 13, 214-222.	1.6	14
62	Use of PRECIS ratings in the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Health Care Systems Research Collaboratory. Trials, 2016, 17, 32.	1.6	49
63	Family/friend recommendations and mammography intentions: the roles of perceived mammography norms and support. Health Education Research, 2015, 30, 797-809.	1.9	18
64	Clinical Perspectives on Colorectal Cancer Screening at Latino-Serving Federally Qualified Health Centers. Health Education and Behavior, 2015, 42, 26-31.	2.5	14
65	Reasons for non-response to a direct-mailed FIT kit program: lessons learned from a pragmatic colorectal-cancer screening study in a federally sponsored health center. Translational Behavioral Medicine, 2015, 5, 60-67.	2.4	32
66	Health Policy to Promote Colorectal Cancer Screening: Improving Access and Aligning Federal and State Incentives. Clinical Researcher, 2015, 29, 50-55.	0.5	4
67	Variability in the take-home pathway: Farmworkers and non-farmworkers and their children. Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology, 2014, 24, 522-531.	3.9	36
68	Strategies and Opportunities to STOP Colon Cancer in Priority Populations: Design of a cluster-randomized pragmatic trial. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 2014, 38, 344-349.	1.8	35
69	A guide to research partnerships for pragmatic clinical trials. BMJ, The, 2014, 349, g6826-g6826.	6.0	54
70	Patient and Clinical Perspectives on Changes to Mammography Screening Guidelines. Breast Journal, 2014, 20, 105-106.	1.0	4
71	Strategies and opportunities to STOP colon cancer in priority populations: pragmatic pilot study design and outcomes. BMC Cancer, 2014, 14, 55.	2.6	32
72	Advantages of Wordless Instructions on How to Complete a Fecal Immunochemical Test: Lessons from Patient Advisory Council Members of a Federally Qualified Health Center. Journal of Cancer Education, 2014, 29, 86-90.	1.3	36

GLORIA D CORONADO

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Multi-level Intervention to increase participation in mammography screening: ¡Fortaleza Latina! study design. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 2014, 38, 350-354.	1.8	15
74	Perceptions of Under and Overutilization of Cervical Cancer Screening Services at Latino-Serving Community Health Centers. Journal of Community Health, 2013, 38, 915-918.	3.8	5
75	Cancer Screening at a Federally Qualified Health Center: A Qualitative Study on Organizational Challenges in the Era of the Patient-Centered Medical Home. Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health, 2013, 15, 993-1000.	1.6	22
76	AMIGAS: A multicity, multicomponent cervical cancer prevention trial among Mexican American women. Cancer, 2013, 119, 1365-1372.	4.1	92
77	Using an Automated Data-driven, EHR-Embedded Program for Mailing FIT kits: Lessons from the STOP CRC Pilot Study. Journal of General Practice (Los Angeles, Calif ), 2013, 02, .	0.1	14
78	Do Workplace and Home Protective Practices Protect Farm Workers? Findings From the "For Healthy Kids―Study. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2012, 54, 1163-1169.	1.7	15
79	Strategies for Diversifying the Pool of Graduate Students in Biomedical Sciences. Journal of Cancer Education, 2012, 27, 436-442.	1.3	9
80	Recruiting underrepresented groups into the carbohydrates and related biomarkers (CARB) cancer prevention feeding study. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 2012, 33, 641-646.	1.8	8
81	<i>Student Column</i> : Development of a <i>Radionovela</i> to Promote HPV Vaccine Awareness and Knowledge among Latino Parents. Public Health Reports, 2012, 127, 130-138.	2.5	15
82	Organophosphate Pesticide Exposure and Residential Proximity to Nearby Fields. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2011, 53, 884-891.	1.7	86
83	Evaluation of a Radionovela to Promote HPV Vaccine Awareness and Knowledge Among Hispanic Parents. Journal of Community Health, 2011, 36, 957-965.	3.8	56
84	Effectiveness of a clinicâ€based colorectal cancer screening promotion program for underserved Hispanics. Cancer, 2011, 117, 1745-1754.	4.1	84
85	Alcohol consumption and the risk of breast cancer. Salud Publica De Mexico, 2011, 53, 440-7.	0.4	27
86	Undergraduate Cancer Training Program for Underrepresented Students: Findings from a Minority Institution/Cancer Center Partnership. Journal of Cancer Education, 2010, 25, 32-35.	1.3	8
87	Educating Hispanic Women About Breast Cancer Prevention: Evaluation of a Home-Based <i>Promotora</i> -Led Intervention. Journal of Women's Health, 2010, 19, 2049-2056.	3.3	57
88	Where's the Dust? Characterizing Locations of Azinphos-Methyl Residues in House and Vehicle Dust Among Farmworkers with Young Children. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene, 2010, 7, 663-671.	1.0	15
89	Strategies for Increasing Mammography Screening in Primary Care in Chile: Results of a Randomized Clinical Trial. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2010, 19, 2254-2261.	2.5	22
90	Seasonal Variation in Fruit and Vegetable Consumption in a Rural Agricultural Community. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 2009, 109, 45-51.	1.1	48

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Organophosphate Pesticide Exposure and Work in Pome Fruit: Evidence forthe Take-Home Pesticide Pathway. Environmental Health Perspectives, 2006, 114, 999-1006.	6.0	64
92	Pesticide Take-Home Pathway among Children of Agricultural Workers: Study Design, Methods, and Baseline Findings. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2003, 45, 42-53.	1.7	94
93	Evaluation of take-home organophosphorus pesticide exposure among agricultural workers and their children Environmental Health Perspectives, 2002, 110, A787-92.	6.0	246