

George Andrew Stainback

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7690189/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

24
papers

502
citations

758635

12
h-index

676716

22
g-index

25
all docs

25
docs citations

25
times ranked

493
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Bioenergy development in Kentucky: A SWOT-ANP analysis. <i>Forest Policy and Economics</i> , 2013, 28, 38-43.	1.5	79
2	Restoration of the longleaf pine ecosystem on private lands in the US South: an ecological economic analysis. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2002, 40, 411-419.	2.9	70
3	Economic analysis of slash pine forest carbon sequestration in the southern U. S.. <i>Journal of Forest Economics</i> , 2002, 8, 105-117.	0.1	64
4	Private landowner intent to supply woody feedstock for bioenergy production. <i>Biomass and Bioenergy</i> , 2013, 56, 127-136.	2.9	36
5	Smallholder Agroforestry in Rwanda: A SWOT-AHP Analysis. <i>Small-Scale Forestry</i> , 2012, 11, 285-300.	0.7	35
6	Restoring longleaf pine through silvopasture practices: an economic analysis. <i>Forest Policy and Economics</i> , 2004, 6, 371-378.	1.5	32
7	MODELING CATASTROPHIC RISK IN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF FOREST CARBON SEQUESTRATION. <i>Natural Resource Modelling</i> , 2004, 17, 299-317.	0.8	30
8	Community users' and experts' perspective on community forestry in Nepal: a SWOT-AHP analysis. <i>Forests Trees and Livelihoods</i> , 2014, 23, 217-231.	0.5	24
9	A Phased Assessment of Restoration Alternatives to Achieve Phosphorus Water Quality Targets for Lake Okeechobee, Florida, USA. <i>Water (Switzerland)</i> , 2019, 11, 327.	1.2	24
10	Effects of Carbon Markets on the Optimal Management of Slash Pine (<i>Pinus elliottii</i>) Plantations. <i>Southern Journal of Applied Forestry</i> , 2005, 29, 27-32.	0.4	20
11	Impact of payments for carbon sequestered in wood products and avoided carbon emissions on the profitability of NIPF landowners in the US South. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2012, 78, 63-69.	2.9	16
12	A spatially explicit model to identify suitable sites to establish dedicated woody energy crops. <i>Biomass and Bioenergy</i> , 2014, 71, 245-255.	2.9	12
13	Economic and Life-Cycle Analysis of Forest Carbon Sequestration and Wood-Based Bioenergy Offsets in the Central Hardwood Forest Region of United States. <i>Journal of Sustainable Forestry</i> , 2015, 34, 214-232.	0.6	12
14	Economic Impact of Net Carbon Payments and Bioenergy Production in Fertilized and Non-Fertilized Loblolly Pine Plantations. <i>Forests</i> , 2015, 6, 3045-3059.	0.9	10
15	Effect of conserving habitat for biodiversity on optimal management of non-industrial private forests in Florida. <i>Journal of Forest Economics</i> , 2009, 15, 223-235.	0.1	6
16	Public preferences for ecological indicators used in Everglades restoration. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0234051.	1.1	6
17	Economic valuation of the ecological response to hydrologic restoration in the Greater Everglades ecosystem. <i>Ecological Indicators</i> , 2020, 117, 106678.	2.6	6
18	Financial and Management Implications of Producing Bioenergy in Upland Oak Stands in Kentucky. <i>Northern Journal of Applied Forestry</i> , 2013, 30, 164-169.	0.5	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Recreational Fishing in Florida Bay: Economic Significance and Angler Perspectives. <i>Tourism in Marine Environments</i> , 2019, 14, 89-105.	0.1	5
20	Quantifying the Effects of Biomass Market Conditions and Policy Incentives on Economically Feasible Sites to Establish Dedicated Energy Crops. <i>Forests</i> , 2015, 6, 4168-4190.	0.9	3
21	Longleaf Pine Restoration. , 2007, , 403-412.		3
22	Improving Environmental Quality in South Florida through Silvopasture: An Economic Approach. <i>Journal of Agricultural & Applied Economics</i> , 2004, 36, 481-489.	0.8	2
23	Comparison of Three Major Forest Types of Mid Hills Region of Nepal for Conservation and Local Benefits. <i>Small-Scale Forestry</i> , 2015, 14, 479-491.	0.7	2
24	On-Site Experience Effect on Stakeholdersâ€™ Preferences of Forest Management. <i>Sustainability</i> , 2020, 12, 7845.	1.6	0