

Gunnar Sivertsen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7669730/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

51
papers

2,400
citations

318942

23
h-index

252626

46
g-index

64
all docs

64
docs citations

64
times ranked

1716
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Gender differences among active reviewers: an investigation based on publons. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2022, 127, 145.	1.6	8
2	The motivations and criteria behind China's list of questionable journals. <i>Learned Publishing</i> , 2022, 35, 467-480.	0.8	11
3	Should open access lead to closed research? The trends towards paying to perform research. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2022, 127, 7653-7679.	1.6	23
4	Gender differences among first authors in research focused on the Sustainable Development Goal of Gender Equality. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2022, 127, 4769-4796.	1.6	3
5	National Lists of Scholarly Publication Channels: An Overview and Recommendations for Their Construction and Maintenance. <i>Journal of Data and Information Science</i> , 2021, 6, 50-86.	0.5	22
6	Journal article publishing in the social sciences and humanities: A comparison of Web of Science coverage for five European countries. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0249879.	1.1	18
7	Measuring the relative intensity of collaboration within a network. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2021, 126, 8673-8682.	1.6	8
8	Tracing the context in disciplinary classifications: A bibliometric pairwise comparison of five classifications of journals in the social sciences and humanities. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 2021, 2, 65-88.	1.6	5
9	A comprehensive analysis of the journal evaluation system in China. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 2021, 2, 300-326.	1.6	8
10	Toward internationalization: A bibliometric analysis of the social sciences in Mainland China from 1979 to 2018. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 2021, 2, 376-408.	1.6	15
11	Gender differences in the aims and impacts of research. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2021, 126, 8861-8886.	1.6	19
12	Normal versus extraordinary societal impact: how to understand, evaluate, and improve research activities in their relations to society?. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2020, 29, 66-70.	1.3	46
13	Do national funding organizations properly address the diseases with the highest burden?: Observations from China and the UK. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020, 125, 1733-1761.	1.6	7
14	Assessing societal impact of SSH in an engaging world: focus on productive interaction, creative pathways and enhanced visibility of SSH research. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2020, 29, 1-3.	1.3	11
15	Multilingual publishing in the social sciences and humanities: A seven-country European study. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2020, 71, 1371-1385.	1.5	40
16	Open access at the national level: A comprehensive analysis of publications by Finnish researchers. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 2020, 1, 1396-1428.	1.6	14
17	The New Research Assessment Reform in China and Its Implementation. <i>Scholarly Assessment Reports</i> , 2020, 2, .	1.8	46
18	Measuring scientific contributions with modified fractional counting. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019, 13, 679-694.	1.4	46

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Taking scholarly books into account, part II: a comparison of 19 European countries in evaluation and funding. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019, 118, 233-251.	1.6	19
20	Developing Current Research Information Systems (CRIS) as Data Sources for Studies of Research. <i>Springer Handbooks</i> , 2019, , 667-683.	0.3	23
21	Understanding and Evaluating Research and Scholarly Publishing in the Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH). <i>Data and Information Management</i> , 2019, 3, 61-71.	0.7	8
22	A Criteria-based Assessment of the Coverage of Scopus and Web of Science. <i>Journal of Data and Information Science</i> , 2019, 4, 1-21.	0.5	144
23	Publication patterns in the social sciences and humanities: evidence from eight European countries. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018, 116, 463-486.	1.6	131
24	Are book publications disappearing from scholarly communication in the social sciences and humanities?. <i>Aslib Journal of Information Management</i> , 2018, 70, 592-607.	1.3	45
25	Comprehensiveness of national bibliographic databases for social sciences and humanities: Findings from a European survey. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2018, 27, 310-322.	1.3	43
26	The Norwegian Model in Norway. <i>Journal of Data and Information Science</i> , 2018, 3, 3-19.	0.5	45
27	Multilingvisme equilibrat en cibernàutica. <i>BiD</i> , 2018, , .	0.1	2
28	Studier av høyere utdanning i Norge. <i>Uniped</i> , 2018, 41, 217-228.	0.1	1
29	Scholarly book publishing: Its information sources for evaluation in the social sciences and humanities. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2017, 26, 91-101.	1.3	24
30	Erih Plus – Making the Ssh Visible, Searchable and Available. <i>Procedia Computer Science</i> , 2017, 106, 61-65.	1.2	7
31	Unique, but still best practice? The Research Excellence Framework (REF) from an international perspective. <i>Palgrave Communications</i> , 2017, 3, .	4.7	59
32	Science deserves to be judged by its contents, not by its wrapping: Revisiting Seglen's work on journal impact and research evaluation. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0174205.	1.1	45
33	How can differences in international university rankings be explained?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016, 109, 2263-2278.	1.6	34
34	A welcome to methodological pragmatism. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016, 10, 664-666.	1.4	5
35	Taking scholarly books into account: current developments in five European countries. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016, 107, 685-699.	1.6	58
36	Patterns of internationalization and criteria for research assessment in the social sciences and humanities. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016, 107, 357-368.	1.6	93

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Data integration in Scandinavia. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016, 106, 849-855.	1.6	15
38	Publication-Based Funding: The Norwegian Model. , 2016, , 79-90.		51
39	Options and limitations in measuring the impact of research grantsâ€”evidence from Denmark and Norway. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2015, 24, 256-270.	1.3	23
40	Excellence and growth dynamics: A comparative study of the Matthew effect. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2015, 42, 661-675.	1.2	48
41	The effect of booming countries on changes in the relative specialization index (RSI) on country level. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014, 101, 1391-1401.	1.6	28
42	Are mobile researchers more productive and cited than non-mobile researchers? A large-scale study of Norwegian scientists. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2013, 22, 215-223.	1.3	49
43	Vitenskapelig publisering i norsk sosiologi. <i>Sosiologisk Tidsskrift</i> , 2013, 21, 91-102.	0.1	1
44	The representation of the social sciences and humanities in the Web of Science—a comparison of publication patterns and incentive structures in Flanders and Norway (2005-9). <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2012, 21, 280-290.	1.3	89
45	Comprehensive bibliographic coverage of the social sciences and humanities in a citation index: an empirical analysis of the potential. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2012, 91, 567-575.	1.6	91
46	Are female researchers less cited? A large-scale study of Norwegian scientists. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2011, 62, 628-636.	2.6	105
47	Publishing affects funding in neurology. <i>European Journal of Neurology</i> , 2010, 17, 147-151.	1.7	6
48	The effect of highly cited papers on national citation indicators. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2004, 59, 213-224.	1.6	92
49	The measurement of international scientific collaboration. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 1993, 28, 15-36.	1.6	246
50	Understanding Patterns of International Scientific Collaboration. <i>Science Technology and Human Values</i> , 1992, 17, 101-126.	1.7	398
51	Measuring the productivity of national R&D systems: Challenges in cross-national comparisons of R&D input and publication output indicators. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 0, , scw058.	1.2	10