George Tsebelis

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7607274/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

64 papers 11,048 citations

35 h-index 139680 61 g-index

72 all docs 72 docs citations

times ranked

72

3032 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Constitutional Rigidity Matters: A Veto Players Approach. British Journal of Political Science, 2022, 52, 280-299.	2.2	8
2	Nested Games., 2019,,.		36
3	How Can We Keep Direct Democracy and Avoid "Kolotoumba― Homo Oeconomicus, 2018, 35, 81-90.	0.2	3
4	Compromesso astorico: the role of the Senate after the Italian constitutional reform. Rivista Italiana Di Scienza Politica, 2017, 47, 87-104.	0.6	5
5	The time inconsistency of long constitutions: Evidence from the world. European Journal of Political Research, 2017, 56, 820-845.	2.9	22
6	A Long Constitution is a (Positively) Bad Constitution: Evidence from OECD Countries. British Journal of Political Science, 2016, 46, 457-478.	2.2	52
7	A Long Constitution is a (Positively) Bad Constitution: Evidence from OECD Countries – CORRIGENDUM. British Journal of Political Science, 2016, 46, 479-480.	2.2	1
8	Lessons from the Greek crisis. Journal of European Public Policy, 2016, 23, 25-41.	2.4	56
9	Coalition theory: a veto players' approach. European Political Science Review, 2014, 6, 331-357.	1.9	17
10	Suspending vetoes: how the euro countries achieved unanimity in the fiscal compact. Journal of European Public Policy, 2014, 21, 1388-1411.	2.4	26
11	Bridging qualified majority and unanimity decisionmaking in the EU. Journal of European Public Policy, 2013, 20, 1083-1103.	2.4	28
12	The Rules of Decisionmaking in EU Institutions. , 2012, , .		2
13	Political Parties and Government Coalitions in the Americas. Journal of Politics in Latin America, 2011, 3, 3-28.	0.7	77
14	Agenda Setting and Executive Dominance in Politics. , 2009, , 13-24.		12
15	Thinking about the Recent Past and the Future of the EU*. Journal of Common Market Studies, 2008, 46, 265-292.	1.3	17
16	Presidential Conditional Agenda Setting in the Former Communist Countries. Comparative Political Studies, 2007, 40, 1155-1182.	2.3	25
17	The Art of Political Manipulation in the European Convention. Journal of Common Market Studies, 2007, 45, 157-186.	1.3	43
18	Presidential Conditional Agenda Setting in Latin America. World Politics, 2005, 57, 396-420.	1.8	74

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	The Treaty of Nice, the Convention Draft and the Constitution for Europe Under a Veto Players Analysis. European Constitutional Law Review, 2005, 1, 429-451.	0.3	11
20	Veto players and the structure of budgets in advanced industrialized countries. European Journal of Political Research, 2004, 43, 449-476.	2.9	125
21	Chapitre 5. Institutional analyses of the european union. , 2004, , 117-141.		0
22	Veto Players and Referendums Around the World. Journal of Theoretical Politics, 2002, 14, 465-515.	0.3	124
23	Veto Players and Decisionâ€making in the EU After Nice. Journal of Common Market Studies, 2002, 40, 283-307.	1.3	80
24	Veto Players and Referendums Around the World. Journal of Theoretical Politics, 2002, 14, 465-515.	0.3	46
25	Even More Reasons to Resist the Temptation of Power Indices in the EU. Journal of Theoretical Politics, 2001, 13, 99-105.	0.3	45
26	The Institutional Foundations of Intergovernmentalism and Supranationalism in the European Union. International Organization, 2001, 55, 357-390.	3.6	343
27	Legislative Procedures in the European Union: An Empirical Analysis. British Journal of Political Science, 2001, 31, .	2.2	94
28	Veto Players and Institutional Analysis. Governance, 2000, 13, 441-474.	1.5	295
29	Legislative Politics in the European Union. European Union Politics, 2000, 1, 9-36.	1.6	449
30	Maastricht and the Democratic Deficit., 2000, , 16-47.		15
31	Veto Players and Law Production in Parliamentary Democracies: An Empirical Analysis. American Political Science Review, 1999, 93, 591-608.	2.6	473
32	The European parliament and environmental legislation: The case of chemicals. European Journal of Political Research, 1999, 36, 119-154.	2.9	79
33	The European Parliament and environmental legislation: The case of chemicals. European Journal of Political Research, 1999, 36, 119-154.	2.9	23
34	Coalition Formation in the European Parliament. Comparative Political Studies, 1999, 32, 933-966.	2.3	243
35	More Reasons to Resist the Temptation of Power Indices in the European Union. Journal of Theoretical Politics, 1999, 11, 331-338.	0.3	42
36	Why Resist the Temptation to Apply Power Indices to the European Union?. Journal of Theoretical Politics, 1999, 11, 291-308.	0.3	126

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	The history of conditional agenda-setting in European institutions. European Journal of Political Research, 1998, 33, 41-71.	2.9	1
38	The history of conditional agenda-setting in european institutions. European Journal of Political Research, 1998, 33, 41-71.	2.9	109
39	More on the coâ€decision endgame. Journal of Legislative Studies, The, 1997, 3, 139-143.	0.6	47
40	Agenda setting, vetoes and the european union's coâ€decision procedure. Journal of Legislative Studies, The, 1997, 3, 74-92.	0.6	127
41	Agenda setting power, power indices, and decision making in the European Union. International Review of Law and Economics, 1996, 16, 345-361.	0.5	104
42	More on the European Parliament as a Conditional Agenda Setter: Response to Moser. American Political Science Review, 1996, 90, 839-844.	2.6	110
43	An institutional critique of intergovernmentalism. International Organization, 1996, 50, 269-299.	3.6	398
44	The political power of the french senate: Micromechanisms of bicameral negotiations. Journal of Legislative Studies, The, 1995, 1, 192-217.	0.6	5
45	Bicameral Negotiations: The Navette System in France. British Journal of Political Science, 1995, 25, 101-129.	2.2	40
46	Conditional agendaâ€setting and decisionâ€makinginsidethe European parliament. Journal of Legislative Studies, The, 1995, 1, 65-93.	0.6	125
47	Decision Making in Political Systems: Veto Players in Presidentialism, Parliamentarism, Multicameralism and Multipartyism. British Journal of Political Science, 1995, 25, 289-325.	2.2	2,079
48	Decisionmaking Inside the European Parliament. European and Transatlantic Studies, 1995, , 42-64.	0.2	3
49	Monitoring Unemployment Benefits in Comparative Perspective. Political Research Quarterly, 1994, 47, 793-820.	1.1	7
50	The Power of the European Parliament as a Conditional Agenda Setter. American Political Science Review, 1994, 88, 128-142.	2.6	605
51	Monitoring Unemployment Benefits in Comparative Perspective. Political Research Quarterly, 1994, 47, 793.	1.1	1
52	Penalty and Crime: Further Theoretical Considerations and Empirical Evidence. Journal of Theoretical Politics, 1993, 5, 349-374.	0.3	26
53	Cicero's Puzzle: Upper House Power in Comparative Perspective. International Political Science Review, 1992, 13, 25-43.	2.0	27
54	The Effect of Fines on Regulated Industries. Journal of Theoretical Politics, 1991, 3, 81-101.	0.3	29

GEORGE TSEBELIS

#	Article	IF	CITATION
55	Crime and Punishment: Are One-Shot, Two-Person Games Enough?. American Political Science Review, 1990, 84, 569-586.	2.6	37
56	Are Sanctions Effective?. Journal of Conflict Resolution, 1990, 34, 3-28.	1.1	121
57	Penalty has no Impact on Crime:. Rationality and Society, 1990, 2, 255-286.	0.2	110
58	Elite Interaction and Constitution Building in Consociational Democracies. Journal of Theoretical Politics, 1990, 2, 5-29.	0.3	121
59	The Abuse of Probability in Political Analysis: The Robinson Crusoe Fallacy. American Political Science Review, 1989, 83, 77-91.	2.6	168
60	Coercion and revolution: Variations on a predator-prey model. Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 1989, 12, 547-559.	2.0	30
61	When Do Allies Become Rivals?. Comparative Politics, 1988, 20, 233.	0.6	7
62	Nested Games: The Cohesion of French Electoral Coalitions. British Journal of Political Science, 1988, 18, 145-170.	2.2	42
63	A General Model of Tactical and Inverse Tactical Voting. British Journal of Political Science, 1986, 16, 395-404.	2.2	37
64	Multiple vote electoral systems: a remedy for political polarization. Journal of European Public Policy. O 1-21.	2.4	1