

# Ioannis Rouvelas

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7551347/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

52  
papers

1,282  
citations

430442

18  
h-index

377514

34  
g-index

52  
all docs

52  
docs citations

52  
times ranked

1567  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Impact of obesity on the outcomes after gastrectomy for gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. Asian Journal of Surgery, 2022, 45, 15-26.	0.2	13
2	Partial stomach-partitioning gastrojejunostomy for gastric outlet obstruction: A cohort study based on consecutive case series from a single center. Asian Journal of Surgery, 2022, 45, 326-331.	0.2	3
3	Minimally invasive oesophagectomy in the prone versus lateral decubitus position: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2022, 35, .	0.2	2
4	Traction-assisted endoscopic submucosal dissection of a duodenal gastrointestinal stromal tumor. Endoscopy, 2022, 54, E318-E319.	1.0	3
5	Role of Radiology in the Preoperative Detection of Arterial Calcification and Celiac Trunk Stenosis and Its Association with Anastomotic Leakage Post Esophagectomy, an Up-to-Date Review of the Literature. Cancers, 2022, 14, 1016.	1.7	5
6	Robot-Assisted Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy versus Open Esophagectomy for Esophageal Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Cancers, 2022, 14, 3177.	1.7	8
7	Is surgical exploration mandatory in pneumatosis intestinalis with portomesenteric gas? Lesson learned in a neutropenic patient under chemotherapy. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2021, , .	0.3	2
8	Presentation of gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma with synchronous metastases at the small intestine. Could treatment with curative intent be considered? A case report. International Journal of Surgery Case Reports, 2021, 84, 106164.	0.2	1
9	Postoperative hiatal herniation after open vs. minimally invasive esophagectomy; a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Surgery, 2021, 93, 106046.	1.1	7
10	The Effect of Postoperative Complications After Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy on Long-term Survival. Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, e1129-e1137.	2.1	54
11	Endoscopic vacuum therapy for anastomotic leak after esophagectomy: a single-center's early experience. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2021, 34, .	0.2	11
12	Laparoscopic Versus Open Gastrectomy for Cancer: A Western Center Cohort Study. Journal of Surgical Research, 2020, 247, 372-379.	0.8	2
13	Cervical esophageal perforation caused by the use of bougie during laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy: a case report and review of the literature. BMC Surgery, 2020, 20, 9.	0.6	3
14	Surgical Morbidity and Mortality From the Multicenter Randomized Controlled NeoRes II Trial. Annals of Surgery, 2020, 272, 684-689.	2.1	24
15	Implementation of minimally invasive gastrectomy for gastric cancer in a western tertiary referral center. BMC Surgery, 2020, 20, 157.	0.6	3
16	Preoperative detection of sentinel lymph nodes with hybrid SPECT/computed tomography imaging may improve the accuracy of sentinel lymph node biopsies in patients with early stages of cancer of the oesophagus or gastro-oesophageal junction. Nuclear Medicine Communications, 2020, 41, 1153-1160.	0.5	1
17	Assessment of energy intake and total energy expenditure in a series of patients who have undergone oesophagectomy following neoadjuvant treatment. Clinical Nutrition ESPEN, 2020, 37, 121-128.	0.5	3
18	The impact of cirrhosis on esophageal cancer surgery: An up-to-date meta-analysis. American Journal of Surgery, 2020, 220, 865-872.	0.9	13

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Pseudoachalasia: a systematic review of the literature. <i>Esophagus</i> , 2020, 17, 216-222.	1.0	18
20	Computed tomography volumetry of esophageal cancer - the role of semiautomatic assessment. <i>BMC Medical Imaging</i> , 2019, 19, 17.	1.4	3
21	P116 A PILOT STUDY ON ENERGY INTAKE AND TOTAL ENERGY EXPENDITURE, USING A MULTI SENSOR DEVICE, IN OESOPHAGEAL CANCER PATIENTS DURING THE ENTIRE COURSE OF MODERN MULTIMODALITY TREATMENT. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.2	0
22	Surgical outcomes of oesophagectomy or gastrectomy due to cancer for patients ≥75 years of age: a single-centre cohort study. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 89, 228-233.	0.3	8
23	Pulse oximetric assessment of anatomical vascular contribution to tissue perfusion in the gastric conduit. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2018, 88, 727-732.	0.3	3
24	PS02.015: EXPERIENCES OF COMPLETE LAPARO-THORACOSCOPIC MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGECTOMY WITH SIDE-TO-SIDE ESOPHAGOGASTROSTOMY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2018, 31, 124-124.	0.2	0
25	“Sentinel lymph node imaging with sequential SPECT/CT lymphoscintigraphy before and after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in patients with cancer of the oesophagus or gastro-oesophageal junction” a pilot study. <i>Cancer Imaging</i> , 2018, 18, 53.	1.2	2
26	Evaluation of resection of the gastroesophageal junction and jejunal interposition (Merendino) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /Overlock 10 Tf 50 46 experience. <i>BMC Surgery</i> , 2018, 18, 70.	0.6	5
27	FA01.02: THE EFFECT OF POSTOPERATIVE COMPLICATIONS AFTER MIE ON LONG-TERM SURVIVAL: A RETROSPECTIVE, MULTI-CENTER COHORT STUDY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2018, 31, 1-1.	0.2	4
28	Current trends in gastric cancer treatment in Europe. <i>Journal of Cancer Metastasis and Treatment</i> , 2018, 4, 35.	0.5	9
29	The prognostic role of coeliac node metastasis after resection for distal oesophageal cancer. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2017, 7, 43744.	1.6	6
30	Long-term functional outcomes after replacement of the esophagus in pediatric patients: A systematic literature review. <i>Journal of Pediatric Surgery</i> , 2017, 52, 1398-1408.	0.8	23
31	Defining Benchmarks for Transthoracic Esophagectomy. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2017, 266, 814-821.	2.1	198
32	Implementation of minimally invasive esophagectomy in a tertiary referral center for esophageal cancer. <i>Journal of Thoracic Disease</i> , 2017, 9, S817-S825.	0.6	21
33	Extent of lymphadenectomy has no impact on postoperative complications after gastric cancer surgery in Sweden. <i>Chinese Journal of Cancer Research: Official Journal of China Anti-Cancer Association, Beijing Institute for Cancer Research</i> , 2017, 29, 313-322.	0.7	4
34	Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy may increase the risk of severe anastomotic complications after esophagectomy with cervical anastomosis. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2016, 401, 323-331.	0.8	15
35	Thoroscopic side-to-side esophagogastrostomy by use of linear stapler—a simplified technique facilitating a minimally invasive Ivor-Lewis operation. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2016, 401, 315-322.	0.8	30
36	Treatment of esophageal anastomotic leakage with self-expanding metal stents: analysis of risk factors for treatment failure. <i>Endoscopy International Open</i> , 2016, 04, E420-E426.	0.9	32

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	A systematic review and meta-analysis comparing partial stomach partitioning gastrojejunostomy versus conventional gastrojejunostomy for malignant gastroduodenal obstruction. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2016, 401, 777-785.	0.8	21
38	Esophagectomy for eosinophilic esophagitis. <i>European Surgery - Acta Chirurgica Austriaca</i> , 2016, 48, 241-245.	0.3	2
39	The Added Value of Partial Stomach-partitioning to a Conventional Gastrojejunostomy in the Treatment of Gastric Outlet Obstruction. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2015, 19, 1029-1035.	0.9	14
40	Postoperative pancreatic fistula formation according to ISGPF criteria after D2 gastrectomy in Western patients. <i>Gastric Cancer</i> , 2014, 17, 571-577.	2.7	19
41	Predictors for failure of stent treatment for benign esophageal perforations - a single center 10-year experience. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2014, 20, 10613.	1.4	37
42	Intrathoracic Anastomotic Leakage and Mortality After Esophageal Cancer Resection: A Population-Based Study. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2012, 19, 99-103.	0.7	160
43	Population-based esophageal cancer survival after resection without neoadjuvant therapy: An update. <i>Surgery</i> , 2012, 152, 903-910.	1.0	54
44	The impact of volume on outcomes after oesophageal cancer surgery. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2010, 80, 634-641.	0.3	24
45	Surgeon Volume is a Poor Proxy for Skill in Esophageal Cancer Surgery. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2009, 249, 256-261.	2.1	31
46	Impact of Hospital Volume on Long-term Survival After Esophageal Cancer Surgery. <i>Archives of Surgery</i> , 2007, 142, 113.	2.3	40
47	Results of Esophagectomy in High- and Low-Volume Centers—Reply. <i>Archives of Surgery</i> , 2007, 142, 1113.	2.3	0
48	Surgeon volume and postoperative mortality after oesophagectomy for cancer. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2007, 33, 162-168.	0.5	35
49	Quality of life and persisting symptoms after oesophageal cancer surgery. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2006, 42, 1407-1414.	1.3	105
50	Survival After Neoadjuvant Therapy Compared with Surgery Alone for Resectable Esophageal Cancer in a Population-based Study. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2006, 30, 2182-2190.	0.8	20
51	Survival after surgery for oesophageal cancer: a population-based study. <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2005, 6, 864-870.	5.1	180
52	Gastric and gastroesophageal junction cancer: Risk factors and prophylactic treatments for prevention of peritoneal recurrence after curative intent surgery. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 0, , .	1.2	1