

Guido Pennings

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7542128/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

161
papers

3,468
citations

185998

28
h-index

197535

49
g-index

164
all docs

164
docs citations

164
times ranked

2057
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Reproductive wish in transsexual men. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2012, 27, 483-487.	0.4	274
2	Forty years of IVF. <i>Fertility and Sterility</i> , 2018, 110, 185-324.e5.	0.5	211
3	Legal harmonization and reproductive tourism in Europe. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2004, 19, 2689-2694.	0.4	149
4	Fertility preservation in boys: recent developments and new insights â€. <i>Human Reproduction Open</i> , 2020, 2020, hoaa016.	2.3	122
5	Social egg freezing: for better, not for worse. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2011, 23, 824-829.	1.1	99
6	Socio-demographic and fertility-related characteristics and motivations of oocyte donors in eleven European countries. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2014, 29, 1076-1089.	0.4	98
7	Cross-border reproductive care: a phenomenon expressing the controversial aspects of reproductive technologies. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2010, 20, 261-266.	1.1	95
8	Cross-border reproductive care in Belgium. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2009, 24, 3108-3118.	0.4	76
9	ESHRE Task Force on Ethics and Law 11: Posthumous assisted reproduction. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2006, 21, 3050-3053.	0.4	72
10	Dynamics and ethics of comprehensive preimplantation genetic testing: a review of the challenges. <i>Human Reproduction Update</i> , 2013, 19, 366-375.	5.2	68
11	Coming soon to your clinic: patient-friendly ART. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2007, 22, 2075-2079.	0.4	66
12	Disclosure of donor conception, age of disclosure and the well-being of donor offspring. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2017, 32, 969-973.	0.4	58
13	The experience of two European preimplantation genetic diagnosis centres on human leukocyte antigen typing. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2008, 24, 732-740.	0.4	55
14	ESHRE Task Force on Ethics and Law 12: Oocyte donation for non-reproductive purposes. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2007, 22, 1210-1213.	0.4	53
15	Reply: Reproductive exile versus reproductive tourism. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2005, 20, 3571-3572.	0.4	49
16	International evolution of legislation and guidelines in medically assisted reproduction. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2009, 18, S15-S18.	1.1	47
17	Elective oocyte cryopreservation: who should pay?. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2012, 27, 9-13.	0.4	45
18	Beyond the dichotomy: a tool for distinguishing between experimental, innovative and established treatment. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2014, 29, 413-417.	0.4	43

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Spermatogonial stem cell preservation in boys with Klinefelter syndrome: to bank or not to bank, that's the question. <i>Fertility and Sterility</i> , 2012, 98, 284-289.	0.5	42
20	Evolving ethics in medically assisted reproduction. <i>Human Reproduction Update</i> , 2003, 9, 397-404.	5.2	40
21	Extraterritoriality for cross-border reproductive care: should states act against citizens travelling abroad for illegal infertility treatment?. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2011, 23, 546-554.	1.1	39
22	Responsible innovation in human germline gene editing: Background document to the recommendations of ESHG and ESHRE. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2018, 26, 450-470.	1.4	39
23	Providing infertility treatment in resource-poor countries. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2009, 24, 1008-1011.	0.4	37
24	The Meaning of the Sperm Donor for Heterosexual Couples: Confirming the Position of the Father. <i>Family Process</i> , 2017, 56, 203-216.	1.4	37
25	Avoiding multiple pregnancies in ART. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2000, 15, 2466-2469.	0.4	35
26	The right to choose your donor: a step towards commercialization or a step towards empowering the patient?. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2000, 15, 508-514.	0.4	35
27	The Right to Know Your Genetic Parents: From Open-Identity Gamete Donation to Routine Paternity Testing. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2013, 13, 33-41.	0.5	35
28	Personal desires of patients and social obligations of geneticists: applying preimplantation genetic diagnosis for non-medical sex selection. <i>Prenatal Diagnosis</i> , 2002, 22, 1123-1129.	1.1	34
29	Preimplantation genetic diagnosis for mitochondrial DNA disorders: ethical guidance for clinical practice. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2009, 17, 1550-1559.	1.4	34
30	Human germline gene editing: Recommendations of ESHG and ESHRE. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2018, 26, 445-449.	1.4	30
31	Evaluating the welfare of the child in same-sex families. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2011, 26, 1609-1615.	0.4	29
32	Embryonic Stem Cellâ€Derived Gametes and Genetic Parenthood: A Problematic Relationship. <i>Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics</i> , 2008, 17, 7-14.	0.5	27
33	Implications of oocyte cryostorage for the practice of oocyte donation. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2012, 27, 2886-2893.	0.4	27
34	Ethical aspects of social freezing. <i>GynÃ©cologie, ObstÃ©trique & FertilitÃ©</i> , 2013, 41, 521-523.	0.7	27
35	Cross-border reproductive care for law evasion: A qualitative study into the experiences and moral perspectives of French women who go to Belgium for treatment with donor sperm. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2015, 124, 391-397.	1.8	27
36	Demanding pure motives for donation: the moral acceptability of blood donations by haemochromatosis patients. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2005, 31, 69-72.	1.0	26

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Subsidized in-vitro fertilization treatment and the effect on the number of egg sharers. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2006, 13, 8-10.	1.1	26
38	Central role of altruism in the recruitment of gamete donors. <i>Monash Bioethics Review</i> , 2015, 33, 78-88.	0.4	26
39	Should donors have the right to decide who receives their gametes?. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 1995, 10, 2736-2740.	0.4	25
40	Commentary on Craft and Thornhill: new ethical strategies to recruit gamete donors. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2005, 10, 307-309.	1.1	24
41	Decision-making authority of patients and fertility specialists in Belgian law. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2007, 15, 19-23.	1.1	24
42	Reflections of Dutch patients on IVF treatment in Belgium: a qualitative analysis of internet forums. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2013, 28, 1013-1022.	0.4	24
43	Ethical quandaries around expanded carrier screening in third-party reproduction. <i>Fertility and Sterility</i> , 2018, 109, 190-194.	0.5	24
44	Belgian Law on Medically Assisted Reproduction and the Disposition of Supernumerary Embryos and Gametes. <i>European Journal of Health Law</i> , 2007, 14, 251-260.	0.1	23
45	The right of the donor to information about children conceived from his or her gametes. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2013, 28, 560-565.	0.4	23
46	Balancing Ethical Pros and Cons of Stem Cell Derived Gametes. <i>Annals of Biomedical Engineering</i> , 2017, 45, 1620-1632.	1.3	23
47	The subsidiarity principle in the context of embryonic stem cell research. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2004, 19, 1060-1064.	0.4	21
48	Gamete donation in a system of need-adjusted reciprocity. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2005, 20, 2990-2993.	0.4	21
49	Open-Identity Sperm Donation: How Does Offering Donor-Identifying Information Relate to Donor-Conceived Offspring's Wishes and Needs?. <i>Journal of Bioethical Inquiry</i> , 2015, 12, 503-509.	0.9	21
50	Distributive justice in the allocation of donor oocytes. <i>Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics</i> , 2001, 18, 56-63.	1.2	20
51	Semen donor recruitment in an oocyte donation programme. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2006, 21, 2482-2485.	0.4	20
52	How to kill gamete donation: retrospective legislation and donor anonymity. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2012, 27, 2881-2885.	0.4	20
53	Creating a family through connection websites and events: ethical and social issues. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2016, 33, 522-528.	1.1	20
54	The frozen embryo and its nonresponding parents. <i>Fertility and Sterility</i> , 2011, 95, 1980-1984.e1.	0.5	19

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Family Communication about Donor Conception: A Qualitative Study with Lesbian Parents. <i>Family Process</i> , 2016, 55, 139-154.	1.4	19
56	Genetic databases and the future of donor anonymity. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2019, 34, 786-790.	0.4	19
57	Avoiding transgenerational risks of mitochondrial DNA disorders: a morally acceptable reason for sex selection?. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2010, 25, 1354-1360.	0.4	18
58	Multi family member interview studies: a focus on data analysis. <i>Journal of Family Therapy</i> , 2017, 39, 386-401.	0.5	18
59	The current status of oocyte banks: domestic and international perspectives. <i>Fertility and Sterility</i> , 2018, 110, 1203-1208.	0.5	18
60	Extraterritorial Laws for Cross-Border Reproductive Care: The Issue of Legal Diversity. <i>European Journal of Health Law</i> , 2012, 19, 187-200.	0.1	17
61	Using the EngagedMD multimedia platform to improve informed consent for ovulation induction, intrauterine insemination, and in vitro fertilization. <i>Fertility and Sterility</i> , 2018, 110, 1338-1346.	0.5	17
62	Getting what you desire: the normative significance of genetic relatedness in parent-child relationships. <i>Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy</i> , 2019, 22, 487-495.	0.9	17
63	The other face of advanced paternal age: a scoping review of its terminological, social, public health, psychological, ethical and regulatory aspects. <i>Human Reproduction Update</i> , 2021, 27, 305-323.	5.2	17
64	Postmenopausal Women and the Right of Access to Oocyte Donation. <i>Journal of Applied Philosophy</i> , 2001, 18, 171-181.	0.7	16
65	Is there a moral obligation to conceive children under the best possible conditions? A preliminary framework for identifying the preconception responsibilities of potential parents. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2014, 15, 5.	1.0	16
66	Non-donors' attitudes towards sperm donation and their willingness to donate. <i>Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics</i> , 2018, 35, 107-118.	1.2	16
67	Parental (in)equality and the genetic link in lesbian families. <i>Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology</i> , 2014, 32, 457-468.	0.9	15
68	Motivations and attitudes of candidate sperm donors in Belgium. <i>Fertility and Sterility</i> , 2017, 108, 539-547.	0.5	15
69	How to create a family? Decision making in lesbian couples using donor sperm. <i>Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare</i> , 2017, 11, 13-18.	0.5	15
70	The ethics of ectogenesis-aided foetal treatment. <i>Bioethics</i> , 2020, 34, 364-370.	0.7	15
71	Ethical Aspects of the Use of Stem Cell Derived Gametes for Reproduction. <i>Health Care Analysis</i> , 2010, 18, 267-278.	1.4	14
72	Modification of the Embryo's Genome: More Useful in Research Than in the Clinic. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2015, 15, 52-53.	0.5	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Having a child together in lesbian families: combining gestation and genetics. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2016, 42, 253-255.	1.0	14
74	Attitude towards reciprocity as a motive for oocyte donation. <i>European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology</i> , 2018, 225, 194-198.	0.5	14
75	Societal pressures and procreative preferences for gay fathers successfully pursuing parenthood through IVF and gestational carriers. <i>Reproductive Biomedicine and Society Online</i> , 2019, 9, 1-10.	0.9	14
76	Decisional authority and moral responsibility of patients and clinicians in the context of preimplantation genetic diagnosis. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2003, 7, 509-513.	1.1	13
77	Expanded carrier screening should not be mandatory for gamete donors. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2020, 35, 1256-1261.	0.4	13
78	Social sperm freezing. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2021, 36, 833-839.	0.4	13
79	Saviour siblings: using preimplantation genetic diagnosis for tissue typing. <i>International Congress Series</i> , 2004, 1266, 311-317.	0.2	12
80	Mirror gametes donation. <i>Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynaecology</i> , 2007, 28, 187-191.	1.1	12
81	Cross-border reproductive care for law evasion: should physicians be allowed to help infertility patients evade the law of their own country?. <i>European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology</i> , 2016, 202, 101-105.	0.5	12
82	<i>â€œNo daddyâ€™, â€™A kind of daddyâ€™</i>: words used by donor conceived children and (aspiring) parents to refer to the sperm donor. <i>Culture, Health and Sexuality</i> , 2018, 20, 381-396.	1.0	12
83	In vitro gametogenesis and reproductive cloning: Can we allow one while banning the other?. <i>Bioethics</i> , 2019, 33, 68-75.	0.7	12
84	Cross-border Research on Human Embryonic Stem Cells: Legal and Ethical Considerations. <i>Stem Cell Reviews and Reports</i> , 2009, 5, 10-17.	5.6	11
85	Ethical issues in infertility treatment. <i>Best Practice and Research in Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology</i> , 2012, 26, 853-863.	1.4	11
86	Reframing egg donation in Europe: new regulatory challenges for a shifting landscape. <i>Health Policy and Technology</i> , 2020, 9, 308-313.	1.3	11
87	The consequences of S.H. and Others v. Austria for legislation on gamete donation in Europe: an ethical analysis of the European Court of Human Rights judgments. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2012, 25, 665-669.	1.1	10
88	Recipients' views on payment of sperm donors. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2015, 31, 225-231.	1.1	10
89	Using stem cell-derived gametes for same-sex reproduction: an alternative scenario. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2017, 43, 688-691.	1.0	10
90	In Vitro Gametogenesis and the Creation of â€™Designer Babiesâ€™. <i>Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics</i> , 2019, 28, 499-508.	0.5	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Elective egg freezing and women's emancipation. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2021, 42, 1053-1055.	1.1	10
92	Age as a criterion for parenting competence. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2013, 27, 118-120.	1.1	9
93	Donor Conception Disclosure: Directive or Non-Directive Counselling?. Journal of Bioethical Inquiry, 2016, 13, 369-379.	0.9	9
94	Responsible innovation in human germline gene editing. Background document to the recommendations of ESHG and ESHRE. Human Reproduction Open, 2018, 2018, hox024.	2.3	9
95	Sex selection, public policy and the HFEA's role in political decision making – response to Edgar Dahl's "The presumption in favour of liberty". Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2004, 8, 268-269.	1.1	8
96	An ethical analysis of alternative methods to obtain pluripotent stem cells without destroying embryos. Human Reproduction, 2006, 21, 2749-2755.	0.4	8
97	Reply: disclosure and donor-conceived children. Human Reproduction, 2017, 32, 1537-1538.	0.4	8
98	Balancing animal welfare and assisted reproduction: ethics of preclinical animal research for testing new reproductive technologies. Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy, 2018, 21, 537-545.	0.9	8
99	ESHRE guideline: medically assisted reproduction in patients with a viral infection/disease. Human Reproduction Open, 2021, 2021, .	2.3	8
100	A private matter: how patients decide what to do with cryopreserved embryos after infertility treatment. Human Fertility, 2012, 15, 210-216.	0.7	7
101	Balancing ethical criteria for the recruitment of gamete donors. , 0, , 150-167.		7
102	Gamete and embryo donation. , 2012, , 112-129.		7
103	Human embryo research in Belgium: an overview. Fertility and Sterility, 2017, 108, 96-107.	0.5	7
104	Constructing and enacting kinship in sister-to-sister egg donation families: a multi-family member interview study. Sociology of Health and Illness, 2017, 39, 847-862.	1.1	7
105	Expanded Preconception Carrier Screening in Clinical Practice: Review of Technology, Guidelines, Implementation Challenges, and Ethical Quandaries. Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2019, 62, 217-227.	0.6	7
106	Mild stimulation should be mandatory for oocyte donation. Human Reproduction, 2020, 35, 2403-2407.	0.4	7
107	Ten years of fertility treatment experience and reproductive options in transgender men. International Journal of Transgender Health, 2021, 22, 294-303.	1.1	7
108	The physician as an accessory in the parental project of HIV positive people. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2003, 29, 321-324.	1.0	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	Directed Organ Donation: Discrimination or Autonomy?. <i>Journal of Applied Philosophy</i> , 2007, 24, 41-49.	0.7	6
110	Patenting time-lapse microscopy: the European story. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2014, 28, 146-150.	1.1	6
111	Patenting medical diagnosis methods in Europe: Stanford University and time-lapse microscopy. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2017, 34, 166-168.	1.1	6
112	Between innovation and precaution: how did offspring safety considerations play a role in strategies of introducing new reproductive techniques?. <i>Human Reproduction Open</i> , 2020, 2020, hoaa003.	2.3	6
113	Attitudes of sperm donors towards offspring, identity release and extended genetic screening. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2021, 43, 700-707.	1.1	6
114	Oocyte vitrification for elective fertility preservation: a SWOT analysis. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2022, 44, 1005-1014.	1.1	6
115	Enucleated oocyte donation: first for infertility treatment, then for mitochondrial diseases. <i>Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics</i> , 2022, 39, 605-608.	1.2	6
116	Legal Harmonization and Reproductive. <i>Reproductive Health Matters</i> , 2005, 13, 120-128.	1.3	5
117	The ethics of using embryos in research. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2007, 14, 92-97.	1.1	5
118	The attitude of female students towards sperm donation by their partner. <i>Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics</i> , 2019, 36, 1431-1439.	1.2	5
119	Should the reproductive risk of a couple aiming to conceive be tested in the contemporary clinical context?. <i>Fertility and Sterility</i> , 2019, 111, 229-238.	0.5	5
120	Uterine lavage: ethics of research and clinical applications. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2020, 35, 1949-1953.	0.4	5
121	An ethical exploration of pregnancy related mHealth: does it deliver?. <i>Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy</i> , 2021, 24, 677-685.	0.9	5
122	Cross-Border Reproductive Care Around the World: Recent Controversies. , 2013, , 98-112.		5
123	The legal and ethical regulation of transnational donation. , 2012, , 130-149.		4
124	Testicular Tissue Cryopreservation: Combining Access With Safeguards. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2013, 13, 46-48.	0.5	4
125	International regulation and cross-country comparisons. , 0, , 39-59.		4
126	Problematizing donor conception and drawing the right conclusions from the evidence. <i>Fertility and Sterility</i> , 2021, 115, 1179-1180.	0.5	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	The forgotten group of donor-conceived persons. <i>Human Reproduction Open</i> , 2022, 2022, .	2.3	4
128	Questioning the assumptions in the debate on assisted reproduction: comment on the House of Commons report <i>Human Reproductive Technologies and the Law</i> . <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2005, 11, 152-154.	1.1	3
129	Human germline gene editing. Recommendations of ESHG and ESHRE. <i>Human Reproduction Open</i> , 2018, 2018, hox025.	2.3	3
130	Of mice and human embryos: is there an ethically preferred order of preclinical research on new assisted reproductive technologies?. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2018, 33, 1581-1585.	0.4	3
131	Ethics without Boundaries: Medical Tourism. , 0, , 505-510.		3
132	A multicriteria approach to patient-friendly IVF. <i>Expert Review of Obstetrics and Gynecology</i> , 2008, 3, 425-427.	0.4	2
133	UK and US perspectives on the regulation of gamete donation. , 0, , 90-111.		2
134	The last vial. What it means to (aspiring) parents to use the same sperm donor for siblings. <i>Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynaecology</i> , 2020, 41, 62-68.	1.1	2
135	Follow-up in the field of reproductive medicine: an ethical exploration. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2020, 41, 1144-1150.	1.1	2
136	An ethical perspective on "Genes versus children". <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2020, 35, 1006-1007.	0.4	2
137	Sister-to-sister oocyte donation: couples' experiences with regard to genetic ties. <i>Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology</i> , 2016, 34, 314-323.	0.9	1
138	Time-lapse microscopy patent upheld in Europe: response to Pearce. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2017, 34, 172-173.	1.1	1
139	Cross-Border Reproductive Care. , 2018, , 387-390.		1
140	The Belgian experience. , 2019, , 113-118.		1
141	Donor insemination disclosure in social networks: heterosexual couples' experiences. <i>Culture, Health and Sexuality</i> , 2020, 22, 292-306.	1.0	1
142	Reproductive Endocrinology Infertility (REI) Specialists' Utilization and Attitudes Toward Expanded Carrier Screening (ECS) for Third-Party Oocyte Donors. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India</i> , 2020, 70, 409-411.	0.3	1
143	Bioethics in human reproduction (human reproductive genetics). , 2020, , 283-293.		1
144	The Regulation of Human Germline Genome Modification in Belgium. , 2020, , 266-280.		1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
145	Balancing rules in postmortem sperm donation. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2021, , medethics-2020-107075.	1.0	1
146	Maintaining an adequate sperm donor pool: modifying the medical criteria for sperm donor selection. <i>Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics</i> , 2021, 38, 2559-2562.	1.2	1
147	The dangers of being a sperm donor. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2021, 43, 771-774.	1.1	1
148	Enthusiasm, concern and ambivalence in the Belgian public's attitude towards in-vitro gametogenesis. <i>Reproductive Biomedicine and Society Online</i> , 2021, 14, 156-168.	0.9	1
149	Balancing embryo donation and double gamete donation. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2022, 37, 389-392.	0.4	1
150	Reply: Mirror exchange of donor gametes should also accommodate scientific research. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2006, 21, 1101-1101.	0.4	0
151	Philosophical and ethical considerations on single embryo transfer. , 0, , 199-210.		0
152	Reproductive donation and justice for gay and lesbian couples. , 0, , 211-230.		0
153	Response: Stanford University's patent on embryo selection should be excluded under European patent law. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2014, 28, 262.	1.1	0
154	Normative and regulatory issues in cross-border reproductive health care. , 0, , 105-125.		0
155	Modern Ethical Dilemmas in ART. , 2018, , 391-394.		0
156	Decisional authority of gamete donors over embryos created with their gametes. <i>Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics</i> , 2020, 37, 281-286.	1.2	0
157	Response to: Women's emancipation, but what about men?. <i>Reproductive BioMedicine Online</i> , 2021, 43, 578.	1.1	0
158	Gamete Generation from Stem Cells: An Ethicist's View. <i>Reproductive Medicine and Assisted Reproductive Techniques Series</i> , 2009, , 14-21.	0.1	0
159	Gamete Generation from Stem Cells: An Ethicist's View. <i>Reproductive Medicine and Assisted Reproductive Techniques Series</i> , 2009, , 14-21.	0.1	0
160	Gamete Donation Should Be Anonymous. , 2021, , 165-166.		0
161	OUP accepted manuscript. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2022, , .	0.4	0