

Giovanni Abramo

List of Publications by Year in Descending Order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7530880/giovanni-abramo-publications-by-year.pdf>

Version: 2024-04-25

This document has been generated based on the publications and citations recorded by exaly.com. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

133
papers

3,271
citations

32
h-index

51
g-index

139
ext. papers

3,900
ext. citations

2.9
avg, IF

6.03
L-index

#	Paper	IF	Citations
133	Impact of Covid-19 on research output by gender across countries.. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2022 , 1-16	3	4
132	Revealing the scientific comparative advantage of nations: Common and distinctive features. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2022 , 16, 101244	3.1	1
131	Scientometric-based analysis in business and economics: Introduction, examples, and guidelines. <i>Journal of Economic Surveys</i> , 2021 , 35, 1261	3.8	
130	A bibliometric methodology to unveil territorial inequities in the scientific wealth to combat COVID-19. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2021 , 126, 1-24	3	1
129	Gender differences in research performance within and between countries: Italy vs Norway. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2021 , 15, 101144	3.1	7
128	Were the Italian policy reforms to contrast favoritism and foster effectiveness in faculty recruitment successful?. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2021 , 47, 604-615	1.8	2
127	On the relation between the degree of internationalization of cited and citing publications: A field level analysis, including and excluding self-citations. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2021 , 15, 101101	3.1	1
126	The scholarly impact of private sector research: A multivariate analysis. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2021 , 15, 101191	3.1	1
125	The effects of citation-based research evaluation schemes on self-citation behavior. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2021 , 15, 101204	3.1	1
124	Does the geographic proximity effect on knowledge spillovers vary across research fields?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020 , 123, 1021-1036	3	7
123	Knowledge spillovers: Does the geographic proximity effect decay over time? A discipline-level analysis, accounting for cognitive proximity, with and without self-citations. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2020 , 14, 101072	3.1	4
122	Comparison of research performance of Italian and Norwegian professors and universities. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2020 , 14, 101023	3.1	11
121	The role of geographical proximity in knowledge diffusion, measured by citations to scientific literature. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2020 , 14, 101010	3.1	13
120	The domestic localization of knowledge flows as evidenced by publication citation: the case of Italy. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020 , 125, 1305-1329	3	1
119	Informed peer review for publication assessments: Are improved impact measures worth the hassle?. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 2020 , 1, 1321-1333	3.8	2
118	A novel methodology to assess the scientific standing of nations at field level. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2020 , 14, 100986	3.1	5
117	A gender analysis of top scientists' collaboration behavior: evidence from Italy. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019 , 120, 405-418	3	19

116	Authorship analysis of specialized vs diversified research output. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019 , 13, 564-573	3.1	2
115	Are all citations worth the same? Valuing citations by the value of the citing items. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019 , 13, 500-514	3.1	10
114	Diversification versus specialization in scientific research: Which strategy pays off?. <i>Technovation</i> , 2019 , 82-83, 51-57	7.9	9
113	When research assessment exercises leave room for opportunistic behavior by the subjects under evaluation. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019 , 13, 830-840	3.1	10
112	Peer review versus bibliometrics: Which method better predicts the scholarly impact of publications?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019 , 121, 537-554	3	16
111	A nation's foreign and domestic professors: which have better research performance? (the Italian case). <i>Higher Education</i> , 2019 , 77, 917-930	3	9
110	Predicting publication long-term impact through a combination of early citations and journal impact factor. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019 , 13, 32-49	3.1	44
109	The balance of knowledge flows. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019 , 13, 1-9	3.1	9
108	The collaboration behavior of top scientists. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019 , 118, 215-232	3	29
107	The effect of multidisciplinary collaborations on research diversification. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018 , 116, 423-433	3.1	20
106	Who benefits from a country's scientific research?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 249-258	3.1	8
105	The effects of gender, age and academic rank on research diversification. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018 , 114, 373-387	3.1	19
104	Revisiting the scientometric conceptualization of impact and its measurement. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 590-597	3.1	35
103	A comparison of two approaches for measuring interdisciplinary research output: The disciplinary diversity of authors vs the disciplinary diversity of the reference list. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 1182-1193	3.1	21
102	Do interdisciplinary research teams deliver higher gains to science?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017 , 111, 317-336	3	17
101	An investigation on the skewness patterns and fractal nature of research productivity distributions at field and discipline level. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017 , 11, 324-335	3.1	9
100	Specialization versus diversification in research activities: the extent, intensity and relatedness of field diversification by individual scientists. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017 , 112, 1403-1418	3	12
99	Does your surname affect the citability of your publications?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017 , 11, 121-127	3.1	10

98	The relationship among research productivity, research collaboration, and their determinants. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017 , 11, 1016-1030	3.1	43
97	Response to comments on: Does your surname affect the citability of your publications? <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017 , 11, 855-858	3.1	
96	On tit for tat: Franceschini and Maisano versus ANVUR regarding the Italian research assessment exercise VQR 2011-2014. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017 , 11, 783-787	3.1	7
95	How long do top scientists maintain their stardom? An analysis by region, gender and discipline: evidence from Italy. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017 , 110, 867-877	3	10
94	Bibliometric Evaluation of Research Performance: Where Do We Stand?. <i>Voprosy Obrazovaniya</i> , 2017 , 112-127	2.2	3
93	The combined effects of age and seniority on research performance of full professors. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2016 , 43, 301-319	1.8	25
92	A comparison of university performance scores and ranks by MNCS and FSS. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 889-901	3.1	6
91	From rankings to funnel plots: The question of accounting for uncertainty when assessing university research performance. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 854-862	3.1	5
90	A methodology to measure the effectiveness of academic recruitment and turnover. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 31-42	3.1	7
89	Gender bias in academic recruitment. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016 , 106, 119-141	3	15
88	The ratio of top scientists to the academic staff as an indicator of the competitive strength of universities. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 596-605	3.1	6
87	A farewell to the MNCS and like size-independent indicators: Rejoinder. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 679-683	3.1	17
86	A farewell to the MNCS and like size-independent indicators. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 646-651	3.1	51
85	The effect of a country's name in the title of a publication on its visibility and citability. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016 , 109, 1895-1909	3	7
84	The north-south divide in the Italian higher education system. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016 , 109, 2093-2117	3	15
83	The dispersion of the citation distribution of top scientists' publications. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016 , 109, 1711-1724	3	2
82	Refrain from adopting the combination of citation and journal metrics to grade publications, as used in the Italian national research assessment exercise (VQR 2011-2014). <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016 , 109, 2053-2065	3	22
81	The VQR, Italy's second national research assessment: Methodological failures and ranking distortions. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2015 , 66, 2202-2214	2.7	24

80	The determinants of academic career advancement: Evidence from Italy. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2015 , scu086	1.8	8
79	A multivariate stochastic model to assess research performance. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2015 , 102, 1755-1772	3	12
78	Evaluating university research: Same performance indicator, different rankings. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015 , 9, 514-525	3.1	14
77	Ranking research institutions by the number of highly-cited articles per scientist. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015 , 9, 915-923	3.1	13
76	An assessment of the first scientific habilitation for university appointments in Italy. <i>Economia Politica</i> , 2015 , 32, 329-357	1	11
75	The relationship between the number of authors of a publication, its citations and the impact factor of the publishing journal: Evidence from Italy. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015 , 9, 746-761	3.1	34
74	Funnel plots for visualizing uncertainty in the research performance of institutions. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015 , 9, 954-961	3.1	6
73	Should the research performance of scientists be distinguished by gender?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015 , 9, 25-38	3.1	26
72	A new approach to measure the scientific strengths of territories. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2015 , 66, 1167-1177	2.7	5
71	A methodology to compute the territorial productivity of scientists: The case of Italy. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015 , 9, 675-685	3.1	5
70	Selection committees for academic recruitment: does gender matter?. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2015 , 24, 392-404	1.7	10
69	Accounting for Gender Research Performance Differences in Ranking Universities. <i>Current Science</i> , 2015 , 109, 1783	2.2	2
68	How do you define and measure research productivity?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014 , 101, 1129-1144	3	116
67	Inefficiency in selecting products for submission to national research assessment exercises. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014 , 98, 2069-2086	3	13
66	Variation in research collaboration patterns across academic ranks. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014 , 98, 2275-2294	3	24
65	Assessing national strengths and weaknesses in research fields. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2014 , 8, 766-775	3.1	13
64	The spin-off of elite universities in non-competitive, undifferentiated higher education systems: an empirical simulation in Italy. <i>Studies in Higher Education</i> , 2014 , 39, 1270-1289	2.6	6
63	Relatives in the same university faculty: nepotism or merit?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014 , 101, 737-749	3	10

62	Are the authors of highly cited articles also the most productive ones?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2014 , 8, 89-97	3.1	35
61	A new bibliometric approach to assess the scientific specialization of regions. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2014 , 23, 183-194	1.7	10
60	Variability of research performance across disciplines within universities in non-competitive higher education systems. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014 , 98, 777-795	3	5
59	Career advancement and scientific performance in universities. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014 , 98, 891-907	3	35
58	Investigating returns to scope of research fields in universities. <i>Higher Education</i> , 2014 , 68, 69-85	3	11
57	The suitability of h and g indexes for measuring the research performance of institutions. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013 , 97, 555-570	3	13
56	Gender differences in research collaboration. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 811-822	3.1	110
55	The importance of accounting for the number of co-authors and their order when assessing research performance at the individual level in the life sciences. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 198-208 ^{3.1}		50
54	National peer-review research assessment exercises for the hard sciences can be a complete waste of money: the Italian case. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013 , 95, 311-324	3	21
53	The impact of unproductive and top researchers on overall university research performance. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 166-175	3.1	31
52	Selecting competent referees to assess research projects proposals: A study of referees' registers. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2013 , 22, 41-51	1.7	2
51	The collaboration behaviors of scientists in Italy: A field level analysis. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 442-454	3.1	32
50	Individual research performance: A proposal for comparing apples to oranges. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 528-539	3.1	35
49	Assessing the accuracy of the h- and g-indexes for measuring researchers' productivity. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2013 , 64, 1224-1234		13
48	Measuring institutional research productivity for the life sciences: the importance of accounting for the order of authors in the byline. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013 , 97, 779-795	3	22
47	An individual-level assessment of the relationship between spin-off activities and research performance in universities. <i>R and D Management</i> , 2012 , 42, 225-242	4.1	32
46	What is the appropriate length of the publication period over which to assess research performance?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2012 , 93, 1005-1017	3	46
45	Identifying interdisciplinarity through the disciplinary classification of coauthors of scientific publications. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2012 , 63, 2206-2222		30

44	How important is choice of the scaling factor in standardizing citations?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 645-654	3.1	13
43	A sensitivity analysis of research institutions' productivity rankings to the time of citation observation. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 298-306	3.1	7
42	The dispersion of research performance within and between universities as a potential indicator of the competitive intensity in higher education systems. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 155-168	3.1	31
41	A sensitivity analysis of researchers' productivity rankings to the time of citation observation. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 192-201	3.1	15
40	Revisiting the scaling of citations for research assessment. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 470-479	3.1	51
39	Revisiting size effects in higher education research productivity. <i>Higher Education</i> , 2012 , 63, 701-717	3	35
38	A bibliometric tool to assess the regional dimension of university-industry research collaborations. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2012 , 91, 955-975	3	23
37	Assessing the relative technology transfer performance of universities and public research laboratories: the case of Italy. <i>International Journal of Technology Transfer and Commercialisation</i> , 2012 , 11, 51	0.5	2
36	A field-standardized application of DEA to national-scale research assessment of universities. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2011 , 5, 618-628	3.1	52
35	Assessing the varying level of impact measurement accuracy as a function of the citation window length. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2011 , 5, 659-667	3.1	64
34	University-industry research collaboration: a model to assess university capability. <i>Higher Education</i> , 2011 , 62, 163-181	3	44
33	The role of information asymmetry in the market for university-industry research collaboration. <i>Journal of Technology Transfer</i> , 2011 , 36, 84-100	4.4	31
32	The relationship between scientists' research performance and the degree of internationalization of their research. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 86, 629-643	3	113
31	National-scale research performance assessment at the individual level. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 86, 347-364		61
30	A national-scale cross-time analysis of university research performance. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 87, 399-413		13
29	Evaluating research: from informed peer review to bibliometrics. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 87, 499-514	3	104
28	The dangers of performance-based research funding in non-competitive higher education systems. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 87, 641-654	3	34
27	National research assessment exercises: the effects of changing the rules of the game during the game. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 88, 229-238	3	15

26	The field-standardized average impact of national research systems compared to world average: the case of Italy. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 88, 599-615	3	19
25	Research productivity: Are higher academic ranks more productive than lower ones?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 88, 915-928	3	49
24	National research assessment exercises: a comparison of peer review and bibliometrics rankings. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 89, 929-941	3	52
23	A heuristic approach to author name disambiguation in bibliometrics databases for large-scale research assessments. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2011 , 62, 257-269		120
22	Are researchers that collaborate more at the international level top performers? An investigation on the Italian university system. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2011 , 5, 204-213	3.1	23
21	Assessing public-private research collaboration: is it possible to compare university performance?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2010 , 84, 173-197	3	17
20	National research assessment exercises: a measure of the distortion of performance rankings when labor input is treated as uniform. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2010 , 84, 605-619	3	10
19	Citations versus journal impact factor as proxy of quality: could the latter ever be preferable?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2010 , 84, 821-833	3	44
18	Peer review research assessment: a sensitivity analysis of performance rankings to the share of research product evaluated. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2010 , 85, 705-720	3	7
17	Testing the trade-off between productivity and quality in research activities. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2010 , 61, 132-140		21
16	A robust benchmark for the h- and g-indexes. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2010 , 61, n/a-n/a		1
15	Mapping excellence in national research systems: the case of Italy. <i>Evaluation Review</i> , 2009 , 33, 159-88	1.6	9
14	A decision support system for public research organizations participating in national research assessment exercises. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2009 , 60, 2095-2106		3
13	Gender differences in research productivity: A bibliometric analysis of the Italian academic system. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2009 , 79, 517-539	3	107
12	The contribution of star scientists to overall sex differences in research productivity. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2009 , 81, 137-156	3	42
11	Research collaboration and productivity: is there correlation?. <i>Higher Education</i> , 2009 , 57, 155-171	3	183
10	University-industry collaboration in Italy: A bibliometric examination. <i>Technovation</i> , 2009 , 29, 498-507	7.9	112
9	Allocative efficiency in public research funding: Can bibliometrics help?. <i>Research Policy</i> , 2009 , 38, 206-215		101

8	The alignment of public research supply and industry demand for effective technology transfer: the case of Italy. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2009 , 36, 2-14	1.8	7
7	Assessing technical and cost efficiency of research activities: a case study of the Italian university system. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2009 , 18, 61-70	1.7	6
6	Assessment of sectoral aggregation distortion in research productivity measurements. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2008 , 17, 111-121	1.7	51
5	The measurement of Italian universities' research productivity by a non parametric-bibliometric methodology. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2008 , 76, 225-244	3	75
4	The technology transfer of the Italian public research system: the case of the National Research Council of Italy. <i>International Journal of Technology Transfer and Commercialisation</i> , 2006 , 5, 338	0.5	5
3	Drivers of academic engagement in public-private research collaboration: an empirical study. <i>Journal of Technology Transfer</i> , 1	4.4	0
2	Public-private research collaborations: Longitudinal field-level analysis of determinants, frequency, and impact. <i>Journal of Economic Surveys</i> ,	3.8	2
1	The effect of academic mobility on research performance: The case of Italy. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 1-22	3.8	1