

Giovanni Abramo

List of Publications by Citations

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7530880/giovanni-abramo-publications-by-citations.pdf>

Version: 2024-04-25

This document has been generated based on the publications and citations recorded by exaly.com. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

133
papers

3,271
citations

32
h-index

51
g-index

139
ext. papers

3,900
ext. citations

2.9
avg, IF

6.03
L-index

#	Paper	IF	Citations
133	Research collaboration and productivity: is there correlation?. <i>Higher Education</i> , 2009 , 57, 155-171	3	183
132	A heuristic approach to author name disambiguation in bibliometrics databases for large-scale research assessments. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2011 , 62, 257-269		120
131	How do you define and measure research productivity?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014 , 101, 1129-1144	3	116
130	The relationship between scientists' research performance and the degree of internationalization of their research. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 86, 629-643	3	113
129	University-Industry collaboration in Italy: A bibliometric examination. <i>Technovation</i> , 2009 , 29, 498-507	7.9	112
128	Gender differences in research collaboration. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 811-822	3.1	110
127	Gender differences in research productivity: A bibliometric analysis of the Italian academic system. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2009 , 79, 517-539	3	107
126	Evaluating research: from informed peer review to bibliometrics. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 87, 499-514	3	104
125	Allocative efficiency in public research funding: Can bibliometrics help?. <i>Research Policy</i> , 2009 , 38, 206-215	15.5	101
124	The measurement of Italian universities' research productivity by a non parametric-bibliometric methodology. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2008 , 76, 225-244	3	75
123	Assessing the varying level of impact measurement accuracy as a function of the citation window length. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2011 , 5, 659-667	3.1	64
122	National-scale research performance assessment at the individual level. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 86, 347-364	3.1	61
121	A field-standardized application of DEA to national-scale research assessment of universities. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2011 , 5, 618-628	3.1	52
120	National research assessment exercises: a comparison of peer review and bibliometrics rankings. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 89, 929-941	3	52
119	Revisiting the scaling of citations for research assessment. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 470-479	3.1	51
118	Assessment of sectoral aggregation distortion in research productivity measurements. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2008 , 17, 111-121	1.7	51
117	A farewell to the MNCS and like size-independent indicators. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 646-651	3.1	51

116	The importance of accounting for the number of co-authors and their order when assessing research performance at the individual level in the life sciences. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 198-208 ^{3.1}	3.1	50
115	Research productivity: Are higher academic ranks more productive than lower ones?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 88, 915-928	3	49
114	What is the appropriate length of the publication period over which to assess research performance?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2012 , 93, 1005-1017	3	46
113	University-industry research collaboration: a model to assess university capability. <i>Higher Education</i> , 2011 , 62, 163-181	3	44
112	Citations versus journal impact factor as proxy of quality: could the latter ever be preferable?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2010 , 84, 821-833	3	44
111	Predicting publication long-term impact through a combination of early citations and journal impact factor. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019 , 13, 32-49	3.1	44
110	The relationship among research productivity, research collaboration, and their determinants. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017 , 11, 1016-1030	3.1	43
109	The contribution of star scientists to overall sex differences in research productivity. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2009 , 81, 137-156	3	42
108	Revisiting the scientometric conceptualization of impact and its measurement. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 590-597	3.1	35
107	Are the authors of highly cited articles also the most productive ones?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2014 , 8, 89-97	3.1	35
106	Individual research performance: A proposal for comparing apples to oranges. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 528-539	3.1	35
105	Career advancement and scientific performance in universities. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014 , 98, 891-907	3	35
104	Revisiting size effects in higher education research productivity. <i>Higher Education</i> , 2012 , 63, 701-717	3	35
103	The relationship between the number of authors of a publication, its citations and the impact factor of the publishing journal: Evidence from Italy. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015 , 9, 746-761	3.1	34
102	The dangers of performance-based research funding in non-competitive higher education systems. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 87, 641-654	3	34
101	An individual-level assessment of the relationship between spin-off activities and research performance in universities. <i>R and D Management</i> , 2012 , 42, 225-242	4.1	32
100	The collaboration behaviors of scientists in Italy: A field level analysis. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 442-454	3.1	32
99	The impact of unproductive and top researchers on overall university research performance. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013 , 7, 166-175	3.1	31

98	The dispersion of research performance within and between universities as a potential indicator of the competitive intensity in higher education systems. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 155-168	3.1	31
97	The role of information asymmetry in the market for university-industry research collaboration. <i>Journal of Technology Transfer</i> , 2011 , 36, 84-100	4.4	31
96	Identifying interdisciplinarity through the disciplinary classification of coauthors of scientific publications. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2012 , 63, 2206-2222		30
95	The collaboration behavior of top scientists. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019 , 118, 215-232	3	29
94	Should the research performance of scientists be distinguished by gender?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015 , 9, 25-38	3.1	26
93	The combined effects of age and seniority on research performance of full professors. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2016 , 43, 301-319	1.8	25
92	The VQR, Italy's second national research assessment: Methodological failures and ranking distortions. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2015 , 66, 2202-2214	2.7	24
91	Variation in research collaboration patterns across academic ranks. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014 , 98, 2275-2294	3	24
90	A bibliometric tool to assess the regional dimension of university-industry research collaborations. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2012 , 91, 955-975	3	23
89	Are researchers that collaborate more at the international level top performers? An investigation on the Italian university system. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2011 , 5, 204-213	3.1	23
88	Measuring institutional research productivity for the life sciences: the importance of accounting for the order of authors in the byline. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013 , 97, 779-795	3	22
87	Refrain from adopting the combination of citation and journal metrics to grade publications, as used in the Italian national research assessment exercise (VQR 2011-2014). <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016 , 109, 2053-2065	3	22
86	National peer-review research assessment exercises for the hard sciences can be a complete waste of money: the Italian case. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013 , 95, 311-324	3	21
85	Testing the trade-off between productivity and quality in research activities. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2010 , 61, 132-140		21
84	A comparison of two approaches for measuring interdisciplinary research output: The disciplinary diversity of authors vs the disciplinary diversity of the reference list. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 1182-1193	3.1	21
83	The effect of multidisciplinary collaborations on research diversification. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018 , 116, 423-433		20
82	A gender analysis of top scientists' collaboration behavior: evidence from Italy. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019 , 120, 405-418	3	19
81	The effects of gender, age and academic rank on research diversification. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018 , 114, 373-387		19

80	The field-standardized average impact of national research systems compared to world average: the case of Italy. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 88, 599-615	3	19
79	Do interdisciplinary research teams deliver higher gains to science?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017 , 111, 317-336	3	17
78	Assessing public-private research collaboration: is it possible to compare university performance?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2010 , 84, 173-197	3	17
77	A farewell to the MNCS and like size-independent indicators: Rejoinder. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 679-683	3.1	17
76	Peer review versus bibliometrics: Which method better predicts the scholarly impact of publications?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2019 , 121, 537-554	3	16
75	Gender bias in academic recruitment. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016 , 106, 119-141	3	15
74	A sensitivity analysis of researchers' productivity rankings to the time of citation observation. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 192-201	3.1	15
73	National research assessment exercises: the effects of changing the rules of the game during the game. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 88, 229-238	3	15
72	The north-south divide in the Italian higher education system. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016 , 109, 2093-2117	3	15
71	Evaluating university research: Same performance indicator, different rankings. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015 , 9, 514-525	3.1	14
70	Ranking research institutions by the number of highly-cited articles per scientist. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015 , 9, 915-923	3.1	13
69	The role of geographical proximity in knowledge diffusion, measured by citations to scientific literature. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2020 , 14, 101010	3.1	13
68	Inefficiency in selecting products for submission to national research assessment exercises. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014 , 98, 2069-2086	3	13
67	Assessing national strengths and weaknesses in research fields. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2014 , 8, 766-775	3.1	13
66	The suitability of h and g indexes for measuring the research performance of institutions. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013 , 97, 555-570	3	13
65	How important is choice of the scaling factor in standardizing citations?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 645-654	3.1	13
64	Assessing the accuracy of the h- and g-indexes for measuring researchers' productivity. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2013 , 64, 1224-1234		13
63	A national-scale cross-time analysis of university research performance. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011 , 87, 399-413		13

62	Specialization versus diversification in research activities: the extent, intensity and relatedness of field diversification by individual scientists. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017 , 112, 1403-1418	3	12
61	A multivariate stochastic model to assess research performance. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2015 , 102, 1755-1772	3	12
60	An assessment of the first scientific habilitation for university appointments in Italy. <i>Economia Politica</i> , 2015 , 32, 329-357	1	11
59	Comparison of research performance of Italian and Norwegian professors and universities. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2020 , 14, 101023	3.1	11
58	Investigating returns to scope of research fields in universities. <i>Higher Education</i> , 2014 , 68, 69-85	3	11
57	Does your surname affect the citability of your publications?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017 , 11, 121-127	3.1	10
56	Are all citations worth the same? Valuing citations by the value of the citing items. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019 , 13, 500-514	3.1	10
55	When research assessment exercises leave room for opportunistic behavior by the subjects under evaluation. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019 , 13, 830-840	3.1	10
54	Relatives in the same university faculty: nepotism or merit?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014 , 101, 737-749	3	10
53	A new bibliometric approach to assess the scientific specialization of regions. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2014 , 23, 183-194	1.7	10
52	How long do top scientists maintain their stardom? An analysis by region, gender and discipline: evidence from Italy. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017 , 110, 867-877	3	10
51	Selection committees for academic recruitment: does gender matter?. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2015 , 24, 392-404	1.7	10
50	National research assessment exercises: a measure of the distortion of performance rankings when labor input is treated as uniform. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2010 , 84, 605-619	3	10
49	An investigation on the skewness patterns and fractal nature of research productivity distributions at field and discipline level. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017 , 11, 324-335	3.1	9
48	Diversification versus specialization in scientific research: Which strategy pays off?. <i>Technovation</i> , 2019 , 82-83, 51-57	7.9	9
47	Mapping excellence in national research systems: the case of Italy. <i>Evaluation Review</i> , 2009 , 33, 159-88	1.6	9
46	A nation's foreign and domestic professors: which have better research performance? (the Italian case). <i>Higher Education</i> , 2019 , 77, 917-930	3	9
45	The balance of knowledge flows. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019 , 13, 1-9	3.1	9

44	The determinants of academic career advancement: Evidence from Italy. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2015 , scu086	1.8	8
43	Who benefits from a country's scientific research?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2018 , 12, 249-258	3.1	8
42	Does the geographic proximity effect on knowledge spillovers vary across research fields?. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020 , 123, 1021-1036	3	7
41	A methodology to measure the effectiveness of academic recruitment and turnover. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 31-42	3.1	7
40	On tit for tat: Franceschini and Maisano versus ANVUR regarding the Italian research assessment exercise VQR 2011-2014. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017 , 11, 783-787	3.1	7
39	A sensitivity analysis of research institutions' productivity rankings to the time of citation observation. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2012 , 6, 298-306	3.1	7
38	The alignment of public research supply and industry demand for effective technology transfer: the case of Italy. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2009 , 36, 2-14	1.8	7
37	Peer review research assessment: a sensitivity analysis of performance rankings to the share of research product evaluated. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2010 , 85, 705-720	3	7
36	Gender differences in research performance within and between countries: Italy vs Norway. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2021 , 15, 1011-144	3.1	7
35	The effect of a country's name in the title of a publication on its visibility and citability. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016 , 109, 1895-1909	3	7
34	Funnel plots for visualizing uncertainty in the research performance of institutions. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015 , 9, 954-961	3.1	6
33	A comparison of university performance scores and ranks by MNCS and FSS. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 889-901	3.1	6
32	The spin-off of elite universities in non-competitive, undifferentiated higher education systems: an empirical simulation in Italy. <i>Studies in Higher Education</i> , 2014 , 39, 1270-1289	2.6	6
31	Assessing technical and cost efficiency of research activities: a case study of the Italian university system. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2009 , 18, 61-70	1.7	6
30	The ratio of top scientists to the academic staff as an indicator of the competitive strength of universities. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 596-605	3.1	6
29	A new approach to measure the scientific strengths of territories. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2015 , 66, 1167-1177	2.7	5
28	From rankings to funnel plots: The question of accounting for uncertainty when assessing university research performance. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2016 , 10, 854-862	3.1	5
27	A methodology to compute the territorial productivity of scientists: The case of Italy. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015 , 9, 675-685	3.1	5

26	Variability of research performance across disciplines within universities in non-competitive higher education systems. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2014 , 98, 777-795	3	5
25	The technology transfer of the Italian public research system: the case of the National Research Council of Italy. <i>International Journal of Technology Transfer and Commercialisation</i> , 2006 , 5, 338	0.5	5
24	A novel methodology to assess the scientific standing of nations at field level. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2020 , 14, 100986	3.1	5
23	Knowledge spillovers: Does the geographic proximity effect decay over time? A discipline-level analysis, accounting for cognitive proximity, with and without self-citations. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2020 , 14, 101072	3.1	4
22	Impact of Covid-19 on research output by gender across countries.. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2022 , 1-16	3	4
21	A decision support system for public research organizations participating in national research assessment exercises. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2009 , 60, 2095-2106		3
20	Bibliometric Evaluation of Research Performance: Where Do We Stand?. <i>Voprosy Obrazovaniya</i> , 2017 , 112-127	2.2	3
19	Authorship analysis of specialized vs diversified research output. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2019 , 13, 564-573		2
18	Selecting competent referees to assess research projects proposals: A study of referees' registers. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2013 , 22, 41-51	1.7	2
17	Assessing the relative technology transfer performance of universities and public research laboratories: the case of Italy. <i>International Journal of Technology Transfer and Commercialisation</i> , 2012 , 11, 51	0.5	2
16	Informed peer review for publication assessments: Are improved impact measures worth the hassle?. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 2020 , 1, 1321-1333	3.8	2
15	Accounting for Gender Research Performance Differences in Ranking Universities. <i>Current Science</i> , 2015 , 109, 1783	2.2	2
14	Public-private research collaborations: Longitudinal field-level analysis of determinants, frequency, and impact. <i>Journal of Economic Surveys</i> ,	3.8	2
13	The dispersion of the citation distribution of top scientists' publications. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2016 , 109, 1711-1724	3.724	2
12	Were the Italian policy reforms to contrast favoritism and foster effectiveness in faculty recruitment successful?. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2021 , 47, 604-615	1.8	2
11	The domestic localization of knowledge flows as evidenced by publication citation: the case of Italy. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020 , 125, 1305-1329	3	1
10	A robust benchmark for the h- and g-indexes. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2010 , 61, n/a-n/a		1
9	Revealing the scientific comparative advantage of nations: Common and distinctive features. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2022 , 16, 101244	3.1	1

8	A bibliometric methodology to unveil territorial inequities in the scientific wealth to combat COVID-19. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2021 , 126, 1-24	3	1
7	On the relation between the degree of internationalization of cited and citing publications: A field level analysis, including and excluding self-citations. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2021 , 15, 101101	3.1	1
6	The scholarly impact of private sector research: A multivariate analysis. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2021 , 15, 101191	3.1	1
5	The effects of citation-based research evaluation schemes on self-citation behavior. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2021 , 15, 101204	3.1	1
4	The effect of academic mobility on research performance: The case of Italy. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 1-22	3.8	1
3	Drivers of academic engagement in public-private research collaboration: an empirical study. <i>Journal of Technology Transfer</i> , 1	4.4	0
2	Response to comments on: Does your surname affect the citability of your publications? <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017 , 11, 855-858	3.1	
1	Scientometric-based analysis in business and economics: Introduction, examples, and guidelines. <i>Journal of Economic Surveys</i> , 2021 , 35, 1261	3.8	