James G Dolan

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7475978/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

69 papers 2,067 citations

186209
28
h-index

254106 43 g-index

70 all docs

70 docs citations

70 times ranked

2056 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Multi-dimensional stability analysis for Analytic Network Process models. Annals of Operations Research, 2022, 316, 1401-1424.	2.6	3
2	An analysis of the sensitivity and stability of patients' preferences can lead to more appropriate medical decisions. Annals of Operations Research, 2020, 293, 863-901.	2.6	7
3	Additive Multicriteria Decision Analysis Models Are Not Misleading Aids for Life-Critical Shared Decision Making. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 721-721.	1.2	3
4	Treatments for Stage IV Colon Cancer and OverallÂSurvival. Journal of Surgical Research, 2019, 242, 47-54.	0.8	17
5	Harnessing Expert Judgment to Support Clinical Decisions When the Evidence Base Is Weak. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 74-79.	1.2	5
6	An Analytical Mobile App for Shared Decision Making About Prenatal Screening: Protocol for a Mixed Methods Study. JMIR Research Protocols, 2019, 8, e13321.	0.5	5
7	A Personalized Approach of Patient–Health Care Provider Communication Regarding Colorectal Cancer Screening Options. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 601-613.	1.2	7
8	Development of a Framework Based on Reflective MCDA to Support Patient–Clinician Shared Decision-Making: The Case of the Management of Gastroenteropancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors (GEP-NET) in the United States. Advances in Therapy, 2018, 35, 81-99.	1.3	14
9	The Feasibility of Sophisticated Multicriteria Support for Clinical Decisions. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 465-475.	1.2	6
10	Depression partially mediated the relationship between basic psychological needs and quality of life among people living with HIV. AIDS Care - Psychological and Socio-Medical Aspects of AIDS/HIV, 2017, 29, 1399-1403.	0.6	6
11	Shared Decision-Making., 2017,, 199-215.		1
12	Feasibility of Early Palliative Care Consultation for Children With High-Risk Malignancies. Pediatric Blood and Cancer, 2016, 63, 1419-1422.	0.8	20
13	Does patient time spent viewing computer-tailored colorectal cancer screening materials predict patient-reported discussion of screening with providers?. Health Education Research, 2016, 31, 555-562.	1.0	5
14	Should Health Numeracy Be Assessed Objectively or Subjectively?. Medical Decision Making, 2016, 36, 868-875.	1.2	31
15	Patient perspective on care transitions after colorectal surgery. Journal of Surgical Research, 2016, 203, 103-112.	0.8	9
16	The Impact of Electronic Health Record Implementation and Use on Performance of the Surgical Care Improvement Project Measures. Health Services Research, 2015, 50, 273-289.	1.0	10
17	Neurocognitive Changes after Sustained Ketamine Administration in Children with Chronic Pain. Journal of Palliative Care & Medicine, 2015, 05, .	0.1	10
18	Use of the Analytic Hierarchy Process for Medication Decision-Making in Type 2 Diabetes. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0126625.	1.1	34

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Decision Aids. Medical Decision Making, 2015, 35, 979-986.	1.2	5
20	Balance Sheets Versus Decision Dashboards to Support Patient Treatment Choices: A Comparative Analysis. Patient, 2015, 8, 499-505.	1.1	12
21	Associations between a patient-reported outcome (PRO) measure of sarcopenia and falls, functional status, and physical performance in older patients with cancer. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2015, 6, 433-441.	0.5	23
22	Caregiver Preferences Regarding Personal Health Records in the Management of ADHD. Clinical Pediatrics, 2015, 54, 765-774.	0.4	6
23	Can Streamlined Multicriteria Decision Analysis Be Used to Implement Shared Decision Making for Colorectal Cancer Screening?. Medical Decision Making, 2014, 34, 746-755.	1.2	18
24	Does technique matter; a pilot study exploring weighting techniques for a multi-criteria decision support framework. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation, 2014, 12, 22.	0.6	43
25	Defining High Risk: Cost-Effectiveness of Extended-Duration Thromboprophylaxis Following Major Oncologic Abdominal Surgery. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2014, 18, 60-68.	0.9	37
26	Diagnosis of Periprosthetic Joint Infection in Medicare Patients: Multicriteria Decision Analysis. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, 2014, 472, 3275-3284.	0.7	34
27	Perioperative pleiotropic statin effects in general surgery. Surgery, 2014, 155, 398-407.	1.0	30
28	Development and initial evaluation of a treatment decision dashboard. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2013, 13, 51.	1.5	47
29	Oral Ketamine for Children with Chronic Pain: A Pilot Phase 1 Study. Journal of Pediatrics, 2013, 163, 194-200.e1.	0.9	35
30	Patients' Preferences and Priorities Regarding Colorectal Cancer Screening. Medical Decision Making, 2013, 33, 59-70.	1.2	56
31	Systematic assessment of benefits and risks: study protocol for a multi-criteria decision analysis using the Analytic Hierarchy Process for comparative effectiveness research. F1000Research, 2013, 2, 160.	0.8	13
32	How Well Do Commonly Used Data Presentation Formats Support Comparative Effectiveness Evaluations?. Medical Decision Making, 2012, 32, 840-850.	1.2	19
33	Differences Between Dialysis Modality Selection and Initiation. American Journal of Kidney Diseases, 2012, 59, 550-557.	2.1	56
34	Subjective risk vs. objective risk can lead to different post-cesarean birth decisions based on multiattribute modeling. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2011, 64, 67-78.	2.4	16
35	Subjective Risk Versus Objective Risk Can Lead to Different Post-Cesarean Birth Decisions Based on Multi-Attribute Modeling. Obstetrical and Gynecological Survey, 2011, 66, 187-189.	0.2	1
36	Multi-Criteria Clinical Decision Support. Patient, 2010, 3, 229-248.	1.1	155

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Patients were more consistent in randomized trial at prioritizing childbirth preferences using graphic-numeric than verbal formats. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2009, 62, 415-424.e3.	2.4	19
38	Shared decision-making $\hat{a}\in$ " transferring research into practice: The Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP). Patient Education and Counseling, 2008, 73, 418-425.	1.0	153
39	Risk communication formats for low probability events: an exploratory study of patient preferences. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2008, 8, 14.	1.5	32
40	The Use of the Analytic Hierarchy Process to Aid Decision Making in Acquired Equinovarus Deformity. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2008, 89, 457-462.	0.5	39
41	The Use of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis Weight Elicitation Techniques in Patients with MildÂCognitive Impairment. Patient, 2008, 1, 127-135.	1.1	21
42	Informational Needs of Patients and Perceived Adequacy of Information Available Before and After Treatment of Cancer. Journal of Psychosocial Oncology, 2008, 26, 1-16.	0.6	41
43	Intravascular large B-cell lymphoma with multisystem involvement. Leukemia and Lymphoma, 2006, 47, 1688-1690.	0.6	4
44	Optimal management of adults with pharyngitis – a multi-criteria decision analysis. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2006, 6, 14.	1.5	43
45	Patient priorities in colorectal cancer screening decisions 1. Health Expectations, 2005, 8, 334-344.	1.1	36
46	PCV52: WHICH STATIN? A MULTICRITERIA DECISION ANALYSIS. Value in Health, 2003, 6, 326.	0.1	0
47	Randomized Controlled Trial of a Patient Decision Aid for Colorectal Cancer Screening. Medical Decision Making, 2002, 22, 125-139.	1.2	136
48	Randomized Controlled Trial of a Patient Decision Aid for Colorectal Cancer Screening. Medical Decision Making, 2002, 22, 125-139.	1.2	93
49	Clinical Decision Analysis. Medical Decision Making, 2001, 21, 150-151.	1.2	5
50	Involving patients in decisions regarding preventive health interventions using the analytic hierarchy process. Health Expectations, 2000, 3, 37-45.	1.1	33
51	A Method for Evaluating Health Care Providers' Decision Making. Medical Decision Making, 1999, 19, 38-41.	1.2	47
52	A Survey of Gynecologists' Attitudes Regarding Decision Making in the Management of Non-cancerous Conditions That Frequently Result in Hysterectomy. Medical Decision Making, 1999, 19, 186-192.	1.2	6
53	A Survey of Clinicians' Opinions Regarding the Value of Published Decision Analyses as Sources of Clinically Useful Information. Medical Decision Making, 1997, 17, 427-430.	1.2	7
54	Are Patients Capable of Using the Analytic Hierarchy Process and Willing to Use It to Help Make Clinical Decisions?. Medical Decision Making, 1995, 15, 76-80.	1.2	47

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Isoniazid Prophylaxis. Medical Decision Making, 1994, 14, 1-8.	1.2	21
56	Involving patients in complex decisions about their care. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 1993, 8, 204-209.	1.3	25
57	Diagnostic strategies in the management of acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 1993, 8, 525-529.	1.3	30
58	Using the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) to Develop and Disseminate Guidelines. QRB Quality Review Bulletin, 1992, 18, 440-447.	0.9	15
59	Can decision analysis adequately represent clinical problems?. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 1990, 43, 277-284.	2.4	33
60	Medical Decision Making Using the Analytic Hierarchy Process. Medical Decision Making, 1989, 9, 51-56.	1.2	67
61	Initial management of serious urinary tract infection. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 1989, 4, 190-194.	1.3	8
62	The Analytic Hierarchy Process in Medical Decision Making. Medical Decision Making, 1989, 9, 40-50.	1.2	125
63	Idiopathic Nephrotic Syndrome and Renal Biopsy. Annals of Internal Medicine, 1988, 108, 494.	2.0	1
64	Monitoring and improving the content of medical residents' ambulatory care experience:. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 1987, 2, 174-177.	1.3	13
65	An Eualuation of Clinicians' Subjective Prior Probability Estimates. Medical Decision Making, 1986, 6, 216-223.	1.2	108
66	Routine laboratory testing for medical disorders in psychiatric inpatients. Archives of Internal Medicine, 1985, 145, 2085-2088.	4.3	10
67	Early clinical signs identify low-risk patients with acute upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 1985, 253, 3282-3285.	3.8	50
68	A unified framework for joint patient-physician collaboration: The colorectal cancer screening problem. Journal of the Operational Research Society, 0, , 1-39.	2.1	0
69	Implications of the stability analysis of preferences for personalised colorectal cancer screening. Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis, 0, , .	1.0	0