

Jian Wang

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7429991/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

25
papers

1,725
citations

567144

15
h-index

610775

24
g-index

26
all docs

26
docs citations

26
times ranked

1595
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Mentorship and creativity: Effects of mentor creativity and mentoring style. <i>Research Policy</i> , 2022, 51, 104451.	3.3	13
2	Measuring originality in science. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2020, 122, 409-427.	1.6	35
3	Scientific novelty and technological impact. <i>Research Policy</i> , 2019, 48, 1362-1372.	3.3	57
4	Funding model and creativity in science: Competitive versus block funding and status contingency effects. <i>Research Policy</i> , 2018, 47, 1070-1083.	3.3	60
5	Bias against novelty in science: A cautionary tale for users of bibliometric indicators. <i>Research Policy</i> , 2017, 46, 1416-1436.	3.3	291
6	Search for evergreens in science: A functional data analysis. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2017, 11, 629-644.	1.4	5
7	Reviewers are blinkered by bibliometrics. <i>Nature</i> , 2017, 544, 411-412.	13.7	129
8	Knowledge creation in collaboration networks: Effects of tie configuration. <i>Research Policy</i> , 2016, 45, 68-80.	3.3	143
9	Bias Against Novelty in Science: A Cautionary Tale for Users of Bibliometric Indicators. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2015, , .	0.4	4
10	Interdisciplinarity and Impact: Distinct Effects of Variety, Balance, and Disparity. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2015, 10, e0127298.	1.1	134
11	Creativity in scientific teams: Unpacking novelty and impact. <i>Research Policy</i> , 2015, 44, 684-697.	3.3	197
12	Scientific teams: Self-assembly, fluidness, and interdependence. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2015, 9, 197-207.	1.4	29
13	Interdisciplinarity and Impact: Distinct Effects of Variety, Balance and Disparity. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2014, , .	0.4	1
14	Unpacking the Matthew effect in citations. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2014, 8, 329-339.	1.4	73
15	Comment on "Quantifying long-term scientific impact". <i>Science</i> , 2014, 345, 149-149.	6.0	5
16	How to improve the prediction based on citation impact percentiles for years shortly after the publication date?. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2014, 8, 175-180.	1.4	76
17	Multinational R&D in China: differentiation and integration of global R&D networks. <i>International Journal of Technology Management</i> , 2014, 65, 96.	0.2	7
18	Detecting structural change in university research systems: A case study of British research policy. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2013, 22, 258-268.	1.3	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Which percentile-based approach should be preferred for calculating normalized citation impact values? An empirical comparison of five approaches including a newly developed citation-rank approach (P100). <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2013, 7, 933-944.	1.4	40
20	Citation time window choice for research impact evaluation. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2013, 94, 851-872.	1.6	263
21	The New York Times as a Resource for Mode 2. <i>Science Technology and Human Values</i> , 2013, 38, 851-877.	1.7	14
22	A boosted-trees method for name disambiguation. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2012, 93, 391-411.	1.6	44
23	Multinational R&D in China: From home-country-based to host-country-based. <i>Innovation: Management, Policy and Practice</i> , 2012, 14, 192-202.	2.6	12
24	Coverage and overlap of the new social sciences and humanities journal lists. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2011, 62, 284-294.	2.6	58
25	Bias against Novelty in Science: A Cautionary Tale for Users of Bibliometric Indicators. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	1