Arnout R H Fischer

List of Publications by Citations

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7407814/arnout-r-h-fischer-publications-by-citations.pdf

Version: 2024-04-28

This document has been generated based on the publications and citations recorded by exaly.com. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

93 papers

3,146 citations

32 h-index

54 g-index

98 ext. papers

3,593 ext. citations

4.5 avg, IF

5.48 L-index

#	Paper	IF	Citations
93	The future supply of animal-derived protein for human consumption. <i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i> , 2013 , 29, 62-73	15.3	281
92	Insects as food: Exploring cultural exposure and individual experience as determinants of acceptance. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2015 , 42, 78-89	5.8	265
91	Public perceptions of agri-food applications of genetic modification IA systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i> , 2013 , 30, 142-152	15.3	222
90	Tasty but nasty? Exploring the role of sensory-liking and food appropriateness in the willingness to eat unusual novel foods like insects. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2016 , 48, 293-302	5.8	149
89	Socio-psychological determinants of public acceptance of technologies: A review. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 2012 , 21, 782-95	3.1	145
88	Consumer familiarity with foods and the perception of risks and benefits. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2009 , 20, 576-585	5.8	87
87	Explicit and implicit attitude toward an emerging food technology: The case of cultured meat. <i>Appetite</i> , 2017 , 108, 245-254	4.5	86
86	Food safety in the domestic environment: an interdisciplinary investigation of microbial hazards during food preparation. <i>Risk Analysis</i> , 2007 , 27, 1065-82	3.9	81
85	Food4Me study: Validity and reliability of Food Choice Questionnaire in 9 European countries. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2015 , 45, 26-32	5.8	78
84	Food safety in the domestic environment: the effect of consumer risk information on human disease risks. <i>Risk Analysis</i> , 2008 , 28, 179-92	3.9	75
83	Toward improving food safety in the domestic environment: a multi-item Rasch scale for the measurement of the safety efficacy of domestic food-handling practices. <i>Risk Analysis</i> , 2006 , 26, 1323-3	8 ^{3.9}	75
82	Improving food safety in the domestic environment: the need for a transdisciplinary approach. <i>Risk Analysis</i> , 2005 , 25, 503-17	3.9	71
81	Consumer perceptions of best practice in food risk communication and management: Implications for risk analysis policy. <i>Food Policy</i> , 2010 , 35, 349-357	5	68
80	Consumer attitudes towards nanotechnologies applied to food production. <i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i> , 2014 , 40, 211-225	15.3	57
79	Ethics, Risk and Benefits Associated with Different Applications of Nanotechnology: a Comparison of Expert and Consumer Perceptions of Drivers of Societal Acceptance. <i>NanoEthics</i> , 2015 , 9, 93-108	1	55
78	The use of Delphi methodology in agrifood policy development: Some lessons learned. <i>Technological Forecasting and Social Change</i> , 2011 , 78, 1514-1525	9.5	50
77	Meet meat: An explorative study on meat and cultured meat as seen by Chinese, Ethiopians and Dutch. <i>Appetite</i> , 2017 , 114, 82-92	4.5	49

(2011-2011)

76	When Natural met Social: A Review of Collaboration between the Natural and Social Sciences. <i>Interdisciplinary Science Reviews</i> , 2011 , 36, 341-358	0.7	48	
75	Food-Safety Practices in the Domestic Kitchen: Demographic, Personality, and Experiential Determinants1. <i>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</i> , 2008 , 38, 2859-2884	2.1	46	
74	Duckweed as human food. The influence of meal context and information on duckweed acceptability of Dutch consumers. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2019 , 71, 76-86	5.8	44	
73	To think or not to think: The effect of cognitive deliberation on the influence of injunctive versus descriptive social norms. <i>Psychology and Marketing</i> , 2011 , 28, 709-729	3.9	42	
72	Factors influencing European consumer uptake of personalised nutrition. Results of a qualitative analysis. <i>Appetite</i> , 2013 , 66, 67-74	4.5	41	
71	Factors influencing societal response of nanotechnology: an expert stakeholder analysis. <i>Journal of Nanoparticle Research</i> , 2012 , 14, 857	2.3	40	
70	The influence of perceived benefits on acceptance of GM applications for allergy prevention. <i>Health, Risk and Society,</i> 2008 , 10, 263-282	2	39	
69	Psychological determinants of consumer acceptance of personalised nutrition in 9 European countries. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2014 , 9, e110614	3.7	36	
68	All insects are equal, but some insects are more equal than others. British Food Journal, 2018, 120, 852	-8 <u>6</u>. B	35	
67	Transforum system innovation towards sustainable food. A review. <i>Agronomy for Sustainable Development</i> , 2012 , 32, 595-608	6.8	35	
66	Regulatory fit effects for injunctive versus descriptive social norms: Evidence from the promotion of sustainable products. <i>Marketing Letters</i> , 2013 , 24, 191-203	2.3	34	
65	Attitudes and attitudinal ambivalence change towards nanotechnology applied to food production. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 2013 , 22, 817-31	3.1	34	
64	Everyday behaviour and everyday risk: An approach to study people's responses to frequently encountered food related health risks. <i>Health, Risk and Society</i> , 2008 , 10, 385-397	2	34	
63	Consumer food preparation and its implication for survival ofCampylobacter jejunion chicken. <i>British Food Journal</i> , 2007 , 109, 548-561	2.8	34	
62	Consumer attitudes towards hypoallergenic apples that alleviate mild apple allergy. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2011 , 22, 83-91	5.8	33	
61	Societal response to nanotechnology: converging technologiesflonverging societal response research?. <i>Journal of Nanoparticle Research</i> , 2011 , 13, 4399-4410	2.3	31	
60	Effective identification and management of emerging food risks: Results of an international Delphi survey. <i>Food Control</i> , 2010 , 21, 1731-1738	6.2	31	
59	Consumer responses to integrated risk-benefit information associated with the consumption of food. <i>Risk Analysis</i> , 2011 , 31, 429-39	3.9	30	

58	Cooking practices in the kitchen-observed versus predicted behavior. Risk Analysis, 2009, 29, 533-40	3.9	30
57	Food choice motives, attitude towards and intention to adopt personalised nutrition. <i>Public Health Nutrition</i> , 2018 , 21, 2606-2616	3.3	27
56	Determinants of stakeholderslattitudes towards a new technology: nanotechnology applications for food, water, energy and medicine. <i>Journal of Risk Research</i> , 2017 , 20, 277-298	4.2	25
55	Willingness to pay for personalised nutrition across Europe. <i>European Journal of Public Health</i> , 2016 , 26, 640-4	2.1	25
54	Buying Green Without Being Seen. Environment and Behavior, 2015, 47, 328-356	5.6	24
53	Extrapolating understanding of food risk perceptions to emerging food safety cases. <i>Journal of Risk Research</i> , 2018 , 21, 996-1018	4.2	24
52	Making personalised nutrition the easy choice: Creating policies to break down the barriers and reap the benefits. <i>Food Policy</i> , 2016 , 63, 134-144	5	24
51	Risk and benefit perceptions of mobile phone and base station technology in Bangladesh. <i>Risk Analysis</i> , 2010 , 30, 1002-15	3.9	24
50	Expert views on societal responses to different applications of nanotechnology: a comparative analysis of experts in countries with different economic and regulatory environments. <i>Journal of Nanoparticle Research</i> , 2013 , 15, 1	2.3	22
49	Genetically modified animals from life-science, socio-economic and ethical perspectives: examining issues in an EU policy context. <i>New Biotechnology</i> , 2013 , 30, 447-60	6.4	21
48	Consumer adoption of personalised nutrition services from the perspective of a risk-benefit trade-off. <i>Genes and Nutrition</i> , 2015 , 10, 42	4.3	20
47	Consumer attitudes towards nanotechnology in food products: an attribute-based analysis. <i>British Food Journal</i> , 2016 , 118,	2.8	20
46	Expert involvement in policy development: A systematic review of current practice. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2014 , 41, 332-343	1.8	19
45	Affect and Cognition in Attitude Formation toward Familiar and Unfamiliar Attitude Objects. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2015 , 10, e0141790	3.7	18
44	Providing Personalised Nutrition: Consumers' Trust and Preferences Regarding Sources of Information, Service Providers and Regulators, and Communication Channels. <i>Public Health Genomics</i> , 2017 , 20, 218-228	1.9	17
43	The Impact of Balanced Risk B enefit Information and Initial Attitudes on Post-Information Attitudes1. <i>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</i> , 2012 , 42, 1958-1983	2.1	17
42	Understanding consumer evaluations of personalised nutrition services in terms of the privacy calculus: a qualitative study. <i>Public Health Genomics</i> , 2014 , 17, 127-40	1.9	17
41	Self-reported attitude scales: current practice in adequate assessment of reliability, validity, and dimensionality. <i>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</i> , 2013 , 43, 1538-1552	2.1	17

(2021-2011)

40	Perceptions of health risks and benefits associated with fish consumption among Russian consumers. <i>Appetite</i> , 2011 , 56, 227-34	4.5	17
39	Promoting healthy dietary behaviour through personalised nutrition: technology push or technology pull?. <i>Proceedings of the Nutrition Society</i> , 2015 , 74, 171-6	2.9	15
38	How to position hildly sustainable products: The joint impact of assortment display and price setting. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2015 , 46, 26-32	5.8	14
37	Consumer evaluations of food risk management in Russia. <i>British Food Journal</i> , 2010 , 112, 934-948	2.8	13
36	Changes in the influence of affect and cognition over time on consumer attitude formation toward nanotechnology: A longitudinal survey study. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 2018 , 27, 168-184	3.1	12
35	Potential for the Adoption of Probabilistic Risk Assessments by End-Users and Decision-Makers. <i>Human and Ecological Risk Assessment (HERA)</i> , 2008 , 14, 166-178	4.9	11
34	Application of Behavior Change Techniques in a Personalized Nutrition Electronic Health Intervention Study: Protocol for the Web-Based Food4Me Randomized Controlled Trial. <i>JMIR Research Protocols</i> , 2018 , 7, e87	2	11
33	The interplay between regulatory focus and temporal distance in the health context. <i>British Journal of Health Psychology</i> , 2018 , 23, 22-37	8.3	9
32	Monitoring and Evaluation of Time Delay. <i>International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction</i> , 2005 , 19, 163-180	3.6	9
31	Nutrition, hedonic or environmental? The effect of front-of-pack messages on consumers' perception and purchase intention of a novel food product with multiple attributes. <i>Food Research International</i> , 2020 , 130, 108962	7	9
30	Modelling consumer choice through the random regret minimization model: An application in the food domain. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2019 , 73, 97-109	5.8	9
29	The perceived impact of the National Health Service on personalised nutrition service delivery among the UK public. <i>British Journal of Nutrition</i> , 2015 , 113, 1271-9	3.6	7
28	Consumer Moral Dilemma in the Choice of Animal-Friendly Meat Products. Sustainability, 2020, 12, 4844	13.6	5
27	Tracing Attitude Expressions: An Eye-Tracking Study. <i>Journal of Behavioral Decision Making</i> , 2016 , 29, 232-244	2.4	5
26	Reliability of the Rasch Food Safety Practices scale. <i>Appetite</i> , 2009 , 53, 241-4	4.5	5
25	A meaningful reminder on sustainability: When explicit and implicit packaging cues meet. <i>Journal of Environmental Psychology</i> , 2022 , 79, 101724	6.7	4
24	Eating insects Ifrom acceptable to desirable consumer products. <i>Journal of Insects As Food and Feed</i> , 2021 , 7, 1061-1063	4.4	4
23	Stuck in the middle with you: The role of similarity information on categorizing cultured meat. <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 2021 , 93, 104265	5.8	4

22	Consumer Acceptance of Novel Foods 2016 , 271-292		3
21	Perception of Product Risks 2017 , 175-190		3
20	Mobilizing consumer demand for sustainable development 2010 , 73-96		3
19	Public Acceptance of New Technologies in Food Products and Production 2007 , 66-85		3
18	Inventions for future sustainable development in agriculture 2010 , 21-39		3
17	Consumer Behavior and Food Science 2016 ,		3
16	The moderating effect of motivation on health-related decision-making. <i>Psychology and Health</i> , 2017 , 32, 665-685	2.9	2
15	Communication of Risks and Benefits of Nanotechnology: the Issue of Societal Acceptance of Emerging Technologies 2011 , 243-256		2
14	Combining Experimental Observations and Modelling in Investigating Feedback and Emotions in Repeated Selection Tasks. <i>User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction</i> , 2005 , 15, 389-424	3.9	2
13	Consumer perceptions of risks from food 2005 , 103-119		1
12	A systematic review into expert knowledge elicitation methods for emerging food and feed risk identification. <i>Food Control</i> , 2022 , 108848	6.2	1
11	Risk Analysis: Risk Communication 2014 , 116-121		1
10	Misalignments between users and designers as source of inspiration: A novel hybrid method for physical new product development Technovation, 2021, 102391	7.9	1
9	Paradoxical consumers in four European countries: Meat-eating justification and willingness to pay for meat from animals treated by alternatives to surgical castration <i>Meat Science</i> , 2022 , 188, 108777	6.4	1
8	Sex and age differences in attitudes and intention to adopt personalised nutrition in a UK sample <i>Zeitschrift Fur Gesundheitswissenschaften</i> , 2021 , 1-7	1.4	1
7	Perception, Attitudes, Intentions, Decisions and Actual Behavior 2017 , 303-317		O
6	Consumer acceptance of novel foods 2022 , 307-333		0
5	Something underneath? Using a within-subjects design to examine schema congruity theory at an individual level. <i>Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services</i> , 2022 , 68, 102994	8.5	О

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Foreword: sustainability in agrifood chains and networks. *Journal on Chain and Network Science*, **2012**, 12, 95-97
- Public Engagement with Emerging Issues in Agri-Food Nanotechnology **2011**, 257-270
- 2 Consumer Perceptions of Risks From Food **2016**, 15-23
- Social acceptability of radical food innovations **2021**, 325-361