

# Sisse Njor

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7386207/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

71  
papers

1,966  
citations

304743

22  
h-index

254184

43  
g-index

71  
all docs

71  
docs citations

71  
times ranked

1994  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Varying fecal immunochemical test screening cutoffs by age and gender: a way to increase detection rates and reduce the number of colonoscopies. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2022, 95, 540-549.	1.0	6
2	The relative effectiveness of fecal immunochemical test-based colorectal cancer screening to detect adenomas and cancer in different demographic and socioeconomic groups. A nationwide cohort study. <i>European Journal of Cancer Prevention</i> , 2022, Publish Ahead of Print, .	1.3	1
3	Colorectal cancer screening participation among citizens not recommended to be screened: a cohort study. <i>BMC Gastroenterology</i> , 2022, 22, .	2.0	1
4	Breast cancer screening and overdiagnosis. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2021, 149, 846-853.	5.1	14
5	Gaps between recommendations and their implementation: A register-based study of follow-up after abnormalities in cervical cancer screening. <i>Preventive Medicine</i> , 2021, 146, 106468.	3.4	4
6	Impact of screening on short-term mortality and morbidity following treatment for colorectal cancer. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 110, 465-471.	2.6	3
7	Variations in pathways and resource use in follow-up after abnormal mammography screening: a nationwide register-based study. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2021, 189, 551-560.	2.5	1
8	The optimal cut-off value in FIT-based colorectal cancer screening: An observational study. <i>Cancer Medicine</i> , 2021, 10, 1872-1879.	2.8	17
9	Data from the Nielsen et al. study does not support their suggestion. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, , .	1.4	0
10	Adherence to follow-up after the exit cervical cancer screening test at age 60-64: A nationwide register-based study. <i>Cancer Medicine</i> , 2021, 11, 224.	2.8	5
11	Loss of QALY in mammography screening reported by Zahl <i>et al</i> .. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2020, 146, 1176-1176.	5.1	0
12	Breast cancer mortality and overdiagnosis after implementation of population-based screening in Denmark. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2020, 184, 891-899.	2.5	7
13	Screening participation after a false positive result in organized cervical cancer screening: a nationwide register-based cohort study. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2020, 10, 15427.	3.3	2
14	Participation in breast cancer screening among breast cancer survivors – A nationwide register-based cohort study. <i>Breast</i> , 2020, 54, 31-36.	2.2	2
15	Breast cancer survivors' risk of interval cancers and false positive results in organized mammography screening. <i>Cancer Medicine</i> , 2020, 9, 6042-6050.	2.8	5
16	Higher cervical cancer mortality among older women in Denmark could be due to insufficient screening coverage. <i>Acta Obstetrica Et Gynecologica Scandinavica</i> , 2019, 98, 1489-1490.	2.8	3
17	Potential for prevention: a cohort study of colonoscopies and removal of adenomas in a FIT-based colorectal cancer screening programme. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2019, 54, 1008-1014.	1.5	6
18	The performance indicator of colonic intubation (PICI) in a FIT-based colorectal cancer screening program. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2019, 54, 1176-1181.	1.5	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Data quality and colonoscopy performance indicators in the prevalent round of a FIT-based colorectal cancer screening program. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2019, 54, 471-477.	1.5	4
20	Answer to: "Does the National Danish Colorectal Cancer Screening Programme a success?". <i>Cancer Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 58, 200.	1.9	0
21	Quality indicators for screening colonoscopy and colonoscopist performance and the subsequent risk of interval colorectal cancer: a systematic review. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 102, 2265-2300.	1.7	22
22	Effectiveness of Colorectal Cancer Screening in Detecting Earlier-Stage Disease: A Nationwide Cohort Study in Denmark. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2018, 155, 99-106.	1.3	58
23	As you like it: How the same data can support manifold views of overdiagnosis in breast cancer screening. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2018, 143, 1287-1294.	5.1	10
24	Disaggregating the mortality reductions due to cancer screening: model-based estimates from population-based data. <i>European Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2018, 33, 465-472.	5.7	8
25	Demographic and comorbidity predictors of adherence to diagnostic colonoscopy in the Danish Colorectal Cancer Screening Program: a nationwide cross-sectional study. <i>Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2018, Volume 10, 1733-1742.	3.0	17
26	Colonoscopy-related complications in a nationwide immunochemical fecal occult blood test-based colorectal cancer screening program. <i>Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2018, Volume 10, 1649-1655.	3.0	25
27	Three years of colorectal cancer screening in Denmark. <i>Cancer Epidemiology</i> , 2018, 57, 39-44.	1.9	57
28	Benefit-to-harm ratio of the Danish breast cancer screening programme. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2017, 141, 512-518.	5.1	8
29	Overdiagnosis in breast cancer screening: The impact of study design and calculations. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2017, 80, 26-29.	2.8	7
30	Referral population studies underestimate differences between human papillomavirus assays in primary cervical screening. <i>Cytopathology</i> , 2017, 28, 419-428.	0.7	6
31	Validity of data in the Danish Colorectal Cancer Screening Database. <i>Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, Volume 9, 105-111.	3.0	46
32	Danish Quality Database for Mammography Screening. <i>Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2016, Volume 8, 661-666.	3.0	21
33	Body weight and sensitivity of screening mammography. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2016, 60, 93-100.	2.8	13
34	Body mass index and participation in organized mammographic screening: a prospective cohort study. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2015, 15, 294.	2.6	17
35	Measuring the burden of interval cancers in long-standing screening mammography programmes. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2015, 22, 83-92.	2.3	2
36	Is mammography screening history a predictor of future breast cancer risk?. <i>European Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2015, 30, 143-149.	5.7	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Comparison of cumulative false-positive risk of screening mammography in the United States and Denmark. <i>Cancer Epidemiology</i> , 2015, 39, 656-663.	1.9	14
38	Psychological effects of diagnosis and treatment of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: a systematic review. <i>Sexually Transmitted Infections</i> , 2015, 91, 248-256.	1.9	28
39	Hysterectomy and its impact on the calculated incidence of cervical cancer and screening coverage in Denmark. <i>Acta OncolÅ³gica</i> , 2015, 54, 1136-1143.	1.8	17
40	Decline in breast cancer mortality: How much is attributable to screening?. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2015, 22, 20-27.	2.3	54
41	A simple way to measure the burden of interval cancers in breast cancer screening. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2014, 14, 782.	2.6	12
42	Breast cancer mortality in Norway after the introduction of mammography screening. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2013, 132, 208-214.	5.1	44
43	Mammographic density in birth cohorts of Danish women: a longitudinal study. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2013, 13, 409.	2.6	7
44	Author's reply: Breast cancer mortality in Norway after the introduction of mammography screening. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2013, 132, 1727-1727.	5.1	0
45	Overdiagnosis in screening mammography in Denmark: population based cohort study. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2013, 346, f1064-f1064.	6.0	68
46	Information to women invited to mammography screening. <i>Annals of Oncology</i> , 2013, 24, 2467-2468.	1.2	0
47	Over-diagnosis estimate from The Independent UK Panel on Breast Cancer Screening is based on unsuitable data. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2013, 20, 104-105.	2.3	19
48	The Impact of Mammographic Screening on Breast Cancer Mortality in Europe: A Review of Observational Studies. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2012, 19, 14-25.	2.3	348
49	Breast Cancer Mortality in Mammographic Screening in Europe: A Review of Incidence-Based Mortality Studies. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2012, 19, 33-41.	2.3	152
50	False-Positive Results in Mammographic Screening for Breast Cancer in Europe: A Literature Review and Survey of Service Screening Programmes. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2012, 19, 57-66.	2.3	104
51	The Impact of Mammographic Screening on Breast Cancer Mortality in Europe: A Review of Trend Studies. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2012, 19, 26-32.	2.3	93
52	Restriction of human papillomavirus DNA testing in primary cervical screening to women above age 30. <i>European Journal of Cancer Prevention</i> , 2012, 21, 73-81.	1.3	17
53	Mammography activity in Norway 1983 to 2008. <i>Acta OncolÅ³gica</i> , 2011, 50, 1062-1067.	1.8	43
54	Human papillomavirus testing in primary cervical screening and the cut-off level for hybrid capture 2 tests: systematic review. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2011, 342, d2757-d2757.	2.3	40

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Type of hormone therapy and risk of misclassification at mammography screening. <i>Menopause</i> , 2011, 18, 171-177.	2.0	10
56	Response to Xu and Prorok. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2009, 16, 51-51.	2.3	1
57	Minimizing misclassification of hormone users at mammography screening. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2009, 124, 2159-2165.	5.1	4
58	Socio-demographic determinants of participation in mammography screening. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2008, 122, 418-423.	5.1	60
59	Performance of systematic and non-systematic (‘‘opportunistic’’) screening mammography: a comparative study from Denmark. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2008, 15, 23-26.	2.3	31
60	Does educational level determine screening participation?. <i>European Journal of Cancer Prevention</i> , 2008, 17, 273-278.	1.3	20
61	Estimating The Benefits of Mammography Screening. <i>Epidemiology</i> , 2007, 18, 487-492.	2.7	25
62	Predicting the risk of a false-positive test for women following a mammography screening programme. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2007, 14, 94-97.	2.3	43
63	Women’s Patterns of Participation in Mammography Screening in Denmark. <i>European Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2006, 21, 203-209.	5.7	23
64	Tumour size distribution in mammography screening. <i>Breast</i> , 2005, 14, 329-332.	2.2	0
65	Do nonattenders in mammography screening programmes seek mammography elsewhere?. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2005, 113, 464-470.	5.1	52
66	A model for determining the effect of mammography service screening. <i>Acta Oncologica</i> , 2005, 44, 120-128.	1.8	10
67	Breast cancer mortality in Copenhagen after introduction of mammography screening: cohort study. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2005, 330, 220.	2.3	163
68	Reply: Overdiagnosis of breast cancer in Denmark. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2004, 90, 1687-1687.	6.4	10
69	Breast cancer incidence after the start of mammography screening in Denmark. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2003, 88, 362-365.	6.4	38
70	Mammography screening in the county of Fyn. November 1993-December 1999. <i>Acta Pathologica Microbiologica Et Immunologica Scandinavica - Supplementum</i> , 2003, , 1-33.	0.2	11
71	Colorectal cancer mortality after randomized implementation of FIT-based screening - a nationwide cohort study. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 0, , 096914132211022.	2.3	4