Sisse Njor

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7386207/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

71	1,966	304743	254184
papers	citations	22 h-index	43 g-index

71	71	71	1994
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	The Impact of Mammographic Screening on Breast Cancer Mortality in Europe: A Review of Observational Studies. Journal of Medical Screening, 2012, 19, 14-25.	2.3	348
2	Breast cancer mortality in Copenhagen after introduction of mammography screening: cohort study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2005, 330, 220.	2.3	163
3	Breast Cancer Mortality in Mammographic Screening in Europe: A Review of Incidence-Based Mortality Studies. Journal of Medical Screening, 2012, 19, 33-41.	2.3	152
4	False-Positive Results in Mammographic Screening for Breast Cancer in Europe: A Literature Review and Survey of Service Screening Programmes. Journal of Medical Screening, 2012, 19, 57-66.	2.3	104
5	The Impact of Mammographic Screening on Breast Cancer Mortality in Europe: A Review of Trend Studies. Journal of Medical Screening, 2012, 19, 26-32.	2.3	93
6	Overdiagnosis in screening mammography in Denmark: population based cohort study. BMJ, The, 2013, 346, f1064-f1064.	6.0	68
7	Socioâ€demographic determinants of participation in mammography screening. International Journal of Cancer, 2008, 122, 418-423.	5.1	60
8	Effectiveness of Colorectal Cancer Screening in Detecting Earlier-Stage Diseaseâ€"A Nationwide Cohort Study in Denmark. Gastroenterology, 2018, 155, 99-106.	1.3	58
9	Three years of colorectal cancer screening in Denmark. Cancer Epidemiology, 2018, 57, 39-44.	1.9	57
10	Decline in breast cancer mortality: How much is attributable to screening?. Journal of Medical Screening, 2015, 22, 20-27.	2.3	54
11	Do nonattenders in mammography screening programmes seek mammography elsewhere?. International Journal of Cancer, 2005, 113, 464-470.	5.1	52
12	Validity of data in the Danish Colorectal Cancer Screening Database. Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, Volume 9, 105-111.	3.0	46
13	Breast cancer mortality in Norway after the introduction of mammography screening. International Journal of Cancer, 2013, 132, 208-214.	5.1	44
14	Predicting the risk of a false-positive test for women following a mammography screening programme. Journal of Medical Screening, 2007, 14, 94-97.	2.3	43
15	Mammography activity in Norway 1983 to 2008. Acta OncolÃ³gica, 2011, 50, 1062-1067.	1.8	43
16	Human papillomavirus testing in primary cervical screening and the cut-off level for hybrid capture 2 tests: systematic review. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2011, 342, d2757-d2757.	2.3	40
17	Breast cancer incidence after the start of mammography screening in Denmark. British Journal of Cancer, 2003, 88, 362-365.	6.4	38
18	Performance of systematic and non-systematic (â€˜opportunisticâ€™) screening mammography: a comparative study from Denmark. Journal of Medical Screening, 2008, 15, 23-26.	2.3	31

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Psychological effects of diagnosis and treatment of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: a systematic review. Sexually Transmitted Infections, 2015, 91, 248-256.	1.9	28
20	Estimating The Benefits of Mammography Screening. Epidemiology, 2007, 18, 487-492.	2.7	25
21	Colonoscopy-related complications in a nationwide immunochemical fecal occult blood test-based colorectal cancer screening program. Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, Volume 10, 1649-1655.	3.0	25
22	Womenâ€™s Patterns of Participation in Mammography Screening in Denmark. European Journal of Epidemiology, 2006, 21, 203-209.	5.7	23
23	Quality indicators for screening colonoscopy and colonoscopist performance and the subsequent risk of interval colorectal cancer: a systematic review. JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, 2019, 17, 2265-2300.	1.7	22
24	Danish Quality Database for Mammography Screening. Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, Volume 8, 661-666.	3.0	21
25	Does educational level determine screening participation?. European Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2008, 17, 273-278.	1.3	20
26	Over-diagnosis estimate from The Independent UK Panel on Breast Cancer Screening is based on unsuitable data. Journal of Medical Screening, 2013, 20, 104-105.	2.3	19
27	Restriction of human papillomavirus DNA testing in primary cervical screening to women above age 30. European Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2012, 21, 73-81.	1.3	17
28	Body mass index and participation in organized mammographic screening: a prospective cohort study. BMC Cancer, 2015, 15, 294.	2.6	17
29	Hysterectomy and its impact on the calculated incidence of cervical cancer and screening coverage in Denmark. Acta OncolÃ³gica, 2015, 54, 1136-1143.	1.8	17
30	Demographic and comorbidity predictors of adherence to diagnostic colonoscopy in the Danish Colorectal Cancer Screening Program: a nationwide cross-sectional study. Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, Volume 10, 1733-1742.	3.0	17
31	The optimal cutâ€off value in fitâ€based colorectal cancer screening: An observational study. Cancer Medicine, 2021, 10, 1872-1879.	2.8	17
32	Comparison of cumulative false-positive risk of screening mammography in the United States and Denmark. Cancer Epidemiology, 2015, 39, 656-663.	1.9	14
33	Breast cancer screening and overdiagnosis. International Journal of Cancer, 2021, 149, 846-853.	5.1	14
34	Body weight and sensitivity of screening mammography. European Journal of Cancer, 2016, 60, 93-100.	2.8	13
35	A simple way to measure the burden of interval cancers in breast cancer screening. BMC Cancer, 2014, 14, 782.	2.6	12
36	Mammography screening in the county of Fyn. November 1993-December 1999. Acta Pathologica Microbiologica Et Immunologica Scandinavica - Supplementum, 2003, , 1-33.	0.2	11

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Reply: Overdiagnosis of breast cancer in Denmark. British Journal of Cancer, 2004, 90, 1687-1687.	6.4	10
38	A model for determining the effect of mammography service screening. Acta OncolÃ³gica, 2005, 44, 120-128.	1.8	10
39	As you like it: How the same data can support manifold views of overdiagnosis in breast cancer screening. International Journal of Cancer, 2018, 143, 1287-1294.	5.1	10
40	Type of hormone therapy and risk of misclassification at mammography screening. Menopause, 2011, 18, 171-177.	2.0	10
41	Benefit-to-harm ratio of the Danish breast cancer screening programme. International Journal of Cancer, 2017, 141, 512-518.	5.1	8
42	Disaggregating the mortality reductions due to cancer screening: model-based estimates from population-based data. European Journal of Epidemiology, 2018, 33, 465-472.	5.7	8
43	Mammographic density in birth cohorts of Danish women: a longitudinal study. BMC Cancer, 2013, 13, 409.	2.6	7
44	Overdiagnosis in breast cancer screening: The impact of study design and calculations. European Journal of Cancer, 2017, 80, 26-29.	2.8	7
45	Breast cancer mortality and overdiagnosis after implementation of population-based screening in Denmark. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2020, 184, 891-899.	2.5	7
46	Referral population studies underestimate differences between human papillomavirus assays in primary cervical screening. Cytopathology, 2017, 28, 419-428.	0.7	6
47	Potential for prevention: a cohort study of colonoscopies and removal of adenomas in a FIT-based colorectal cancer screening programme. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology, 2019, 54, 1008-1014.	1.5	6
48	Varying fecal immunochemical test screening cutoffs by age and gender: a way to increase detection rates and reduce the number of colonoscopies. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2022, 95, 540-549.	1.0	6
49	Breast cancer survivors' risk of interval cancers and false positive results in organized mammography screening. Cancer Medicine, 2020, 9, 6042-6050.	2.8	5
50	Adherence to followâ€up after the exit cervical cancer screening test at age 60â€“64: A nationwide registerâ€based study. Cancer Medicine, 2021, 11, 224.	2.8	5
51	Minimizing misclassification of hormone users at mammography screening. International Journal of Cancer, 2009, 124, 2159-2165.	5.1	4
52	Data quality and colonoscopy performance indicators in the prevalent round of a FIT-based colorectal cancer screening program. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology, 2019, 54, 471-477.	1.5	4
53	Gaps between recommendations and their implementation: A register-based study of follow-up after abnormalities in cervical cancer screening. Preventive Medicine, 2021, 146, 106468.	3.4	4
54	Colorectal cancer mortality after randomized implementation of FIT-based screening - a nationwide cohort study. Journal of Medical Screening, 0, , 096914132211022.	2.3	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Higher cervical cancer mortality among older women in Denmark could be due to insufficient screening coverage. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2019, 98, 1489-1490.	2.8	3
56	Impact of screening on short-term mortality and morbidity following treatment for colorectal cancer. Scandinavian Journal of Surgery, 2021, 110, 465-471.	2.6	3
57	Measuring the burden of interval cancers in long-standing screening mammography programmes. Journal of Medical Screening, 2015, 22, 83-92.	2.3	2
58	The performance indicator of colonic intubation (PICI) in a FIT-based colorectal cancer screening program. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology, 2019, 54, 1176-1181.	1.5	2
59	Screening participation after a false positive result in organized cervical cancer screening: a nationwide register-based cohort study. Scientific Reports, 2020, 10, 15427.	3.3	2
60	Participation in breast cancer screening among breast cancer survivors â€“A nationwide register-based cohort study. Breast, 2020, 54, 31-36.	2.2	2
61	Response to Xu and Prorok. Journal of Medical Screening, 2009, 16, 51-51.	2.3	1
62	Is mammography screening history a predictor of future breast cancer risk?. European Journal of Epidemiology, 2015, 30, 143-149.	5.7	1
63	Variations in pathways and resource use in follow-up after abnormal mammography screening: a nationwide register-based study. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2021, 189, 551-560.	2.5	1
64	The relative effectiveness of fecal immunochemical test-based colorectal cancer screening to detect adenomas and cancer in different demographic and socioeconomic groups. A nationwide cohort study. European Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2022, Publish Ahead of Print, .	1.3	1
65	Colorectal cancer screening participation among citizens not recommended to be screened: a cohort study. BMC Gastroenterology, 2022, 22, .	2.0	1
66	Tumour size distribution in mammography screening. Breast, 2005, 14, 329-332.	2.2	0
67	Author's reply: Breast cancer mortality in Norway after the introduction of mammography screening. International Journal of Cancer, 2013, 132, 1727-1727.	5.1	0
68	Information to women invited to mammography screening. Annals of Oncology, 2013, 24, 2467-2468.	1.2	0
69	Answer to: â€œIs the National Danish Colorectal Cancer Screening Programme a success?â€• Cancer Epidemiology, 2019, 58, 200.	1.9	0
70	Loss of QALY in mammography screening reported by Zahl et al.. International Journal of Cancer, 2020, 146, 1176-1176.	5.1	0
71	Data from the Nielsen et al. study does not support their suggestion. Colorectal Disease, 2021, , .	1.4	0