## **Stacey Pereira**

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/737409/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



STACEV DEDEIDA

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Researcher Views on Changes in Personality, Mood, and Behavior in Next-Generation Deep Brain Stimulation. AJOB Neuroscience, 2023, 14, 287-299.	0.6	13
2	Effects of participation in a U.S. trial of newborn genomic sequencing on parents at risk for depression. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2022, 31, 218-229.	0.9	5
3	Capacities and Limitations of Using Polygenic Risk Scores for Reproductive Decision Making. American Journal of Bioethics, 2022, 22, 42-45.	0.5	2
4	Family secrets: Experiences and outcomes of participating in direct-to-consumer genetic relative-finder services. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2022, 109, 486-497.	2.6	12
5	eP515: Family-level utility of pediatric genomic sequencing: A qualitative analysis and attribute framework. Genetics in Medicine, 2022, 24, S329.	1.1	0
6	Researchers' Ethical Concerns About Using Adaptive Deep Brain Stimulation for Enhancement. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2022, 16, 813922.	1.0	10
7	Polygenic embryo screening: four clinical considerations warrant further attention. Human Reproduction, 2022, 37, 1375-1378.	0.4	8
8	Parental Attitudes Toward Standard Newborn Screening and Newborn Genomic Sequencing: Findings From the BabySeq Study. Frontiers in Genetics, 2022, 13, 867371.	1,1	19
9	Return of results in a global survey of psychiatric genetics researchers: practices, attitudes, and knowledge. Genetics in Medicine, 2021, 23, 298-305.	1.1	7
10	Perceptions of best practices for return of results in an international survey of psychiatric genetics researchers. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2021, 29, 231-240.	1.4	4
11	Screening embryos for polygenic conditions and traits: ethical considerations for an emerging technology. Genetics in Medicine, 2021, 23, 432-434.	1.1	36
12	Discordant results between conventional newborn screening and genomic sequencing in the BabySeq Project. Genetics in Medicine, 2021, 23, 1372-1375.	1,1	47
13	Child and Adolescent Psychiatrists' Perceptions of Utility and Self-rated Knowledge of Genetic Testing Predict Usage for Autism Spectrum Disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 2021, 60, 657-660.	0.3	16
14	Pediatric Oncologists' Experiences Returning and Incorporating Genomic Sequencing Results into Cancer Care. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2021, 11, 570.	1.1	2
15	Psychosocial Effect of Newborn Genomic Sequencing on Families in the BabySeq Project. JAMA Pediatrics, 2021, 175, 1132.	3.3	35
16	Genetic testing in ambulatory cardiology clinics reveals high rate of findings with clinical management implications. Genetics in Medicine, 2021, 23, 2404-2414.	1,1	14
17	Patient, Caregiver, and Decliner Perspectives on Whether to Enroll in Adaptive Deep Brain Stimulation Research. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 2021, 15, 734182.	1.4	4
18	Psychiatric genomics researchers' perspectives on best practices for returning results to individual participants. Genetics in Medicine, 2020, 22, 345-352.	1.1	9

STACEY PEREIRA

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Educating military primary health-care providers in genomic medicine: lessons learned from the MilSeq Project. Genetics in Medicine, 2020, 22, 1710-1717.	1.1	7
20	Researcher Perspectives on Ethical Considerations in Adaptive Deep Brain Stimulation Trials. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2020, 14, 578695.	1.0	21
21	Airmen and health-care providers' attitudes toward the use of genomic sequencing in the US Air Force: findings from the MilSeq Project. Genetics in Medicine, 2020, 22, 2003-2010.	1.1	2
22	Quantifying Downstream Healthcare Utilization in Studies of Genomic Testing. Value in Health, 2020, 23, 559-565.	0.1	6
23	Ethics in Genetic and Genomic Research. , 2020, , 91-110.		4
24	Researcher Perspectives on Data Sharing in Deep Brain Stimulation. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2020, 14, 578687.	1.0	11
25	Neuroethics at 15: Keep the Kant but Add More Bacon. AJOB Neuroscience, 2019, 10, 97-100.	0.6	7
26	Returning a Genomic Result for an Adult-Onset Condition to the Parents of a Newborn: Insights From the BabySeq Project. Pediatrics, 2019, 143, S37-S43.	1.0	45
27	"DNA Is Information, and Genetics Is Information Technology― Reconsidering the Genetic Code. American Journal of Bioethics, 2019, 19, 75-76.	0.5	1
28	Responsibility, culpability, and parental views on genomic testing for seriously ill children. Genetics in Medicine, 2019, 21, 2791-2797.	1.1	20
29	Predispositional genome sequencing in healthy adults: design, participant characteristics, and early outcomes of the PeopleSeq Consortium. Genome Medicine, 2019, 11, 10.	3.6	41
30	Perceived Benefits, Risks, and Utility of Newborn Genomic Sequencing in the BabySeq Project. Pediatrics, 2019, 143, S6-S13.	1.0	47
31	An Ethical Framework for Research in Maternal–Fetal Intervention in the Presence of Maternal Human Immunodeficiency Virus or Hepatitis B and C Infection. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2019, 133, 48-52.	1.2	2
32	Parental interest in genomic sequencing of newborns: enrollment experience from the BabySeq Project. Genetics in Medicine, 2019, 21, 622-630.	1.1	61
33	Interpretation of Genomic Sequencing Results in Healthy and Ill Newborns: Results from the BabySeq Project. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2019, 104, 76-93.	2.6	176
34	Psychiatric genetics researchers' views on offering return of results to individual participants. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics, 2019, 180, 589-600.	1.1	17
35	Commercial Interests, the Technological Imperative, and Advocates: <i>Three Forces Driving Genomic Sequencing in Newborns</i> . Hastings Center Report, 2018, 48, S43-S44.	0.7	2
36	The BabySeq project: implementing genomic sequencing in newborns. BMC Pediatrics, 2018, 18, 225.	0.7	115

STACEY PEREIRA

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Newborn Sequencing in Genomic Medicine and Public Health. Pediatrics, 2017, 139, .	1.0	174
38	Should you profit from your genome?. Nature Biotechnology, 2017, 35, 18-20.	9.4	17
39	Do privacy and security regulations need a status update? Perspectives from an intergenerational survey. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0184525.	1.1	8
40	Return of individual genomic research results: what do consent forms tell participants?. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 24, 1524-1529.	1.4	14
41	The ethics of conducting molecular autopsies in cases of sudden death in the young. Genome Research, 2016, 26, 1165-1169.	2.4	20
42	An open access pilot freely sharing cancer genomic data from participants in Texas. Scientific Data, 2016, 3, 160010.	2.4	19
43	Potential Psychosocial Risks of Sequencing Newborns. Pediatrics, 2016, 137, S24-S29.	1.0	47
44	Open Access Data Sharing in Genomic Research. Genes, 2014, 5, 739-747.	1.0	26
45	Adult Genetic Risk Screening. Annual Review of Medicine, 2014, 65, 1-17.	5.0	22
46	Personalized genomic disease risk of volunteers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2013, 110, 16957-16962.	3.3	44
47	Motivations and Barriers to Sharing Biological Samples: A Case Study. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2013, 3, 102-110.	1.1	7