## Julien Michel

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7353060/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

	201674	189892
2,735	27	50
citations	h-index	g-index
66	66	2794
00	00	2/34
docs citations	times ranked	citing authors
	citations 66	2,735 27 citations h-index  66 66

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Energetics of a protein disorder–order transition in small molecule recognition. Chemical Science, 2022, 13, 5220-5229.	7.4	7
2	A fluorogenic probe for granzyme B enables in-biopsy evaluation and screening of response to anticancer immunotherapies. Nature Communications, 2022, 13, 2366.	12.8	26
3	Designing stapled peptides to inhibit <scp>proteinâ€protein</scp> interactions: An analysis of successes in a rapidly changing field. Peptide Science, 2021, 113, e24191.	1.8	38
4	Implementation of the QUBE Force Field in SOMD for High-Throughput Alchemical Free-Energy Calculations. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling, 2021, 61, 2124-2130.	5 <b>.</b> 4	5
5	Combining Virtual Reality Visualization with Ensemble Molecular Dynamics to Study Complex Protein Conformational Changes. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling, 2020, 60, 6344-6354.	5 <b>.</b> 4	6
6	Investigating Cryptic Binding Sites by Molecular Dynamics Simulations. Accounts of Chemical Research, 2020, 53, 654-661.	15.6	106
7	Hybrid Alchemical Free Energy/Machine-Learning Methodology for the Computation of Hydration Free Energies. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling, 2020, 60, 5331-5339.	5 <b>.</b> 4	31
8	Dynamic design: manipulation of millisecond timescale motions on the energy landscape of cyclophilin A. Chemical Science, 2020, 11, 2670-2680.	7.4	16
9	The SAMPL6 SAMPLing challenge: assessing the reliability and efficiency of binding free energy calculations. Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design, 2020, 34, 601-633.	2.9	86
10	Assessment of Binding Affinity via Alchemical Free-Energy Calculations. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling, 2020, 60, 3120-3130.	5 <b>.</b> 4	114
11	Best Practices for Alchemical Free Energy Calculations [Article v1.0]. Living Journal of Computational Molecular Science, 2020, 2, .	6.4	125
12	A computationally designed binding mode flip leads to a novel class of potent tri-vector cyclophilin inhibitors. Chemical Science, 2019, 10, 542-547.	7.4	17
13	Effect of set up protocols on the accuracy of alchemical free energy calculation over a set of ACK1 inhibitors. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0213217.	2.5	11
14	Allosteric effects in cyclophilin mutants may be explained by changes in nano-microsecond time scale motions. Communications Chemistry, 2019, 2, .	4.5	19
15	Biomolecular simulations: From dynamics and mechanisms to computational assays of biological activity. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science, 2019, 9, e1393.	14.6	110
16	BioSimSpace: An interoperable Python framework for biomolecular simulation. Journal of Open Source Software, 2019, 4, 1831.	4.6	26
17	Best Practices for Alchemical Free Energy Calculations [Article v1.0]. Living Journal of Computational Molecular Science, 2019, 2, .	6.4	3
18	Metabolism and hydrophilicity of the polarised â€~Janus face' all- <i>cis</i> tetrafluorocyclohexyl ring, a candidate motif for drug discovery. Chemical Science, 2018, 9, 3023-3028.	7.4	41

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Impact of domain knowledge on blinded predictions of binding energies by alchemical free energy calculations. Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design, 2018, 32, 199-210.	2.9	19
20	Reproducibility of Free Energy Calculations across Different Molecular Simulation Software Packages. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, 2018, 14, 5567-5582.	<b>5.</b> 3	66
21	Blinded predictions of standard binding free energies: lessons learned from the SAMPL6 challenge. Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design, 2018, 32, 1047-1058.	2.9	15
22	Pushing the Limits of Detection of Weak Binding Using Fragment-Based Drug Discovery: Identification of New Cyclophilin Binders. Journal of Molecular Biology, 2017, 429, 2556-2570.	4.2	16
23	Blinded predictions of host-guest standard free energies of binding in the SAMPL5 challenge. Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design, 2017, 31, 61-70.	2.9	29
24	Impact of Ser17 Phosphorylation on the Conformational Dynamics of the Oncoprotein MDM2. Biochemistry, 2016, 55, 2500-2509.	2.5	15
25	Blinded predictions of binding modes and energies of HSP90-α ligands for the 2015 D3R grand challenge. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry, 2016, 24, 4890-4899.	3.0	32
26	Blinded predictions of distribution coefficients in the SAMPL5 challenge. Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design, 2016, 30, 1101-1114.	2.9	13
27	Elucidation of Nonadditive Effects in Protein–Ligand Binding Energies: Thrombin as a Case Study. Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 2016, 120, 5340-5350.	2.6	30
28	Elucidation of Ligand-Dependent Modulation of Disorder-Order Transitions in the Oncoprotein MDM2. PLoS Computational Biology, 2015, 11, e1004282.	3.2	19
29	A Collective Variable for the Rapid Exploration of Protein Druggability. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, 2015, 11, 1292-1307.	<b>5.</b> 3	22
30	Evaluation of Selected Classical Force Fields for Alchemical Binding Free Energy Calculations of Protein-Carbohydrate Complexes. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, 2015, 11, 3333-3345.	<b>5.</b> 3	32
31	FESetup: Automating Setup for Alchemical Free Energy Simulations. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling, 2015, 55, 2485-2490.	5.4	92
32	Current and emerging opportunities for molecular simulations in structure-based drug design. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 2014, 16, 4465-4477.	2.8	45
33	Prediction of Small Molecule Hydration Thermodynamics with Grid Cell Theory. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, 2014, 10, 35-48.	<b>5.</b> 3	54
34	Rapid decomposition and visualisation of protein–ligand binding free energies by residue and by water. Faraday Discussions, 2014, 169, 477-499.	3.2	71
35	Evaluation of Host–Guest Binding Thermodynamics of Model Cavities with Grid Cell Theory. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, 2014, 10, 4055-4068.	<b>5.</b> 3	21
36	Mechanisms of small-molecule binding to intrinsically disordered proteins. Biochemical Society Transactions, 2012, 40, 1004-1008.	3.4	31

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	The Impact of Small Molecule Binding on the Energy Landscape of the Intrinsically Disordered Protein C-Myc. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e41070.	2.5	61
38	A Simple QM/MM Approach for Capturing Polarization Effects in Proteinâ^Ligand Binding Free Energy Calculations. Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 2011, 115, 4911-4926.	2.6	97
39	Prediction of protein–ligand binding affinity by free energy simulations: assumptions, pitfalls and expectations. Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design, 2010, 24, 639-658.	2.9	221
40	Rigorous Free Energy Calculations in Structureâ€Based Drug Design. Molecular Informatics, 2010, 29, 570-578.	2.5	71
41	Effects of Water Placement on Predictions of Binding Affinities for p38α MAP Kinase Inhibitors. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, 2010, 6, 3850-3856.	5.3	81
42	In Silico Improvement of β <sup>3</sup> -Peptide Inhibitors of p53•hDM2 and p53•hDMX. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2009, 131, 6356-6357.	13.7	68
43	Energetics of Displacing Water Molecules from Protein Binding Sites: Consequences for Ligand Optimization. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2009, 131, 15403-15411.	13.7	222
44	Prediction of the Water Content in Protein Binding Sites. Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 2009, 113, 13337-13346.	2.6	175
45	Hit Identification and Binding Mode Predictions by Rigorous Free Energy Simulations. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 2008, 51, 6654-6664.	6.4	59
46	Prediction of Partition Coefficients by Multiscale Hybrid Atomic-Level/Coarse-Grain Simulations. Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 2008, 112, 657-660.	2.6	82
47	Proteinâ^Ligand Complexes:  Computation of the Relative Free Energy of Different Scaffolds and Binding Modes. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, 2007, 3, 1645-1655.	5.3	43
48	Protein-Ligand Binding Affinity Predictions by Implicit Solvent Simulations:Â A Tool for Lead Optimization?. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 2006, 49, 7427-7439.	6.4	92
49	Efficient Generalized Born Models for Monte Carlo Simulations. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, 2006, 2, 732-739.	5.3	27
50	The parameterization and validation of generalized born models using the pairwise descreening approximation. Journal of Computational Chemistry, 2004, 25, 1760-1770.	3.3	11