Anup S Shetty

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7340482/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

430874 552781 57 890 18 26 citations h-index g-index papers 57 57 57 1467 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma: what the radiologist needs to know about biphenotypic liver carcinoma. Abdominal Imaging, 2014, 39, 310-322.	2.0	56
2	<i>BRCA</i> -associated Cancers: Role of Imaging in Screening, Diagnosis, and Management. Radiographics, 2017, 37, 1005-1023.	3.3	56
3	Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) versus non-HCC: accuracy and reliability of Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System v2018. Abdominal Radiology, 2019, 44, 2116-2132.	2.1	52
4	In-Phase and Opposed-Phase Imaging: Applications of Chemical Shift and Magnetic Susceptibility in the Chest and Abdomen. Radiographics, 2019, 39, 115-135.	3.3	40
5	Collision and composite tumors; radiologic and pathologic correlation. Abdominal Radiology, 2017, 42, 2909-2926.	2.1	36
6	Results of the 2015 Survey of the American Alliance of Academic Chief Residents in Radiology. Academic Radiology, 2015, 22, 1308-1316.	2.5	34
7	Determination of the Role of Negative Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Prostate in Clinical Practice: Is Biopsy Still Necessary?. Urology, 2017, 102, 190-197.	1.0	32
8	Results of the 2014 Survey of the American Alliance of Academic Chief Residents in Radiology. Academic Radiology, 2014, 21, 1331-1347.	2.5	29
9	Clinical Complete Response in Patients With Rectal Adenocarcinoma Treated With Short-Course Radiation Therapy and Nonoperative Management. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2022, 112, 715-725.	0.8	28
10	Cognitive Versus Software Fusion for MRI-targeted Biopsy: Experience Before and After Implementation of Fusion. Urology, 2018, 119, 115-120.	1.0	27
11	Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Defined Prostate-Specific Antigen Density Significantly Improves the Risk Prediction for Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer on Biopsy. Urology, 2019, 126, 152-157.	1.0	27
12	Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging Provides Limited Incremental Value Over the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center Preradical Prostatectomy Nomogram. Urology, 2018, 113, 119-128.	1.0	26
13	Quantitative MRI of Diffuse Liver Disease: Current Applications and Future Directions. Radiology, 2019, 290, 23-30.	7.3	26
14	Imaging of tailgut cysts. Abdominal Imaging, 2015, 40, 2783-2795.	2.0	25
15	MR Imaging of Vulvar andÂVaginal Cancer. Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America, 2017, 25, 481-502.	1.1	25
16	Accuracy and Variability of Prostate Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging Interpretation Using the Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System: A Blinded Comparison of Radiologists. European Urology Focus, 2020, 6, 267-272.	3.1	23
17	Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning: Opportunities for Radiologists inÂTraining. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2018, 15, 1320-1321.	1.8	21
18	MRI in acute pancreatitis. Abdominal Radiology, 2020, 45, 1232-1242.	2.1	21

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	A Snapshot of Radiology Training During the Early COVID-19 Pandemic. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2021, 50, 607-613.	1.4	18
20	CT of Gastric Volvulus: Interobserver Reliability, Radiologists' Accuracy, and Imaging Findings. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 212, 103-108.	2.2	17
21	Assessing Rectal Cancer Treatment Response Using Coregistered Endorectal Photoacoustic and US Imaging Paired with Deep Learning. Radiology, 2021, 299, 349-358.	7.3	17
22	Magnetic Resonance Imaging Provides Added Value to the Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial Risk Calculator for Patients With Estimated Risk of High-grade Prostate Cancer Less Than or Equal to 10%. Urology, 2017, 102, 183-189.	1.0	16
23	Evaluation of noncontrast MR enterography for pediatric inflammatory bowel disease assessment. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2018, 48, 341-348.	3.4	16
24	Expanding the Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System (LI-RADS) v2018 diagnostic population: performance and reliability of LI-RADS for distinguishing hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) from non-HCC primary liver carcinoma in patients who do not meet strict LI-RADS high-risk criteria. Hpb, 2019, 21, 1697-1706.	0.3	16
25	Diagnostic Approach to Benign and Malignant Calcifications in the Abdomen and Pelvis. Radiographics, 2020, 40, 731-753.	3.3	16
26	The Accuracy of Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging Interpretation: Impact of the Individual Radiologist and Clinical Factors. Urology, 2019, 127, 68-73.	1.0	15
27	JOURNAL CLUB: Hepatopancreaticobiliary Imaging Second-Opinion Consultations: Is There Value in the Second Reading?. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 211, 1264-1272.	2.2	14
28	Assessment of primary liver carcinomas other than hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with LI-RADS v2018: comparison of the LI-RADS target population to patients without LI-RADS-defined HCC risk factors. European Radiology, 2020, 30, 996-1007.	4. 5	14
29	ABR Core Examination Preparation. Academic Radiology, 2015, 22, 121-129.	2.5	13
30	Role of lower extremity run-off CT angiography in the evaluation of acute vascular disease. Abdominal Radiology, 2017, 42, 1028-1045.	2.1	13
31	Imaging Manifestations of Genitourinary Tuberculosis. Radiographics, 2021, 41, E1123-E1143.	3.3	13
32	Formulating a Treatment Plan in Suspected Lymphoma: Ultrasoundâ€Guided Core Needle Biopsy Versus Core Needle Biopsy and Fineâ€Needle Aspiration of Peripheral Lymph Nodes. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2019, 38, 581-586.	1.7	11
33	Limited utility of MRA for acute bowel ischemia after portal venous phase CT. Abdominal Imaging, 2015, 40, 3020-3028.	2.0	9
34	Imaging Biomarkers of Hepatic Fibrosis: Reliability and Accuracy of Hepatic Periportal Space Widening and Other Morphologic Features on MRI. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 216, 1229-1239.	2.2	8
35	Imaging of the Vagina: Spectrum of Disease with Emphasis on MRI Appearance. Radiographics, 2021, 41, 1549-1568.	3.3	8
36	Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography: pitfalls in interpretation. Abdominal Radiology, 2023, 48, 91-105.	2.1	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Rare Pancreatic Tumors. Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America, 2018, 26, 421-437.	1.1	7
38	Apparent Diffusion Coefficient Distinguishes Malignancy in T1-Hyperintense Small Renal Masses. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 214, 114-121.	2.2	7
39	Magnetic Resonance Angiography of the Thoracic Vasculature: Technique and Applications. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2020, 52, 325-347.	3.4	7
40	Fat-only Dixon: how to use it in body MRI. Abdominal Radiology, 2022, 47, 2527-2544.	2.1	6
41	Diagnostic Performance of Prostate Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging in African-American Men. Urology, 2019, 134, 181-185.	1.0	5
42	Computed Tomography Imaging of Non-Neoplastic and Neoplastic Benign Gastric Disease. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2019, 48, 75-96.	1.4	5
43	Improved Detection of Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer With Software-assisted Systematic Biopsy Using MR/US Fusion in Patients With Negative Prostate MRI. Urology, 2018, 120, 162-166.	1.0	4
44	Contemporary Imaging of the Surgically Placed Hepatic Arterial Infusion Chemotherapy Pump. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2020, 217, 633-643.	2.2	4
45	Acute Pancreatitis Imaging in MDCT: State of the Art of Usual and Unusual Local Complications. 2012 Atlanta Classification Revisited. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2021, 50, 186-199.	1.4	4
46	Risk Stratification of 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose-Avid Thyroid Nodules Based on ACR Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2021, 18, 388-394.	1.8	3
47	Quality Control of Magnetic Resonance Elastography Using Percent Measurable Liver Volume Estimation. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2022, 55, 1890-1899.	3.4	3
48	Low-dose Naltrexone for the treatment of sarcoidosis. Sarcoidosis Vasculitis and Diffuse Lung Diseases, 2017, 34, 184-187.	0.2	3
49	Diseases and Syndromes That Affect the Lungs and the Kidneys: A Radiologic Review. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2017, 46, 216-224.	1.4	2
50	Qualitative imaging features of pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms predict histopathologic characteristics including tumor grade and patient outcome. Abdominal Radiology, 2022, 47, 3971-3985.	2.1	2
51	Imaging Spectrum of Infections in the Setting of Immunotherapy and Molecular Targeted Therapy. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2022, 51, 86-97.	1.4	1
52	Editorial Comment: Toward a CT Equivalent of the MRI Clear Cell Likelihood Score. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2022, 219, 824-824.	2.2	1
53	Author Reply. Urology, 2017, 102, 196-197.	1.0	0
54	Reply. Urology, 2018, 113, 128.	1.0	0

ANUP S SHETTY

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Reply to "Second-Opinion Consultations: Limitations and Perspectives From a Developing Countryâ€. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 213, W98-W98.	2.2	O
56	Limited added value of Doppler ultrasound of the liver after recent contrast-enhanced computed tomography. Abdominal Radiology, 2021, 46, 2567-2574.	2.1	0
57	Enhancing the Interpretation of Unenhanced Abdominopelvic CT. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2022, , .	1.4	O