Jeremy Howick

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7314861/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



IEDEMY HOWICK

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Do medical schools teach medical humanities? Review of curricula in the United States, Canada and the United Kingdom. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2022, 28, 86-92.	1.8	13
2	Patient and practitioner priorities and concerns about primary healthcare interactions for osteoarthritis: A meta-ethnography. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, , .	2.2	5
3	Adding a dose of empathy to healthcare: What can healthcare systems do?. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2022, 28, 475-482.	1.8	7
4	How do they add up? The interaction between the placebo and treatment effect: A systematic review. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 2022, 88, 3638-3656.	2.4	9
5	Most healthcare interventions tested in Cochrane Reviews are not effective according to high quality evidence: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 148, 160-169.	5.0	30
6	Unblinded and Blinded N-of-1 Trials Versus Usual Care: A Randomized Controlled Trial to Increase Statin Uptake in Primary Care. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2022, 15, .	2.2	4
7	Experiences of empathy training in healthcare: A systematic review of qualitative studies. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 3017-3037.	2.2	21
8	Empathy in patient-clinician interactions when using telecommunication: A rapid review of the evidence. PEC Innovation, 2022, 1, 100065.	0.8	4
9	What Should Clinicians Tell Patients about Placebo and Nocebo Effects? Practical Considerations Based on Expert Consensus. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 2021, 90, 49-56.	8.8	39
10	The evidence for cognitive behavioural therapy in any condition, population or context: a meta-review of systematic reviews and panoramic meta-analysis. Psychological Medicine, 2021, 51, 21-29.	4.5	46
11	Cognitive–behavioural therapy for a variety of conditions: an overview of systematic reviews and panoramic meta-analysis. Health Technology Assessment, 2021, 25, 1-378.	2.8	22
12	An Empathy Imitation Game: Empathy Turing Test for Care- and Chat-bots. Minds and Machines, 2021, 31, 457-461.	4.8	3
13	Exploring patient views of empathic optimistic communication for osteoarthritis in primary care: a qualitative interview study using vignettes. BJGP Open, 2021, 5, BJGPO.2021.0014.	1.8	8
14	Mindfulness-based programmes to reduce stress and enhance well-being at work: a realist review. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e043525.	1.9	11
15	Effectiveness of interventions to maintain physical activity behavior (deviceâ€measured): Systematic review and metaâ€analysis of randomized controlled trials. Obesity Reviews, 2021, 22, e13304.	6.5	18
16	Health and Gender Inequalities of the COVID-19 Pandemic: Adverse Impacts on Women's Health, Wealth and Social Welfare. Frontiers in Global Women S Health, 2021, 2, 670310.	2.3	10
17	Measuring the success of blinding in placebo-controlled trials: Should we be so quick to dismiss it?. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 135, 176-181.	5.0	12
18	Do overly complex reporting guidelines remove the focus from good clinical trials?. BMJ, The, 2021, 374, n1793.	6.0	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Harnessing Placebo Effects in Primary Care: Using the Person-Based Approach to Develop an Online Intervention to Enhance Practitioners' Communication of Clinical Empathy and Realistic Optimism During Consultations. Frontiers in Pain Research, 2021, 2, 721222.	2.0	4
20	"Consensus on Placebo and Nocebo Effects Connects Science with Practice:―Reply to "Questioning the Consensus on Placebo and Nocebo Effects― Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 2021, 90, 213-214.	8.8	1
21	Why include humanities in medical studies: comment. Internal and Emergency Medicine, 2020, 15, 527-528.	2.0	0
22	Assessing the effect of empathy-enhancing interventions in health education and training: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e036471.	1.9	32
23	A price tag on clinical empathy? Factors influencing its cost-effectiveness. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2020, 113, 389-393.	2.0	16
24	European Headache Federation recommendations for placebo and noceboÂterminology. Journal of Headache and Pain, 2020, 21, 117.	6.0	25
25	How Do Nocebo Phenomena Provide a Theoretical Framework for the COVID-19 Pandemic?. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020, 11, 589884.	2.1	26
26	Improving Empathy in Healthcare Consultations—a Secondary Analysis of Interventions. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2020, 35, 3007-3014.	2.6	20
27	Tackling statin intolerance with n-of-1 trials (TaSINI) in primary care: protocol for a feasibility randomised trial to increase statin adherence. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e033070.	1.9	4
28	The quality of evidence for medical interventions does not improve or worsen: a metaepidemiological study of Cochrane reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 126, 154-159.	5.0	22
29	TIDieR-Placebo: A guide and checklist for reporting placebo and sham controls. PLoS Medicine, 2020, 17, e1003294.	8.4	52
30	Unethical informed consent caused by overlooking poorly measured nocebo effects. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2020, 47, medethics-2019-105903.	1.8	10
31	Inadequate description of placebo and sham controls in a systematicÂreview of recent trials. European Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2019, 49, e13169.	3.4	11
32	Did John Stuart Mill influence the design of controlled clinical trials?. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2019, 112, 258-260.	2.0	2
33	Establishing a causal link between social relationships and health using the Bradford Hill Guidelines. SSM - Population Health, 2019, 8, 100402.	2.7	35
34	Exploring the Asymmetrical Relationship Between the Power of Finance Bias and Evidence. Perspectives in Biology and Medicine, 2019, 62, 159-187.	0.5	14
35	A discursive exploration of public perspectives on placebos and their effects. Health Psychology Open, 2019, 6, 205510291983231.	1.4	10
36	Neuraminidase inhibitors for preventing and treating influenza in adults and children. The Cochrane Library, 2018, 2018, CD008965.	2.8	328

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Effects of empathic and positive communication in healthcare consultations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2018, 111, 240-252.	2.0	196
38	Placebos Without Deception: Outcomes, Mechanisms, and Ethics. International Review of Neurobiology, 2018, 138, 219-240.	2.0	71
39	Personalised healthcare and population healthcare. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2018, 111, 51-56.	2.0	4
40	Rapid overview of systematic reviews of nocebo effects reported by patients taking placebos in clinical trials. Trials, 2018, 19, 674.	1.6	37
41	Effectiveness of cognitive–behavioural therapy: a protocol for an overview of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e025761.	1.9	13
42	Technology: a help or hindrance to empathic healthcare?. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2018, 111, 390-393.	2.0	7
43	How placebo characteristics can influence estimates of intervention effects in trials. Cmaj, 2018, 190, E908-E911.	2.0	21
44	Philosophy of too much medicine conference report. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2018, 24, 1011-1012.	1.8	4
45	Therapeutic empathy: what it is and what it isn't. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2018, 111, 233-236.	2.0	30
46	Implications of Placebo and Nocebo Effects for Clinical Practice: Expert Consensus. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 2018, 87, 204-210.	8.8	318
47	The relativity of â€~placebos': defending a modified version of Grünbaum's definition. SynthÃ^se, 2017, 1363-1396.	194, 1.1	50
48	Homeopathy for Allergic Rhinitis: A Systematic Review. Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine, 2017, 23, 426-444.	2.1	15
49	Effects of placebos without deception compared with no treatment: A systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine, 2017, 10, 97-107.	2.4	145
50	Positive messages may reduce patient pain: A meta-analysis. European Journal of Integrative Medicine, 2017, 11, 31-38.	1.7	7
51	Randomized Trials and Observational Studies: The Current Philosophical Controversy. , 2017, , 873-886.		1
52	Empirical evidence against placebo controls. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2017, 43, 707-713.	1.8	5
53	Overthrowing barriers to empathy in healthcare: empathy in the age of the Internet. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2017, 110, 352-357.	2.0	28
54	How empathic is your healthcare practitioner? A systematic review and meta-analysis of patient surveys. BMC Medical Education, 2017, 17, 136.	2.4	95

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Why it can be ethical to use placebos in clinical practice. , 2017, , 17-24.		1
56	Problematic placebos in physical therapy trials. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2016, 22, 598-602.	1.8	14
57	The effect of patient–practitioner communication on pain: a systematic review. European Journal of Pain, 2016, 20, 675-688.	2.8	72
58	Point of care testing in family practice: common myths debunked. Family Practice, 2016, 34, cmw082.	1.9	6
59	Point-of-care testing in UK primary care: a survey to establish clinical needs. Family Practice, 2016, 33, 388-394.	1.9	40
60	Research gaps in the philosophy of evidenceâ€based medicine. Philosophy Compass, 2016, 11, 757-771.	1.3	11
61	Foundations for evidence-based intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring. Clinical Neurophysiology, 2016, 127, 81-90.	1.5	41
62	Neuraminidase inhibitors for influenza: a systematic review and meta-analysis of regulatory and mortality data. Health Technology Assessment, 2016, 20, 1-242.	2.8	79
63	The first center for evidenceâ€based medicine in Lithuania: an opportunity to change culture and improve clinical practice. Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine, 2015, 8, 108-110.	2.4	0
64	The importance of values in evidence-based medicine. BMC Medical Ethics, 2015, 16, 69.	2.4	141
65	Effects of placebos without deception compared with no treatment: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis: TableÂ1. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e009428.	1.9	12
66	Randomized Trials and Observational Studies: The Current Philosophical Controversy. , 2015, , 1-11.		1
67	The Need for Randomization in Animal Trials: An Overview of Systematic Reviews. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e98856.	2.5	199
68	Current and future use of point-of-care tests in primary care: an international survey in Australia, Belgium, The Netherlands, the UK and the USA. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e005611-e005611.	1.9	131
69	Response to 'Position statement on ethics, equipoise and research on charged particle therapyâ€~. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2014, 40, 576-577.	1.8	3
70	In search of justification for the unpredictability paradox. Trials, 2014, 15, 480.	1.6	7
71	Placebo use in the UK: a qualitative study exploring GPs' views on placebo effects in clinical practice. Family Practice, 2014, 31, 357-363.	1.9	18
72	How evidenceâ€based medicine is failing due to biased trials and selective publication. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2014, 20, 908-914.	1.8	143

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Evidence based medicine: a movement in crisis?. BMJ, The, 2014, 348, g3725-g3725.	6.0	1,224
74	Neuraminidase inhibitors for influenza complications. Lancet, The, 2014, 384, 1260-1261.	13.7	14
75	Problems with using mechanisms to solve the problem of extrapolation. Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics, 2013, 34, 275-291.	0.8	50
76	Primary care clinicians' attitudes towards point-of-care blood testing: a systematic review of qualitative studies. BMC Family Practice, 2013, 14, 117.	2.9	92
77	Can understanding mechanisms solve the problem of extrapolating from study to target populations (the problem of †external validity')?. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2013, 106, 81-86.	2.0	19
78	Understanding GRADE: an introduction. Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine, 2013, 6, 50-54.	1.8	243
79	Placebo Use in the United Kingdom: Results from a National Survey of Primary Care Practitioners. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e58247.	2.5	107
80	Are Treatments More Effective than Placebos? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e62599.	2.5	101
81	The evidence underpinning sports performance products: a systematic assessment. BMJ Open, 2012, 2, e001702.	1.9	38
82	Saying Things the "Right―Way: Avoiding "Nocebo―Effects and Providing Full Informed Consent. American Journal of Bioethics, 2012, 12, 33-34.	0.9	12
83	Exposing the Vanities—and a Qualified Defense—of Mechanistic Reasoning in Health Care Decision Making. Philosophy of Science, 2011, 78, 926-940.	1.0	55
84	Stats.con. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2011, 17, 1011-1012.	1.8	3
85	What's in Placebos: Who Knows? Analysis of Randomized, Controlled Trials. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2010, 153, 532.	3.9	51
86	Evidence-based mechanistic reasoning. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2010, 103, 433-441.	2.0	81
87	Questioning the Methodologic Superiority of â€~Placebo' Over â€~Active' Controlled Trials. American Journal of Bioethics, 2009, 9, 34-48.	0.9	62
88	If Children Understand Drawing Straws and Flipping Coins, Research Participants Can Understand Randomization. American Journal of Bioethics, 2009, 9, 19-20.	0.9	3
89	Reviewing the Unsubstantiated Claims for the Methodological Superiority of â€ ⁻ Placebo' over â€ ⁻ Active' Controlled Trials: Reply to Open Peer Commentaries. American Journal of Bioethics, 2009, 9, W5-W7.	0.9	4
90	The evolution of evidence hierarchies: what can Bradford Hill's â€~guidelines for causation' contribute?. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2009, 102, 186-194.	2.0	171

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Escaping from placebo prison. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2009, 338, b1898-b1898.	2.3	11
92	Effects of changing practitioner empathy and patient expectations in healthcare consultations. The Cochrane Library, 0, , .	2.8	2