
Jeremy Howick

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7314861/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Evidence based medicine: a movement in crisis?. BMJ, The, 2014, 348, g3725-g3725.	6.0	1,224
2	Neuraminidase inhibitors for preventing and treating influenza in adults and children. The Cochrane Library, 2018, 2018, CD008965.	2.8	328
3	Implications of Placebo and Nocebo Effects for Clinical Practice: Expert Consensus. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 2018, 87, 204-210.	8.8	318
4	Understanding GRADE: an introduction. Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine, 2013, 6, 50-54.	1.8	243
5	The Need for Randomization in Animal Trials: An Overview of Systematic Reviews. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e98856.	2.5	199
6	Effects of empathic and positive communication in healthcare consultations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2018, 111, 240-252.	2.0	196
7	The evolution of evidence hierarchies: what can Bradford Hill's â€ ⁻ guidelines for causation' contribute?. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2009, 102, 186-194.	2.0	171
8	Effects of placebos without deception compared with no treatment: A systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine, 2017, 10, 97-107.	2.4	145
9	How evidenceâ€based medicine is failing due to biased trials and selective publication. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2014, 20, 908-914.	1.8	143
10	The importance of values in evidence-based medicine. BMC Medical Ethics, 2015, 16, 69.	2.4	141
11	Current and future use of point-of-care tests in primary care: an international survey in Australia, Belgium, The Netherlands, the UK and the USA. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e005611-e005611.	1.9	131
12	Placebo Use in the United Kingdom: Results from a National Survey of Primary Care Practitioners. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e58247.	2.5	107
13	Are Treatments More Effective than Placebos? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e62599.	2.5	101
14	How empathic is your healthcare practitioner? A systematic review and meta-analysis of patient surveys. BMC Medical Education, 2017, 17, 136.	2.4	95
15	Primary care clinicians' attitudes towards point-of-care blood testing: a systematic review of qualitative studies. BMC Family Practice, 2013, 14, 117.	2.9	92
16	Evidence-based mechanistic reasoning. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2010, 103, 433-441.	2.0	81
17	Neuraminidase inhibitors for influenza: a systematic review and meta-analysis of regulatory and mortality data. Health Technology Assessment, 2016, 20, 1-242.	2.8	79
18	The effect of patient–practitioner communication on pain: a systematic review. European Journal of Pain, 2016, 20, 675-688.	2.8	72

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Placebos Without Deception: Outcomes, Mechanisms, and Ethics. International Review of Neurobiology, 2018, 138, 219-240.	2.0	71
20	Questioning the Methodologic Superiority of â€~Placebo' Over â€~Active' Controlled Trials. American Journal of Bioethics, 2009, 9, 34-48.	0.9	62
21	Exposing the Vanities—and a Qualified Defense—of Mechanistic Reasoning in Health Care Decision Making. Philosophy of Science, 2011, 78, 926-940.	1.0	55
22	TIDieR-Placebo: A guide and checklist for reporting placebo and sham controls. PLoS Medicine, 2020, 17, e1003294.	8.4	52
23	What's in Placebos: Who Knows? Analysis of Randomized, Controlled Trials. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2010, 153, 532.	3.9	51
24	Problems with using mechanisms to solve the problem of extrapolation. Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics, 2013, 34, 275-291.	0.8	50
25	The relativity of â€~placebos': defending a modified version of Grünbaum's definition. SynthÃ^se, 2017, 1363-1396.	194, 1.1	50
26	The evidence for cognitive behavioural therapy in any condition, population or context: a meta-review of systematic reviews and panoramic meta-analysis. Psychological Medicine, 2021, 51, 21-29.	4.5	46
27	Foundations for evidence-based intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring. Clinical Neurophysiology, 2016, 127, 81-90.	1.5	41
28	Point-of-care testing in UK primary care: a survey to establish clinical needs. Family Practice, 2016, 33, 388-394.	1.9	40
29	What Should Clinicians Tell Patients about Placebo and Nocebo Effects? Practical Considerations Based on Expert Consensus. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 2021, 90, 49-56.	8.8	39
30	The evidence underpinning sports performance products: a systematic assessment. BMJ Open, 2012, 2, e001702.	1.9	38
31	Rapid overview of systematic reviews of nocebo effects reported by patients taking placebos in clinical trials. Trials, 2018, 19, 674.	1.6	37
32	Establishing a causal link between social relationships and health using the Bradford Hill Guidelines. SSM - Population Health, 2019, 8, 100402.	2.7	35
33	Assessing the effect of empathy-enhancing interventions in health education and training: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e036471.	1.9	32
34	Therapeutic empathy: what it is and what it isn't. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2018, 111, 233-236.	2.0	30
35	Most healthcare interventions tested in Cochrane Reviews are not effective according to high quality evidence: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 148, 160-169.	5.0	30
36	Overthrowing barriers to empathy in healthcare: empathy in the age of the Internet. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2017, 110, 352-357.	2.0	28

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	How Do Nocebo Phenomena Provide a Theoretical Framework for the COVID-19 Pandemic?. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020, 11, 589884.	2.1	26
38	European Headache Federation recommendations for placebo and noceboÂterminology. Journal of Headache and Pain, 2020, 21, 117.	6.0	25
39	The quality of evidence for medical interventions does not improve or worsen: a metaepidemiological study of Cochrane reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 126, 154-159.	5.0	22
40	Cognitive–behavioural therapy for a variety of conditions: an overview of systematic reviews and panoramic meta-analysis. Health Technology Assessment, 2021, 25, 1-378.	2.8	22
41	How placebo characteristics can influence estimates of intervention effects in trials. Cmaj, 2018, 190, E908-E911.	2.0	21
42	Experiences of empathy training in healthcare: A systematic review of qualitative studies. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 3017-3037.	2.2	21
43	Improving Empathy in Healthcare Consultations—a Secondary Analysis of Interventions. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2020, 35, 3007-3014.	2.6	20
44	Can understanding mechanisms solve the problem of extrapolating from study to target populations (the problem of â€~external validity')?. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2013, 106, 81-86.	2.0	19
45	Placebo use in the UK: a qualitative study exploring CPs' views on placebo effects in clinical practice. Family Practice, 2014, 31, 357-363.	1.9	18
46	Effectiveness of interventions to maintain physical activity behavior (deviceâ€measured): Systematic review and metaâ€analysis of randomized controlled trials. Obesity Reviews, 2021, 22, e13304.	6.5	18
47	A price tag on clinical empathy? Factors influencing its cost-effectiveness. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2020, 113, 389-393.	2.0	16
48	Homeopathy for Allergic Rhinitis: A Systematic Review. Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine, 2017, 23, 426-444.	2.1	15
49	Neuraminidase inhibitors for influenza complications. Lancet, The, 2014, 384, 1260-1261.	13.7	14
50	Problematic placebos in physical therapy trials. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2016, 22, 598-602.	1.8	14
51	Exploring the Asymmetrical Relationship Between the Power of Finance Bias and Evidence. Perspectives in Biology and Medicine, 2019, 62, 159-187.	0.5	14
52	Effectiveness of cognitive–behavioural therapy: a protocol for an overview of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e025761.	1.9	13
53	Do medical schools teach medical humanities? Review of curricula in the United States, Canada and the United Kingdom. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2022, 28, 86-92.	1.8	13
54	Saying Things the "Right―Way: Avoiding "Nocebo―Effects and Providing Full Informed Consent. American Journal of Bioethics, 2012, 12, 33-34.	0.9	12

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Effects of placebos without deception compared with no treatment: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis: TableÂ1. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e009428.	1.9	12
56	Measuring the success of blinding in placebo-controlled trials: Should we be so quick to dismiss it?. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 135, 176-181.	5.0	12
57	Research gaps in the philosophy of evidenceâ€based medicine. Philosophy Compass, 2016, 11, 757-771.	1.3	11
58	Inadequate description of placebo and sham controls in a systematicÂreview of recent trials. European Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2019, 49, e13169.	3.4	11
59	Mindfulness-based programmes to reduce stress and enhance well-being at work: a realist review. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e043525.	1.9	11
60	Escaping from placebo prison. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2009, 338, b1898-b1898.	2.3	11
61	A discursive exploration of public perspectives on placebos and their effects. Health Psychology Open, 2019, 6, 205510291983231.	1.4	10
62	Unethical informed consent caused by overlooking poorly measured nocebo effects. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2020, 47, medethics-2019-105903.	1.8	10
63	Health and Gender Inequalities of the COVID-19 Pandemic: Adverse Impacts on Women's Health, Wealth and Social Welfare. Frontiers in Global Women S Health, 2021, 2, 670310.	2.3	10
64	How do they add up? The interaction between the placebo and treatment effect: A systematic review. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 2022, 88, 3638-3656.	2.4	9
65	Exploring patient views of empathic optimistic communication for osteoarthritis in primary care: a qualitative interview study using vignettes. BJGP Open, 2021, 5, BJGPO.2021.0014.	1.8	8
66	Do overly complex reporting guidelines remove the focus from good clinical trials?. BMJ, The, 2021, 374, n1793.	6.0	8
67	In search of justification for the unpredictability paradox. Trials, 2014, 15, 480.	1.6	7
68	Positive messages may reduce patient pain: A meta-analysis. European Journal of Integrative Medicine, 2017, 11, 31-38.	1.7	7
69	Technology: a help or hindrance to empathic healthcare?. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2018, 111, 390-393.	2.0	7
70	Adding a dose of empathy to healthcare: What can healthcare systems do?. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2022, 28, 475-482.	1.8	7
71	Point of care testing in family practice: common myths debunked. Family Practice, 2016, 34, cmw082.	1.9	6
72	Empirical evidence against placebo controls. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2017, 43, 707-713.	1.8	5

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Patient and practitioner priorities and concerns about primary healthcare interactions for osteoarthritis: A meta-ethnography. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, , .	2.2	5
74	Reviewing the Unsubstantiated Claims for the Methodological Superiority of â€~Placebo' over â€~Active' Controlled Trials: Reply to Open Peer Commentaries. American Journal of Bioethics, 2009, 9, W5-W7.	0.9	4
75	Personalised healthcare and population healthcare. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2018, 111, 51-56.	2.0	4
76	Philosophy of too much medicine conference report. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2018, 24, 1011-1012.	1.8	4
77	Tackling statin intolerance with n-of-1 trials (TaSINI) in primary care: protocol for a feasibility randomised trial to increase statin adherence. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e033070.	1.9	4
78	Harnessing Placebo Effects in Primary Care: Using the Person-Based Approach to Develop an Online Intervention to Enhance Practitioners' Communication of Clinical Empathy and Realistic Optimism During Consultations. Frontiers in Pain Research, 2021, 2, 721222.	2.0	4
79	Unblinded and Blinded N-of-1 Trials Versus Usual Care: A Randomized Controlled Trial to Increase Statin Uptake in Primary Care. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2022, 15, .	2.2	4
80	Empathy in patient-clinician interactions when using telecommunication: A rapid review of the evidence. PEC Innovation, 2022, 1, 100065.	0.8	4
81	If Children Understand Drawing Straws and Flipping Coins, Research Participants Can Understand Randomization. American Journal of Bioethics, 2009, 9, 19-20.	0.9	3
82	Stats.con. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2011, 17, 1011-1012.	1.8	3
83	Response to 'Position statement on ethics, equipoise and research on charged particle therapyâ€~. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2014, 40, 576-577.	1.8	3
84	An Empathy Imitation Game: Empathy Turing Test for Care- and Chat-bots. Minds and Machines, 2021, 31, 457-461.	4.8	3
85	Effects of changing practitioner empathy and patient expectations in healthcare consultations. The Cochrane Library, 0, , .	2.8	2
86	Did John Stuart Mill influence the design of controlled clinical trials?. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2019, 112, 258-260.	2.0	2
87	Randomized Trials and Observational Studies: The Current Philosophical Controversy. , 2015, , 1-11.		1
88	Randomized Trials and Observational Studies: The Current Philosophical Controversy. , 2017, , 873-886.		1
89	"Consensus on Placebo and Nocebo Effects Connects Science with Practice:―Reply to "Questioning the Consensus on Placebo and Nocebo Effects― Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 2021, 90, 213-214.	8.8	1
90	Why it can be ethical to use placebos in clinical practice. , 2017, , 17-24.		1

Why it can be ethical to use placebos in clinical practice. , 2017, , 17-24. 90

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	The first center for evidenceâ€based medicine in Lithuania: an opportunity to change culture and improve clinical practice. Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine, 2015, 8, 108-110.	2.4	0
92	Why include humanities in medical studies: comment. Internal and Emergency Medicine, 2020, 15, 527-528.	2.0	0