

Griffin Rooker

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7298363/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

23
papers

725
citations

840776

11
h-index

713466

21
g-index

24
all docs

24
docs citations

24
times ranked

267
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Functional communication training with and without alternative reinforcement and punishment: An analysis of 58 applications. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i> , 2013, 46, 708-722.	2.7	130
2	INITIAL FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OUTCOMES AND MODIFICATIONS IN PURSUIT OF DIFFERENTIATION: A SUMMARY OF 176 INPATIENT CASES. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i> , 2013, 46, 88-100.	2.7	100
3	IDIOSYNCRATIC VARIABLES THAT AFFECT FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OUTCOMES: A REVIEW (2001-2010). <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i> , 2013, 46, 339-348.	2.7	73
4	Further analysis of subtypes of automatically reinforced SIB: A replication and quantitative analysis of published datasets. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i> , 2017, 50, 48-66.	2.7	57
5	EFFECTS OF FIXED VERSUS RANDOM CONDITION SEQUENCING DURING MULTIELEMENT FUNCTIONAL ANALYSES. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i> , 2013, 46, 22-30.	2.7	56
6	FALSE-POSITIVE TANGIBLE OUTCOMES OF FUNCTIONAL ANALYSES. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i> , 2011, 44, 737-745.	2.7	53
7	Noncontingent reinforcement for the treatment of severe problem behavior: An analysis of 27 consecutive applications. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i> , 2017, 50, 357-376.	2.7	45
8	ASSESSING THE UTILITY OF A DEMAND ASSESSMENT FOR FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i> , 2009, 42, 819-825.	2.7	44
9	Identifying empirically supported treatments for pica in individuals with intellectual disabilities. <i>Research in Developmental Disabilities</i> , 2011, 32, 2114-2120.	2.2	42
10	Behavioral treatment of automatically reinforced SIB: 1982 - 2015. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i> , 2018, 51, 974-997.	2.7	38
11	Reducing Ambiguity in the Functional Assessment of Problem Behavior. <i>Behavioral Interventions</i> , 2015, 30, 1-35.	1.0	30
12	Functional Analysis of Problem Behavior. <i>Issues in Clinical Child Psychology</i> , 2013, , 147-167.	0.2	11
13	Classification of injuries observed in functional classes of self-injurious behaviour. <i>Journal of Intellectual Disability Research</i> , 2018, 62, 1086-1096.	2.0	10
14	Injury characteristics across functional classes of self-injurious behavior. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i> , 2020, 53, 1042-1057.	2.7	8
15	Evaluating sources of baseline data using dual-criteria and conservative dual-criteria methods: A quantitative analysis. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i> , 2020, 53, 2330-2338.	2.7	7
16	A Clinical Demonstration of Correlational and Experimental Analyses of Precursor Behavior. <i>Behavior Analysis in Practice</i> , 2020, 13, 966-971.	2.0	6
17	Accumulated and distributed response-reinforcer arrangements during the treatment of escape-maintained problem behavior. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i> , 2021, 54, 1566-1585.	2.7	6
18	Further Analysis of the Response Deprivation Hypothesis: Application of the Disequilibrium Model to Novel Clinical Contexts. <i>Psychological Record</i> , 2021, 71, 307-311.	0.9	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	On the relation between adaptive functioning and the reinforcement function of challenging behavior. <i>International Journal of Developmental Disabilities</i> , 2016, 62, 174-182.	2.0	2
20	Analysis of unexpected disruptive effects of contingent food reinforcement on automatically maintained self-harm. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i> , 2022, 55, 62-79.	2.7	2
21	Clinical applications of a brief experimental analysis for problem behavior: Analysis of treatment effects and durability. <i>Behavioral Interventions</i> , 2021, 36, 342-354.	1.0	1
22	Do persons with intellectual and developmental disabilities prefer to save the best for last in an MSWO? A preliminary investigation. <i>Behavioral Interventions</i> , 0, , .	1.0	1
23	Save the best for last II: Whether one saves the best for last depends on outcome category.. <i>Behavior Analysis (Washington, D C)</i> , 2022, 22, 164-178.	0.5	0