
## Marie Juanchich

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7296406/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Decision-making competence in everyday life: The roles of general cognitive styles, decision-making styles and personality. Personality and Individual Differences, 2013, 55, 783-788.	2.9	126
2	The perceived functions of linguistic risk quantifiers and their effect on risk, negativity perception and decision making. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 2012, 118, 72-81.	2.5	74
3	Improbable outcomes: Infrequent or extraordinary?. Cognition, 2013, 127, 119-139.	2.2	70
4	Is guilt â€~likely' or â€~not certain'?. Acta Psychologica, 2010, 135, 267-277.	1.5	66
5	Ecological rationality or nested sets? Individual differences in cognitive processing predict Bayesian reasoning. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2014, 21, 198-204.	2.8	65
6	Verbal Probabilities: An Alternative Approach. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2014, 67, 124-146.	1.1	58
7	To what extent do politeness expectations shape risk perception? Even numerical probabilities are under their spell!. Acta Psychologica, 2012, 141, 391-399.	1.5	55
8	Can membership-functions capture the directionality of verbal probabilities?. Thinking and Reasoning, 2013, 19, 231-247.	3.2	45
9	How to improve people's interpretation of probabilities of precipitation. Journal of Risk Research, 2016, 19, 388-404.	2.6	45
10	Effect of response format on cognitive reflection: Validating a two- and four-option multiple choice question version of the Cognitive Reflection Test. Behavior Research Methods, 2018, 50, 2511-2522.	4.0	44
11	The effect of iconicity of visual displays on statistical reasoning: evidence in favor of the null hypothesis. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2014, 21, 961-968.	2.8	43
12	Cognitive Reflection Predicts Real‣ife Decision Outcomes, but Not Over and Above Personality and Decisionâ€Making Styles. Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 2016, 29, 52-59.	1.7	39
13	Measuring cognitive reflection without maths: Development and validation of the verbal cognitive reflection test. Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 2021, 34, 322-343.	1.7	34
14	The latent structure of decision styles. Personality and Individual Differences, 2013, 54, 566-571.	2.9	31
15	Are COVIDâ€19 conspiracies a threat to public health? Psychological characteristics and health protective behaviours of believers. European Journal of Social Psychology, 2021, 51, 969-989.	2.4	28
16	Motherhood and guilt in a pandemic: Negotiating the "new―normal with a feminist identity. Gender, Work and Organization, 2021, 28, 612-619.	4.7	24
17	Decisive Evidence on a Smaller-Than-You-Think Phenomenon. Medical Decision Making, 2014, 34, 419-429.	2.4	23
18	Outdoor recreational activity experiences improve psychological wellbeing of military veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder: Positive findings from a pilot study and a randomised controlled trial. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0241763.	2.5	20

Marie Juanchich

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Do people really say it is "likely―when they believe it is only "possible� Effect of politeness on risk communication. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2013, 66, 1268-1275.	1.1	17
20	Climate Scientists' Wide Prediction Intervals May Be More Likely but Are Perceived to Be Less Certain. Weather, Climate, and Society, 2019, 11, 565-575.	1.1	14
21	Do people really prefer verbal probabilities?. Psychological Research, 2020, 84, 2325-2338.	1.7	13
22	Adaptive cooperation in the face of social exclusion. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2019, 82, 35-46.	2.2	12
23	Anxietyâ€induced miscalculations, more than differential inhibition of intuition, explain the gender gap in cognitive reflection. Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 2020, 33, 427-443.	1.7	12
24	Effect of information on reducing inappropriate expectations and requests for antibiotics. British Journal of Psychology, 2021, 112, 804-827.	2.3	12
25	"1-in-X―bias: "1-in-X―format causes overestimation of health-related risks Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2018, 24, 431-439.	1.2	11
26	On Bayesian problem-solving: helping Bayesians solve simple Bayesian word problems. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 1141.	2.1	10
27	How much will the sea level rise? Outcome selection and subjective probability in climate change predictions Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2017, 23, 386-402.	1.2	9
28	Risk Communication on Shaky Ground. Science, 2012, 338, 1286-1287.	12.6	8
29	Ratio Format Shapes Health Decisions: The Practical Significance of the "1-in-X―Effect. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 32-40.	2.4	8
30	â€~Always take your doctor's advice': Does trust moderate the effect of information on inappropriate antibiotic prescribing expectations?. British Journal of Health Psychology, 2020, 25, 358-376.	3.5	8
31	The intuitive use of contextual information in decisions made with verbal and numerical quantifiers. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2020, 73, 481-494.	1.1	8
32	Health Professionals Prefer to Communicate Risk-Related Numerical Information Using "1-in-X―Ratios Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 366-376.	2.4	7
33	People overestimate verbal quantities of nutrients on nutrition labels. Food Quality and Preference, 2019, 78, 103739.	4.6	7
34	Focus to an attribute with verbal or numerical quantifiers affects the attribute framing effect. Acta Psychologica, 2020, 208, 103088.	1.5	7
35	Disfluent fonts do not help people to solve math and non-math problems regardless of their numeracy. Thinking and Reasoning, 2021, 27, 142-159.	3.2	7
36	Action bias in the public's clinically inappropriate expectations for antibiotics Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2020, 26, 422-431.	1.2	7

MARIE JUANCHICH

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Doctor, what does my positive test mean? From Bayesian textbook tasks to personalized risk communication. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 1327.	2.1	6
38	Decisionâ€makers are resilient in the face of social exclusion. British Journal of Psychology, 2018, 109, 604-630.	2.3	6
39	EXPERTS USE COMPENSATORY STRATEGIES MORE OFTEN THAN NOVICES IN HIRING DECISIONS. Studia Psychologica, 2013, 55, 251-263.	0.5	6
40	Negations in uncertainty lexicon affect attention, decision-making and trust. Climatic Change, 2020, 162, 1677-1698.	3.6	5
41	Most family physicians report communicating the risks of adverse drug reactions in words (vs.) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784	314 rgBT 1.6	/Oyerlock 10
42	Beyond getting the numbers right: what does it mean to be a ââ,¬Å"successfulââ,¬Â•Bayesian reasoner?. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 712.	2.1	4
43	Characteristics of quantifiers moderate the framing effect. Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 2022, 35, .	1.7	4
44	Not as gloomy as we thought: reassessing how the public understands probability of precipitation forecasts. Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 2019, 31, 116-129.	0.9	3
45	The polite wiggleâ€room effect in charity donation decisions. Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 2019, 32, 179-193.	1.7	3
46	Differences between decisions made using verbal or numerical quantifiers. Thinking and Reasoning, 2021, 27, 69-96.	3.2	3
47	What is a "likely―amount? Representative (modal) values are considered likely even when their probabilities are low. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 2022, 171, 104166.	2.5	3
48	Who will I be when I retire? The role of organizational commitment, group memberships and retirement transition framing on older worker's anticipated identity change in retirement. Current Psychology, 2023, 42, 15727-15741.	2.8	2
49	Conceptual understanding and quantity inferences: a new framework for examining consumer understanding of food energy. Public Health Nutrition, 2018, 21, 3168-3177.	2.2	1
50	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0241763.		0
51	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0241763.		0
52	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0241763.		0
53	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0241763.		0
54	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0241763.		0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0241763.		0