
Annette Bishop

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7090303/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	How does the self-reported clinical management of patients with low back pain relate to the attitudes and beliefs of health care practitioners? A survey of UK general practitioners and physiotherapists. Pain, 2008, 135, 187-195.	2.0	211
2	Reliability of procedures used in the physical examination of non-specific low back pain: A systematic review. Australian Journal of Physiotherapy, 2006, 52, 91-102.	0.9	135
3	Effectiveness and costs of a vocational advice service to improve work outcomes in patients with musculoskeletal pain in primary care: a cluster randomised trial (SWAP trial ISRCTN 52269669). Pain, 2018, 159, 128-138.	2.0	38
4	Defining adherence to therapeutic exercise for musculoskeletal pain: a systematic review. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 2020, 54, bjsports-2017-098742.	3.1	36
5	Evaluating Acupuncture and Standard carE for pregnant women with Back pain (EASE Back): a feasibility study and pilot randomised trial. Health Technology Assessment, 2016, 20, 1-236.	1.3	31
6	STEMS pilot trial: a pilot cluster randomised controlled trial to investigate the addition of patient direct access to physiotherapy to usual GP-led primary care for adults with musculoskeletal pain. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e012987.	0.8	27
7	Predictors of functional outcome in musculoskeletal healthcare: An umbrella review. European Journal of Pain, 2020, 24, 51-70.	1.4	24
8	Patient and public beliefs about the role of imaging in the management of non-specific low back pain: a scoping review. Physiotherapy, 2020, 107, 224-233.	0.2	23
9	Rationale, design and methods of the Study of Work and Pain (SWAP): a cluster randomised controlled trial testing the addition of a vocational advice service to best current primary care for patients with musculoskeletal pain (ISRCTN 52269669). BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 2014, 15, 232.	0.8	18
10	Evaluating acupuncture and standard care for pregnant women with back pain: the EASE Back pilot randomised controlled trial (ISRCTN49955124). Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2016, 2, 72.	0.5	18
11	Responsiveness and Minimal Important Change for Pain and Disability Outcome Measures in Pregnancy-Related Low Back and Pelvic Girdle Pain. Physical Therapy, 2019, 99, 1551-1561.	1.1	16
12	Identification of prognostic factors and assessment methods on the evaluation of non-specific low back pain in a biopsychosocial environment: A scoping review. International Journal of Osteopathic Medicine, 2018, 30, 25-34.	0.4	14
13	Exercise Interventions for Persistent Non-Specific Low Back Pain – Does Matching Outcomes to Treatment Targets Make a Difference? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Pain, 2021, 22, 107-126.	0.7	14
14	The Role of Qualitative Research in Clinical Trial Development: The EASE Back Study. Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 2018, 12, 325-343.	1.8	13
15	Implementing patient direct access to musculoskeletal physiotherapy in primary care: views of patients, general practitioners, physiotherapists and clinical commissioners in England. Physiotherapy, 2021, 111, 31-39.	0.2	13
16	Physical Therapists' Views and Experiences of Pregnancy-Related Low Back Pain and the Role of Acupuncture: Qualitative Exploration. Physical Therapy, 2015, 95, 1234-1243.	1.1	12
17	Evaluation of the First Contact Physiotherapy (FCP) model of primary care: a qualitative insight. Physiotherapy, 2021, 113, 209-216.	0.2	12
18	Treatment targets of exercise for persistent non-specific low back pain: a consensus study. Physiotherapy, 2021, 112, 78-86.	0.2	11

ANNETTE BISHOP

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Models used for case-mix adjustment of patient reported outcome measures (PROMs) in musculoskeletal healthcare: A systematic review of the literature. Physiotherapy, 2019, 105, 137-146.	0.2	8
20	Design and development of an e-learning programme: An illustrative commentary. International Journal of Osteopathic Medicine, 2018, 29, 36-40.	0.4	7
21	Delegation by Allied Health Professionals to Allied Health Assistants: a mixed methods systematic review. Physiotherapy, 2021, 112, 16-30.	0.2	7
22	Evaluation of the First Contact Physiotherapy (FCP) model of primary care: patient characteristics and outcomes. Physiotherapy, 2021, 113, 199-208.	0.2	7
23	Item response theory evaluation of the biomedical scale of the Pain Attitudes and Beliefs Scale. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0202539.	1.1	6
24	First Contact Practitioners' (FCPs) and General Practitioners' Perceptions Towards FCPs Delivering Vocational Advice to Patients with Musculoskeletal Conditions: A Qualitative Investigation of the Implementation Potential of the I-SWAP Initiative. Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 2022, 32, 147-155.	1.2	5
25	Patient satisfaction with the first contact physiotherapy service: Results from the national evaluation survey. Musculoskeletal Care, 2022, 20, 363-370.	0.6	5
26	A pilot cluster randomised controlled trial to investigate the addition of direct access to physiotherapy to usual GP-led primary care for adults with musculoskeletal pain: the STEMS pilot trial protocol (ISRCTN23378642). Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2015, 1, 26.	0.5	4
27	Providing patients with direct access to musculoskeletal physiotherapy: the impact on general practice musculoskeletal workload and resource use. The STEMS-2 study. Physiotherapy, 2021, 111, 48-56.	0.2	4
28	Economic evaluation of patient direct access to NHS physiotherapy services. Physiotherapy, 2021, 111, 40-47.	0.2	2
29	Effects of an e-learning programme on osteopaths' back pain attitudes: a mixed methods feasibility study. Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2021, 7, 174.	0.5	2
30	171. UK-Based Physiotherapists' Current Management of Pregnancy-Related Back Pain: A National Survey. Rheumatology, 2014, 53, i123-i124.	0.9	1
31	Response to Letter to the Editor re Patient and public beliefs about the role of imaging in the management of non-specific low back pain: a scoping review. Physiotherapy, 2021, 110, 92.	0.2	0