Geraldine Barrett

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7055282/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

430874 345221 2,150 39 18 36 citations h-index g-index papers 39 39 39 2450 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Impact of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic on access to contraception and pregnancy intentions: a national prospective cohort study of the UK population. BMJ Sexual and Reproductive Health, 2022, 48, 60-65.	1.7	24
2	SARS-CoV-2 infection in the first trimester and the risk of early miscarriage: a UK population-based prospective cohort study of 3041 pregnancies conceived during the pandemic. Human Reproduction, 2022, 37, 1126-1133.	0.9	25
3	Opportunities for enhancing pregnancy planning and preconception health behaviours of Australian women. Women and Birth, 2021, 34, e153-e161.	2.0	24
4	Evaluating the Chichewa version of the London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy in Malawi: a validation update. BMC Research Notes, 2021, 14, 231.	1.4	4
5	Women's experiences of early pregnancy assessment unit services: a qualitative investigation. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2021, 128, 2116-2125.	2.3	8
6	Evaluation of the Hindi version of the London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy among pregnant and postnatal women in urban India. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2021, 21, 602.	2.4	3
7	London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy and newer family forms: an update. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 2020, 74, jech-2020-214419.	3.7	6
8	Variations in the organisation of and outcomes from Early Pregnancy Assessment Units: the VESPA mixed-methods study. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2020, 8, 1-138.	1.4	6
9	Evaluation of the Psychometric Properties of a Version of the London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy for Women's Partners. International Journal of Men's Social and Community Health, 2020, 3, e65-e77.	0.3	1
10	Validation of the London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy among pregnant Australian women. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0220774.	2.5	17
11	On the Stability of Reported Pregnancy Intentions from Pregnancy to 1ÂYear Postnatally: Impact of Choice of Measure, Timing of Assessment, Women's Characteristics and Outcome of Pregnancy. Maternal and Child Health Journal, 2019, 23, 1177-1186.	1.5	12
12	Preconception health in England: a proposal for annual reporting with core metrics. Lancet, The, 2019, 393, 2262-2271.	13.7	53
13	Contraceptive use, prevalence and predictors of pregnancy planning among female sex workers in Uganda: a cross sectional study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2019, 19, 121.	2.4	14
14	Preparation for fatherhood: A survey of men's preconception health knowledge and behaviour in England. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0213897.	2. 5	51
15	Before the beginning: nutrition and lifestyle in the preconception period and its importance for future health. Lancet, The, 2018, 391, 1830-1841.	13.7	691
16	Reassessing pregnancy intention and its relation to maternal, perinatal and neonatal outcomes in a low-income setting: A cohort study. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0205487.	2. 5	19
17	Psychometric properties of the Dutch version of the London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy in women with pregnancies ending in birth. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0194033.	2.5	19
18	Comparing the order of the London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy and the Demographic and Health Survey question on pregnancy intention in a single group of postnatal women in Malawi - the effect of question order on assessment of pregnancy intention. BMC Research Notes, 2018, 11, 487.	1.4	2

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy: guidance for its use as an outcome measure. Patient Related Outcome Measures, 2017, Volume 8, 43-56.	1.2	55
20	Evaluation of the psychometric properties of the London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy in Brazilian Portuguese. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2016, 16, 244.	2.4	28
21	Prevalence and Determinants of Unintended Pregnancy in Mchinji District, Malawi; Using a Conceptual Hierarchy to Inform Analysis. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0165621.	2.5	48
22	Why do women invest in pre-pregnancy health and care? A qualitative investigation with women attending maternity services. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2015, 15, 236.	2.4	29
23	How Do Women Prepare for Pregnancy? Preconception Experiences of Women Attending Antenatal Services and Views of Health Professionals. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e103085.	2.5	132
24	Using intermittent self-catheters: experiences of people with neurological damage to their spinal cord. Disability and Rehabilitation, 2014, 36, 220-226.	1.8	17
25	Regarding "ldentifying Women at Risk of Unintended Pregnancy: A Comparison of Two Pregnancy Readiness Measures― Measuring Pregnancy Intention. Annals of Epidemiology, 2014, 24, 78-79.	1.9	5
26	Understanding pregnancy planning in a low-income country setting: validation of the London measure of unplanned pregnancy in Malawi. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2013, 13, 200.	2.4	57
27	Evaluation of the London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy in a United States Population of Women. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e35381.	2.5	56
28	LETTERS. Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health, 2010, 42, 220-221.	3.3	1
29	Measuring pregnancy planning. Demographic Research, 2010, 23, 293-334.	3.0	49
30	Letter to the Editor. Contraception, 2007, 75, 79.	1.5	2
31	National survey of British public's views on use of identifiable medical data by the National Cancer Registry. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2006, 332, 1068-1072.	2.3	88
32	Research Governance: Regulating Risk and Reducing Harm?. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2006, 99, 14-19.	2.0	19
33	Cesarean Section and Postnatal Sexual Health. Birth, 2005, 32, 306-311.	2.2	82
34	Letter. Birth, 2005, 32, 331-331.	2.2	3
35	Conceptualisation, development, and evaluation of a measure of unplanned pregnancy. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 2004, 58, 426-433.	3.7	259
36	Collecting information on marital status: a methodological note. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 2002, 56, 175-176.	3.7	1

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
37	What is a â€~planned' pregnancy? empirical data from a British study. Social Science and Medicine, 2002, 55, 545-557.	3.8	197
38	Health professionals' attitudes to the deregulation of emergency contraception (or the problem of) Tj ETQq0	0.0 _{2.1} rgBT /0	Oygrlock 10
39	Evaluation should have included long term follow up of the mothers. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 1996, 313, 817-818.	2.3	O