

# Roxane J Itier

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/698642/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

58  
papers

4,791  
citations

159585  
30  
h-index

144013  
57  
g-index

59  
all docs

59  
docs citations

59  
times ranked

3565  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	N170 or N1? Spatiotemporal Differences between Object and Face Processing Using ERPs. Cerebral Cortex, 2004, 14, 132-142.	2.9	561
2	Neural bases of eye and gaze processing: The core of social cognition. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 2009, 33, 843-863.	6.1	474
3	Inversion and Contrast Polarity Reversal Affect both Encoding and Recognition Processes of Unfamiliar Faces: A Repetition Study Using ERPs. NeuroImage, 2002, 15, 353-372.	4.2	470
4	Increased Brain Signal Variability Accompanies Lower Behavioral Variability in Development. PLoS Computational Biology, 2008, 4, e1000106.	3.2	348
5	Source analysis of the N170 to faces and objects. NeuroReport, 2004, 15, 1261-1265.	1.2	314
6	Face, eye and object early processing: What is the face specificity?. NeuroImage, 2006, 29, 667-676.	4.2	251
7	The Faces of Development: A Review of Early Face Processing over Childhood. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 2004, 16, 1426-1442.	2.3	250
8	Early Face Processing Specificity: It's in the Eyes!. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 2007, 19, 1815-1826.	2.3	225
9	Effects of repetition learning on upright, inverted and contrast-reversed face processing using ERPs. NeuroImage, 2004, 21, 1518-1532.	4.2	198
10	Face Recognition Memory and Configural Processing: A Developmental ERP Study using Upright, Inverted, and Contrast-Reversed Faces. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 2004, 16, 487-502.	2.3	145
11	Inversion and contrast-reversal effects on face processing assessed by MEG. Brain Research, 2006, 1115, 108-120.	2.2	101
12	Direction of gaze effects on early face processing: eyes-only versus full faces. Cognitive Brain Research, 2001, 10, 333-340.	3.0	89
13	Eyes always attract attention but gaze orienting is task-dependent: Evidence from eye movement monitoring. Neuropsychologia, 2007, 45, 1019-1028.	1.6	86
14	Effects of repetition and configural changes on the development of face recognition processes. Developmental Science, 2004, 7, 469-487.	2.4	79
15	Controlling interstimulus perceptual variance does not abolish N170 face sensitivity. Nature Neuroscience, 2007, 10, 801-802.	14.8	77
16	Early sensitivity for eyes within faces: A new neuronal account of holistic and featural processing. NeuroImage, 2014, 97, 81-94.	4.2	66
17	Species sensitivity of early face and eye processing. NeuroImage, 2011, 54, 705-713.	4.2	63
18	Effects of task demands on the early neural processing of fearful and happy facial expressions. Brain Research, 2017, 1663, 38-50.	2.2	62

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Explicit versus implicit gaze processing assessed by ERPs. Brain Research, 2007, 1177, 79-89.	2.2	54
20	Fearful, surprised, happy, and angry facial expressions modulate gaze-oriented attention: Behavioral and ERP evidence. Social Neuroscience, 2013, 8, 583-600.	1.3	51
21	Neural processing of fearful and happy facial expressions during emotion-relevant and emotion-irrelevant tasks: A fixation-to-feature approach. Biological Psychology, 2016, 119, 122-140.	2.2	49
22	The role of eyes in early face processing: A rapid adaptation study of the inversion effect. British Journal of Psychology, 2011, 102, 783-798.	2.3	47
23	Is it in the eyes? Dissociating the role of emotion and perceptual features of emotionally expressive faces in modulating orienting to eye gaze. Visual Cognition, 2011, 19, 483-510.	1.6	47
24	Long-term working memory deficits after concussion: Electrophysiological evidence. Brain Injury, 2013, 27, 1244-1255.	1.2	47
25	Face inversion and contrast-reversal effects across development: in contrast to the expertise theory. Developmental Science, 2004, 7, 246-260.	2.4	46
26	Autistic traits influence gaze-oriented attention to happy but not fearful faces. Social Neuroscience, 2015, 10, 70-88.	1.3	41
27	Attention orienting by gaze and facial expressions across development.. Emotion, 2013, 13, 397-408.	1.8	37
28	Combined effects of inversion and feature removal on N170 responses elicited by faces and car fronts. Brain and Cognition, 2013, 81, 321-328.	1.8	34
29	Spatiotemporal analysis of event-related potentials to upright, inverted, and contrast-reversed faces: Effects on encoding and recognition. Psychophysiology, 2004, 41, 643-653.	2.4	33
30	Searching for a perceived gaze direction using eye tracking. Journal of Vision, 2011, 11, 19-19.	0.3	31
31	Attention Capture by Direct Gaze is Robust to Context and Task Demands. Journal of Nonverbal Behavior, 2012, 36, 123-134.	1.0	30
32	Emotional modulation of attention orienting by gaze varies with dynamic cue sequence. Visual Cognition, 2015, 23, 720-735.	1.6	30
33	Fixation to features and neural processing of facial expressions in a gender discrimination task. Brain and Cognition, 2015, 99, 97-111.	1.8	30
34	Individual differences in the emotional modulation of gaze-cuing. Cognition and Emotion, 2019, 33, 768-800.	2.0	27
35	Facial expression discrimination varies with presentation time but not with fixation on features: A backward masking study using eye-tracking. Cognition and Emotion, 2014, 28, 115-131.	2.0	25
36	One versus two eyes makes a difference! Early face perception is modulated by featural fixation and feature context. Cortex, 2018, 109, 35-49.	2.4	24

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Perceived Gaze Direction Differentially Affects Discrimination of Facial Emotion, Attention, and Gender – An ERP Study. <i>Frontiers in Neuroscience</i> , 2019, 13, 517.	2.8	24
38	Is the rapid adaptation paradigm too rapid? Implications for face and object processing. <i>NeuroImage</i> , 2012, 61, 812-822.	4.2	23
39	Is it about me? Time-course of self-relevance and valence effects on the perception of neutral faces with direct and averted gaze. <i>Biological Psychology</i> , 2018, 135, 47-64.	2.2	23
40	Joint Modulation of Facial Expression Processing by Contextual Congruency and Task Demands. <i>Brain Sciences</i> , 2019, 9, 116.	2.3	21
41	Both fearful and happy expressions interact with gaze direction by 200ms SOA to speed attention orienting. <i>Visual Cognition</i> , 2018, 26, 231-252.	1.6	19
42	The early processing of fearful and happy facial expressions is independent of task demands – Support from mass univariate analyses. <i>Brain Research</i> , 2021, 1765, 147505.	2.2	17
43	Effects of peripheral eccentricity and head orientation on gaze discrimination. <i>Visual Cognition</i> , 2014, 22, 1216-1232.	1.6	14
44	Feeling through another's eyes: Perceived gaze direction impacts ERP and behavioural measures of positive and negative affective empathy. <i>NeuroImage</i> , 2021, 226, 117605.	4.2	14
45	Increased Early Sensitivity to Eyes in Mouthless Faces: In Support of the LIFTED Model of Early Face Processing. <i>Brain Topography</i> , 2018, 31, 972-984.	1.8	13
46	Emotion, Gender and Gaze Discrimination Tasks do not Differentially Impact the Neural Processing of Angry or Happy Facial Expressions – a Mass Univariate ERP Analysis. <i>Brain Topography</i> , 2021, 34, 813-833.	1.8	13
47	The Gaze Cueing Effect and Its Enhancement by Facial Expressions Are Impacted by Task Demands: Direct Comparison of Target Localization and Discrimination Tasks. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2021, 12, 618606.	2.1	11
48	Spontaneous eye-movements in neutral and emotional gaze-cuing: An eye-tracking investigation. <i>Heliyon</i> , 2019, 5, e01583.	3.2	10
49	Are you as important as me? Self-other discrimination within trait-adjective processing. <i>Brain and Cognition</i> , 2020, 142, 105569.	1.8	8
50	I can see it in your eyes: Perceived gaze direction impacts ERP and behavioural measures of affective theory of mind. <i>Cortex</i> , 2021, 143, 205-222.	2.4	8
51	Asymmetry in Gaze Direction Discrimination Between the Upper and Lower Visual Fields. <i>Perception</i> , 2017, 46, 941-955.	1.2	7
52	Eye gaze and head orientation modulate the inhibition of return for faces. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2015, 77, 2589-2600.	1.3	6
53	The Prominence of Self-referential Processing across ERP and Memory Consolidation in Children. <i>Developmental Neuropsychology</i> , 2021, 46, 598-615.	1.4	6
54	From eye to face: The impact of face outline, feature number, and feature saliency on the early neural response to faces. <i>Brain Research</i> , 2019, 1722, 146343.	2.2	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Meaningful faces: Self-relevance of semantic context in an initial social encounter improves later face recognition. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2021, 28, 283-291.	2.8	4
56	Orienting of covert attention by neutral and emotional gaze cues appears to be unaffected by mild to moderate amblyopia. Journal of Vision, 2021, 21, 5.	0.3	2
57	Preserved eye sensitivity of the N170 ERP component across face size. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 1029.	0.3	0
58	The Impact of Viewing Time to Internal Facial Features on Face Recognition Performance Following Implicit and Explicit Encoding. Journal of Vision, 2018, 18, 167.	0.3	0