## Dale Hample

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/697849/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

516710 580821 71 892 16 25 h-index citations g-index papers 78 78 78 270 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Individual Differences in Cognitive Editing Standards. Human Communication Research, 1987, 14, 123-144.	3.4	73
2	Purposes and effects of lying. Southern Speech Communication Journal, 1980, 46, 33-47.	0.3	62
3	A Lewinian perspective on taking conflict personally: Revision, refinement, and validation of the instrument. Communication Quarterly, 1995, 43, 297-319.	1.3	61
4	Framing and Editing Interpersonal Arguments. Argumentation, 2009, 23, 21-37.	1.0	31
5	A Test of the Conflict Linkage Model in the Context of Serial Arguments. Western Journal of Communication, 2012, 76, 459-479.	1.2	30
6	Psychological pathways from social integration to health: An examination of different demographic groups in Canada. Social Science and Medicine, 2016, 151, 196-205.	3.8	29
7	Understandings of Arguing in India and the United States: Argument Frames, Personalization of Conflict, Argumentativeness, and Verbal Aggressiveness. Journal of Intercultural Communication Research, 2015, 44, 1-26.	0.9	28
8	Arguers as editors. Argumentation, 1990, 4, 153.	1.0	26
9	On the etiology of the rebuff phenomenon: Why are persuasive messages less polite after rebuffs?. Communication Studies, 1998, 49, 305-321.	1.2	24
10	The Aggressiveness of Playful Arguments. Argumentation, 2010, 24, 405-421.	1.0	24
11	The Role of Taking Conflict Personally in Imagined Interactions about Conflict. Southern Communication Journal, The, 2010, 75, 471-487.	0.5	24
12	The Toulmin Model and the Syllogism. The Journal of the American Forensic Association, 1977, 14, 1-9.	0.3	23
13	Testing Hierarchical Models of Argumentativeness and Verbal Aggressiveness. Communication Methods and Measures, 2011, 5, 250-273.	4.7	22
14	Arguing to Display Identity. Argumentation, 2015, 29, 389-416.	1.0	21
15	A Cross-Cultural Analysis of Argument Predispositions in China: Argumentativeness, Verbal Aggressiveness, Argument Frames, and Personalization of Conflict. Argumentation, 2015, 29, 265-284.	1.0	21
16	The Use of Multiple Goals in Cognitive Editing of Arguments. Argumentation and Advocacy, 1992, 28, 109-122.	0.2	17
17	PERSONALIZING AND MANAGING CONFLICT. International Journal of Conflict Management, 1995, 6, 273-289.	1.9	17
18	Serial Arguments in Classrooms. Communication Studies, 2011, 62, 597-617.	1.2	17

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Serial arguments in inter-ethnic relationships. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 2012, 36, 430-445.	2.0	17
20	Orientations to Interpersonal Arguing in the United Arab Emirates, with Comparisons to the United States, China, and India. Journal of Intercultural Communication Research, 2015, 44, 263-287.	0.9	16
21	The Effects of Arguing Expectations and Predispositions on Perceptions of Argument Quality and Playfulness. Argumentation and Advocacy, 2006, 43, 1-13.	0.2	15
22	Blurting. Communication Monographs, 2013, 80, 503-532.	2.7	15
23	On the Use of Self-Reports. The Journal of the American Forensic Association, 1984, 20, 140-153.	0.3	13
24	Attachment style, serial argument, and taking conflict personally. Journal of Argumentation in Context, 2015, 4, 63-86.	0.4	13
25	The Costs and Benefits of Arguing: Predicting the Decision Whether to Engage or Not. Argumentation Library, 2012, , 307-322.	0.2	12
26	A Theory of Interpersonal Goals and Situations. Communication Research, 2016, 43, 344-371.	5.9	12
27	The Arguers. Informal Logic, 2008, 27, 163.	0.5	12
28	A Cognitive View of Argument. The Journal of the American Forensic Association, 1980, 16, 151-158.	0.3	11
29	Orientations toward interpersonal arguing in Chile. Pragmatics, 2015, 25, 453-476.	1.0	11
30	Refinements on the cognitive model of argument: Concreteness, involvement and group scores. Western Journal of Speech Communication: WJSC, 1985, 49, 267-285.	0.9	10
31	The Life Space of Personalized Conflicts. Annals of the International Communication Association, 1999, 22, 171-207.	4.6	10
32	Argument Stakes: Preliminary Conceptualizations and Empirical Descriptions. Argumentation and Advocacy, 2016, 52, 199-213.	0.2	10
33	Serial arguments in organizations. Journal of Argumentation in Context, 2012, 1, 312-330.	0.4	9
34	Naive Theories of Argument: Avoiding Interpersonal Arguments or Cutting Them Short. Argumentation and Advocacy, 1999, 35, 130-139.	0.2	8
35	The Effects of Topic Type and Personalization of Conflict on Assessments of Fallacies. Communication Reports, 2009, 22, 74-88.	1.0	8
36	Predicting Employee Dissent Expression in Organizations. Management Communication Quarterly, 2016, 30, 441-471.	1.5	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	A Cross-Cultural Study of Argument Orientations of Turkish and American College Students: Is Silence Really Golden and Speech Silver for Turkish Students?. Argumentation, 2019, 33, 521-540.	1.0	8
38	Arguing in Portugal: A cross-cultural analysis. Journal of International and Intercultural Communication, 2018, 11, 233-253.	1.1	7
39	Argumentation and the Unconscious. The Journal of the American Forensic Association, 1986, 23, 82-95.	0.3	6
40	A Latent Growth Curve Analysis of Taking Conflict Personally as a Consequence of Sex, Conflict Initiation, Victimization, Conflict-Linkage, and Cardiovascular Reactivity. Imagination, Cognition and Personality, 2016, 35, 325-350.	0.9	6
41	Dual Coding, Reasoning and Fallacies. The Journal of the American Forensic Association, 1982, 19, 59-78.	0.3	5
42	Face-to-Face Arguing Is an Emotional Experience: Triangulating Methodologies and Early Findings. Argumentation and Advocacy, 2005, 42, 74-93.	0.2	5
43	Issue Forum: Can we Enhance People's Lives?. Communication Monographs, 2008, 75, 319-350.	2.7	5
44	21. Inventional capacity. Controversies, 2005, , 337-348.	0.1	5
45	Argument: Public and Private, Social and Cognitive. The Journal of the American Forensic Association, 1988, 25, 13-19.	0.3	4
46	Cognitive Editing of Arguments and Reasons for Requests: Evidence from Think-Aloud Protocols. Argumentation and Advocacy, 2000, 37, 98-108.	0.2	4
47	A Pragma-Dialectical Analysis of the Inquisition. Argumentation, 2001, 15, 135-149.	1.0	4
48	Inventional Repertoires and Written Messages. Communication Studies, 2008, 59, 220-234.	1.2	4
49	There is No Away: Where Do People Go When They Avoid an Interpersonal Conflict?. Negotiation and Conflict Management Research, 2020, 13, 304-325.	1.0	4
50	Motives in Law: An Adaptation of Legal Realism. The Journal of the American Forensic Association, 1979, 15, 156-168.	0.3	3
51	The effects of gender on compliance gaining strategy endorsement and suppression. Communication Reports, 1994, 7, 43-49.	1.0	3
52	Issue Forum: Breadth and Depth Of Knowledge In Communication. Communication Monographs, 2008, 75, 111-135.	2.7	3
53	Persuasion about Health Risks: Evidence, Credibility, Scientific Flourishes, and Risk Perceptions. Argumentation and Advocacy, 2014, 51, 17-29.	0.2	3
54	Understanding Argumentation in Interpersonal Communication: The Implications of Distinguishing Between Public and Personal Topics. Annals of the International Communication Association, 2014, 38, 145-173.	4.6	3

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Appraisal models of intercultural communication apprehension among sojourners. Journal of International and Intercultural Communication, 2018, 11, 192-215.	1.1	3
56	How do Culture, Individual Traits, and Context Influence Koreans' Interpersonal Arguing? Toward a More Comprehensive Analysis of Interpersonal Arguing. Argumentation, 2020, 34, 117-141.	1.0	3
57	Arguing in Mexico: How Uniquely Mexican Is It?. Journal of Intercultural Communication Research, 2021, 50, 389-408.	0.9	3
58	How do Chilean seniors think about arguing?. Journal of Argumentation in Context, 2021, 10, 202-225.	0.4	3
59	Teaching the cognitive context of argument. Communication Education, 1985, 34, 196-204.	1.1	2
60	Message Quality and Standing to Support: A Qualitative Study of Support Messages Given to African-American HIV Survivors. Health Communication, 2014, 29, 802-813.	3.1	2
61	Consequential Unscripted Interactions: A Conceptual and Empirical Description. Western Journal of Communication, 2018, 82, 135-159.	1.2	2
62	Unexpected Arguments. Communication Studies, 2019, 70, 521-544.	1.2	2
63	Personalizing Conflict in Different Interpersonal Relationship Types. Western Journal of Communication, 2019, 83, 190-209.	1.2	2
64	Supervisor accessibility and job characteristics. Communication Research Reports, 1988, 5, 4-9.	1.8	1
65	Frans van Eemeren, Bart Garssen, & Bert Meuffels: Fallacies and Judgments of Reasonableness: Empirical Research Concerning the Pragma-Dialectical Discussion Rules. Argumentation, 2010, 24, 375-381.	1.0	1
66	Serial Argument Topics. Argumentation and Advocacy, 2015, 52, 75-88.	0.2	1
67	A Cognitive Model of Argument, With Application to the Base-Rate Phenomenon and Cognitive-Experiential Self-Theory. Communication Research, 2016, 43, 739-760.	5.9	1
68	A Dutch dose of dissent. Journal of Argumentation in Context, 2020, 9, 219-242.	0.4	1
69	$\hat{a}$ € $\infty$ Agreement Builds and Disagreement Destroys: $\hat{a}$ €•How Polish Undergraduates and Graduates Understand Interpersonal Arguing. Argumentation, $0$ , , $1$ .	1.0	1
70	Ana PatrÃcia Macedo: The Development of Children's Argument Skills. Argumentation, 2012, 26, 529-531.	1.0	0
71	Worries About the Prospects for Community Argument. Argumentation Library, 2020, , 107-130.	0.2	0