Iris Lansdorp-Vogelaar

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6911511/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Colonoscopic Polypectomy and Long-Term Prevention of Colorectal-Cancer Deaths. New England Journal of Medicine, 2012, 366, 687-696.	13.9	2,553
2	Annual report to the nation on the status of cancer, 1975â€2006, featuring colorectal cancer trends and impact of interventions (risk factors, screening, and treatment) to reduce future rates. Cancer, 2010, 116, 544-573.	2.0	1,691
3	Evaluating Test Strategies for Colorectal Cancer Screening: A Decision Analysis for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2008, 149, 659.	2.0	515
4	Increasing incidence of colorectal cancer in young adults in Europe over the last 25 years. Gut, 2019, 68, 1820-1826.	6.1	463
5	Estimation of Benefits, Burden, and Harms of Colorectal Cancer Screening Strategies. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016, 315, 2595.	3.8	388
6	Population-Based Colonoscopy Screening for Colorectal Cancer. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2016, 176, 894.	2.6	258
7	Cost-effectiveness of Colorectal Cancer Screening. Epidemiologic Reviews, 2011, 33, 88-100.	1.3	246
8	Public health impact of achieving 80% colorectal cancer screening rates in the United States by 2018. Cancer, 2015, 121, 2281-2285.	2.0	180
9	Real-Time Monitoring of Results During First Year ofÂDutchÂColorectal Cancer Screening Program andÂOptimizationÂbyÂAltering Fecal Immunochemical TestÂCut-OffÂLevels. Gastroenterology, 2017, 152, 767-775.e2.	0.6	179
10	Effect of Rising Chemotherapy Costs on the Cost Savings of Colorectal Cancer Screening. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2009, 101, 1412-1422.	3.0	167
11	Contribution of Screening and Survival Differences to Racial Disparities in Colorectal Cancer Rates. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2012, 21, 728-736.	1.1	167
12	The impact of the rising colorectal cancer incidence in young adults on the optimal age to start screening: Microsimulation analysis I to inform the American Cancer Society colorectal cancer screening guideline. Cancer, 2018, 124, 2964-2973.	2.0	157
13	Faecal immunochemical tests versus guaiac faecal occult blood tests: what clinicians and colorectal cancer screening programme organisers need to know. Gut, 2015, 64, 1327-1337.	6.1	150
14	Colorectal Cancer Screening. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2021, 325, 1998.	3.8	145
15	Comorbidity-Adjusted Life Expectancy: A New Tool to Inform Recommendations for Optimal Screening Strategies. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2013, 159, 667.	2.0	135
16	Cost-Effectiveness of Computed Tomographic Colonography Screening for Colorectal Cancer in the Medicare Population. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2010, 102, 1238-1252.	3.0	125
17	Personalizing Age of Cancer Screening Cessation Based on Comorbid Conditions: Model Estimates of Harms and Benefits. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2014, 161, 104.	2.0	123
18	Colorectal cancer screening with faecal immunochemical testing, sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy: a clinical practice guideline. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 367, l5515.	2.4	122

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Cost-effectiveness Analysis of a Quantitative Immunochemical Test for Colorectal Cancer Screening. Gastroenterology, 2011, 141, 1648-1655.e1.	0.6	111
20	Cumulative Burden of Colorectal Cancer–Associated Genetic Variants Is More Strongly Associated With Early-Onset vs Late-Onset Cancer. Gastroenterology, 2020, 158, 1274-1286.e12.	0.6	110
21	Family history and the natural history of colorectal cancer: systematic review. Genetics in Medicine, 2015, 17, 702-712.	1.1	107
22	Colorectal cancer deaths attributable to nonuse of screening in the United States. Annals of Epidemiology, 2015, 25, 208-213.e1.	0.9	102
23	Radiation-Related Cancer Risks From CT Colonography Screening: A Risk-Benefit Analysis. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2011, 196, 816-823.	1.0	101
24	Should Colorectal Cancer Screening Be Considered in Elderly Persons Without Previous Screening?. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2014, 160, 750.	2.0	101
25	Impact of colorectal cancer screening on cancer-specific mortality in Europe: A systematic review. European Journal of Cancer, 2020, 127, 224-235.	1.3	101
26	Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on faecal immunochemical test-based colorectal cancer screening programmes in Australia, Canada, and the Netherlands: a comparative modelling study. The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2021, 6, 304-314.	3.7	99
27	A Systematic Comparison of Microsimulation Models of Colorectal Cancer. Medical Decision Making, 2011, 31, 530-539.	1.2	96
28	Sojourn Time of Preclinical Colorectal Cancer by Sex and Age: Estimates From the German National Screening Colonoscopy Database. American Journal of Epidemiology, 2011, 174, 1140-1146.	1.6	96
29	Rationale and design of the European Polyp Surveillance (EPoS) trials. Endoscopy, 2016, 48, 571-578.	1.0	90
30	Adherence to surveillance guidelines after removal of colorectal adenomas: a large, community-based study. Gut, 2015, 64, 1584-1592.	6.1	79
31	Colorectal cancer screening with faecal immunochemical testing, sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy: a microsimulation modelling study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 367, I5383.	2.4	79
32	State Disparities in Colorectal Cancer Mortality Patterns in the United States. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2011, 20, 1296-1302.	1.1	76
33	A novel hypothesis on the sensitivity of the fecal occult blood test. Cancer, 2009, 115, 2410-2419.	2.0	74
34	Personalizing Colonoscopy Screening for Elderly Individuals Based on Screening History, Cancer Risk, and Comorbidity Status Could Increase Cost Effectiveness. Gastroenterology, 2015, 149, 1425-1437.	0.6	74
35	Stool DNA Testing to Screen for Colorectal Cancer in the Medicare Population. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2010, 153, 368.	2.0	73
36	Consequences of Increasing Time to Colonoscopy ExaminationÂAfter Positive Result From Fecal Colorectal CancerÂScreening Test. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2016, 14, 1445-1451.e8.	2.4	73

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Variation in Adenoma Detection Rate and the Lifetime Benefits and Cost of Colorectal Cancer Screening. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2015, 313, 2349.	3.8	72
38	Cost-effectiveness of one versus two sample faecal immunochemical testing for colorectal cancer screening. Gut, 2013, 62, 727-734.	6.1	68
39	Individualizing colonoscopy screening by sex and race. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2009, 70, 96-108.e24.	0.5	67
40	Optimizing colorectal cancer screening by race and sex: Microsimulation analysis II to inform the American Cancer Society colorectal cancer screening guideline. Cancer, 2018, 124, 2974-2985.	2.0	66
41	Fecal Occult Blood Testing When Colonoscopy Capacity is Limited. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2011, 103, 1741-1751.	3.0	65
42	Adherence to colorectal cancer screening: four rounds of faecal immunochemical test-based screening. British Journal of Cancer, 2017, 116, 44-49.	2.9	65
43	At what costs will screening with CT colonography be competitive? A costâ€effectiveness approach. International Journal of Cancer, 2009, 124, 1161-1168.	2.3	61
44	Exploring the Recent Trend in Esophageal Adenocarcinoma Incidence and Mortality Using Comparative Simulation Modeling. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2014, 23, 997-1006.	1.1	61
45	Increasing Incidence of Colorectal Cancer in Adolescents and Young Adults Aged 15–39 Years in Western Australia 1982–2007: Examination of Colonoscopy History. Frontiers in Public Health, 2017, 5, 179.	1.3	60
46	Cost-effectiveness of screening and treating Helicobacter pylori for gastric cancer prevention. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2013, 27, 933-947.	1.0	58
47	The value of models in informing resource allocation in colorectal cancer screening: the case of the Netherlands. Gut, 2015, 64, 1985-1997.	6.1	58
48	Trends in Incidence and Stage at Diagnosis of Colorectal Cancer in Adults Aged 40 Through 49 Years, 1975-2015. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2019, 321, 1933.	3.8	58
49	Cost-effectiveness of colorectal cancer screening – An overview. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2010, 24, 439-449.	1.0	55
50	Effects of cancer screening restart strategies after COVID-19 disruption. British Journal of Cancer, 2021, 124, 1516-1523.	2.9	55
51	Validation of Models Used to Inform Colorectal Cancer Screening Guidelines. Medical Decision Making, 2016, 36, 604-614.	1.2	52
52	Cost Effectiveness of Screening Patients With Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease for Barrett's Esophagus With a Minimally Invasive Cell Sampling Device. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2017, 15, 1397-1404.e7.	2.4	51
53	Incidence of faecal occult blood test interval cancers in population-based colorectal cancer screening: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gut, 2019, 68, 873-881.	6.1	48
54	Comparing the Cost-Effectiveness of Innovative Colorectal Cancer Screening Tests. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2021, 113, 154-161.	3.0	46

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Clarifying Differences in Natural History between Models of Screening. Medical Decision Making, 2011, 31, 540-549.	1.2	45
56	Association Between Concentrations of Hemoglobin Determined by Fecal Immunochemical Tests and Long-term Development of Advanced Colorectal Neoplasia. Gastroenterology, 2017, 153, 1251-1259.e2.	0.6	45
57	Colorectal cancer incidence, mortality, tumour characteristics, and treatment before and after introduction of the faecal immunochemical testing-based screening programme in the Netherlands: a population-based study. The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2022, 7, 60-68.	3.7	42
58	Colorectal Cancer: Cost-effectiveness of Colonoscopy versus CT Colonography Screening with Participation Rates and Costs. Radiology, 2018, 287, 901-911.	3.6	40
59	Harms, benefits and costs of fecal immunochemical testing versus guaiac fecal occult blood testing for colorectal cancer screening. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0172864.	1.1	40
60	Serrated polyp detection and risk of interval post-colonoscopy colorectal cancer: a population-based study. The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2022, 7, 747-754.	3.7	40
61	Optimising the expansion of the National Bowel Cancer Screening Program. Medical Journal of Australia, 2014, 201, 456-461.	0.8	39
62	Cost-effectiveness of a multitarget stool DNA test for colorectal cancer screening of Medicare beneficiaries. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0220234.	1.1	39
63	Adherence to recommendations of Barrett's esophagus surveillance guidelines: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Endoscopy, 2020, 52, 17-28.	1.0	39
64	Cost-Effectiveness of Risk-Stratified Colorectal Cancer Screening Based on Polygenic Risk: Current Status and Future Potential. JNCI Cancer Spectrum, 2020, 4, pkz086.	1.4	39
65	An Accurate Cancer Incidence in Barrett's Esophagus: A Best Estimate Using Published Data and Modeling. Gastroenterology, 2015, 149, 577-585.e4.	0.6	37
66	Stage distribution of screen-detected colorectal cancers in the Netherlands. Gut, 2018, 67, 1745-1746.	6.1	37
67	Effect of Time to Diagnostic Testing for Breast, Cervical, and Colorectal Cancer Screening Abnormalities on Screening Efficacy: A Modeling Study. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2018, 27, 158-164.	1.1	36
68	Cost-effectiveness of High-performance Biomarker TestsÂvsÂFecal Immunochemical Test for Noninvasive ColorectalÂCancer Screening. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2018, 16, 504-512.e11.	2.4	36
69	Fecal immunochemical testâ€based colorectal cancer screening: The gender dilemma. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2017, 5, 448-454.	1.6	35
70	Gender Differences in Fecal Immunochemical Test Performance for Early Detection of Colorectal Neoplasia. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2015, 13, 1464-1471.e4.	2.4	34
71	How much colonoscopy screening should be recommended to individuals with various degrees of family history of colorectal cancer?. Cancer, 2011, 117, 4166-4174.	2.0	33
72	Comparative Economic Evaluation of Data from the ACRIN National CT Colonography Trial with Three Cancer Intervention and Surveillance Modeling Network Microsimulations. Radiology, 2011, 261, 487-498.	3.6	33

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	The national FIT-based colorectal cancer screening program in the Netherlands during the COVID-19 pandemic. Preventive Medicine, 2021, 151, 106643.	1.6	32
74	Screening for gastric cancer in Western countries. Gut, 2016, 65, 543-544.	6.1	30
75	Summary statement on screening for prostate cancer in Europe. International Journal of Cancer, 2018, 142, 741-746.	2.3	29
76	Incidence of Interval Colorectal Cancer After Negative Results From First-Round Fecal Immunochemical Screening Tests, by Cutoff Value and Participant Sex and Age. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2020, 18, 1493-1500.	2.4	29
77	The second round of the Dutch colorectal cancer screening program: Impact of an increased fecal immunochemical test cutâ€off level on yield of screening. International Journal of Cancer, 2020, 147, 1098-1106.	2.3	29
78	Productivity Savings from Colorectal Cancer Prevention and Control Strategies. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2011, 41, e5-e14.	1.6	28
79	Outcomes of screening gastroscopy in first-degree relatives of patients fulfilling hereditary diffuse gastric cancer criteria. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2018, 87, 397-404.e2.	0.5	28
80	Impact of COVID-19 and suspension of colorectal cancer screening on incidence and stage distribution of colorectal cancers in the Netherlands. European Journal of Cancer, 2022, 161, 38-43.	1.3	28
81	Multiple rounds of one sample versus two sample faecal immunochemical test-based colorectal cancer screening: a population-based study. The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2019, 4, 622-631.	3.7	27
82	Cost Effectiveness of Age-Specific Screening Intervals for People With Family Histories of Colorectal Cancer. Gastroenterology, 2018, 154, 105-116.e20.	0.6	26
83	The Appropriateness of More Intensive Colonoscopy Screening Than Recommended in Medicare Beneficiaries. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2014, 174, 1568.	2.6	25
84	Colorectal Cancer Screening in the Novel Coronavirus Disease-2019 Era. Gastroenterology, 2020, 159, 1998-2003.	0.6	25
85	Riskâ€stratified strategies in population screening for colorectal cancer. International Journal of Cancer, 2022, 150, 397-405.	2.3	25
86	Attendance and diagnostic yield of repeated two-sample faecal immunochemical test screening for colorectal cancer. Gut, 2017, 66, 118-123.	6.1	24
87	Nonbleeding adenomas: Evidence of systematic falseâ€negative fecal immunochemical test results and their implications for screening effectiveness—A modeling study. Cancer, 2016, 122, 1680-1688.	2.0	22
88	Cost-effectiveness and budget impact analyses of a colorectal cancer screening programme in a high adenoma prevalence scenario using MISCAN-Colon microsimulation model. BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 464.	1.1	22
89	Cost-Effectiveness of Personalized Screening for Colorectal Cancer Based on Polygenic Risk and Family History. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2020, 29, 10-21.	1.1	22
90	Cost Effectiveness of Screening Individuals With Cystic FibrosisÂfor Colorectal Cancer. Gastroenterology, 2018, 154, 556-567.e18.	0.6	21

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Effects of Increasing Screening Age and Fecal Hemoglobin Cutoff Concentrations in a Colorectal Cancer Screening Program. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2016, 14, 1771-1777.	2.4	20
92	Radiofrequency Ablation of Barrett's Esophagus Reduces Esophageal Adenocarcinoma Incidence and Mortality in a Comparative Modeling Analysis. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2017, 15, 1471-1474.	2.4	20
93	Participation in faecal immunochemical testing-based colorectal cancer screening programmes in the northwest of Europe. Journal of Medical Screening, 2020, 27, 68-76.	1.1	19
94	Calculation of Stop Ages for Colorectal Cancer Screening Based on Comorbidities and Screening History. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2021, 19, 547-555.	2.4	19
95	State disparities in colorectal cancer rates: Contributions of risk factors, screening, and survival differences. Cancer, 2015, 121, 3676-3683.	2.0	18
96	Assessment of a cancer screening program. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2015, 29, 979-985.	1.0	18
97	Immunochemical faecal occult blood testing to screen for colorectal cancer: can the screening interval be extended?. Gut, 2017, 66, 1262-1267.	6.1	18
98	High-Intensity Versus Low-Intensity Surveillance for Patients With Colorectal Adenomas. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2019, 171, 612.	2.0	18
99	Cost-effectiveness of prevention and early detection of gastric cancer in Western countries. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2021, 50-51, 101735.	1.0	18
100	Development of new nonâ€invasive tests for colorectal cancer screening: The relevance of information on adenoma detection. International Journal of Cancer, 2015, 136, 2864-2874.	2.3	17
101	Optimal Colorectal Cancer Screening in States' Low-Income, Uninsured Populations-The Case of South Carolina. Health Services Research, 2015, 50, 768-789.	1.0	16
102	Value Of Waiving Coinsurance For Colorectal Cancer Screening In Medicare Beneficiaries. Health Affairs, 2017, 36, 2151-2159.	2.5	16
103	Equivalent Accuracy of 2 Quantitative Fecal Immunochemical Tests in Detecting Advanced Neoplasia in an Organized Colorectal Cancer Screening Program. Gastroenterology, 2018, 155, 1392-1399.e5.	0.6	16
104	A costâ€effectiveness analysis of online, radio and print tobacco control advertisements targeting 25–39 yearâ€old males. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2014, 38, 270-274.	0.8	15
105	Optimizing Management of Patients With Barrett's Esophagus and Low-Grade or No Dysplasia Based on Comparative Modeling. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2020, 18, 1961-1969.	2.4	15
106	Risk Stratification for Early-Onset Colorectal Cancer Using a Combination of Genetic and Environmental Risk Scores: An International Multi-Center Study. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2022, , .	3.0	15
107	Do Men and Women Need to Be Screened Differently with Fecal Immunochemical Testing? A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2017, 26, 1328-1336.	1.1	14
108	Costâ€effectiveness of surveillance schedules in older adults with nonâ€muscleâ€invasive bladder cancer. BJU International, 2019, 123, 307-312.	1.3	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Cost-Savings to Medicare From Pre-Medicare Colorectal Cancer Screening. Medical Care, 2015, 53, 630-638.	1.1	12
110	Developing a score chart to improve risk stratification of patients with colorectal adenoma. Endoscopy, 2016, 48, 563-570.	1.0	12
111	Colonoscopy-Related Mortality in a Fecal Immunochemical Test–Based Colorectal Cancer Screening Program. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2021, 19, 1418-1425.	2.4	12
112	Costs and outcomes of Lynch syndrome screening in the Australian colorectal cancer population. Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Australia), 2018, 33, 1737-1744.	1.4	11
113	Results of a health systems approach to identify barriers to population-based cervical and colorectal cancer screening programmes in six European countries. Health Policy, 2018, 122, 1206-1211.	1.4	11
114	Yield of Surveillance Colonoscopies 1 Year After Curative Surgical Colorectal Cancer Resections. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2019, 17, 2285-2293.	2.4	11
115	Modeling costs and benefits of the organized colorectal cancer screening programme and its potential future improvements in Hungary. Journal of Medical Screening, 2021, 28, 268-276.	1.1	11
116	The impact of information about different absolute benefits and harms on intention to participate in colorectal cancer screening: A think-aloud study and online randomised experiment. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0246991.	1.1	11
117	Socioeconomic differences in participation and diagnostic yield within the Dutch national colorectal cancer screening programme with faecal immunochemical testing. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0264067.	1.1	11
118	Modeling in Colorectal Cancer Screening: Assessing External and Predictive Validity of MISCAN-Colon Microsimulation Model Using NORCCAP Trial Results. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 917-929.	1.2	10
119	Performance of two faecal immunochemical tests for the detection of advanced neoplasia at different positivity thresholds: a cross-sectional study of the Dutch national colorectal cancer screening programme. The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2019, 4, 111-118.	3.7	10
120	Measures of longitudinal adherence to fecalâ€based colorectal cancer screening: Literature review and recommended approaches. International Journal of Cancer, 2021, 149, 316-326.	2.3	10
121	Calibrating Parameters for Microsimulation Disease Models. Medical Decision Making, 2016, 36, 652-665.	1.2	9
122	Cost-effectiveness analysis of colorectal cancer screening in a low incidence country: The case of Saudi Arabia. Saudi Journal of Gastroenterology, 2021, 27, 208.	0.5	9
123	The impact of stratifying by family history in colorectal cancer screening programs. International Journal of Cancer, 2015, 137, 1119-1127.	2.3	8
124	Colorectal cancer surveillance in Hodgkin lymphoma survivors at increased risk of therapy-related colorectal cancer: study design. BMC Cancer, 2017, 17, 112.	1.1	8
125	Cost-effectiveness of Active Identification and Subsequent Colonoscopy Surveillance of Lynch Syndrome Cases. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2020, 18, 2760-2767.e12.	2.4	8
126	Identifying key factors for the effectiveness of pancreatic cancer screening: A modelâ€based analysis. International Journal of Cancer, 2021, 149, 337-346.	2.3	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Faecal occult blood loss accurately predicts future detection of colorectal cancer. A prognostic model. Gut, 2023, 72, 101-108.	6.1	8
128	The health impact of human papillomavirus vaccination in the situation of primary human papillomavirus screening: A mathematical modeling study. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0202924.	1.1	7
129	Quality Monitoring of a FIT-Based Colorectal Cancer Screening Program. Clinical Chemistry, 2019, 65, 419-426.	1.5	7
130	Validation of Colorectal Cancer Models on Long-term Outcomes from a Randomized Controlled Trial. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 1034-1040.	1.2	7
131	The EU-TOPIA evaluation tool: An online modelling-based tool for informing breast, cervical, and colorectal cancer screening decisions in Europe. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2021, 22, 101392.	0.8	7
132	Optimising colorectal cancer screening in Shanghai, China: a modelling study. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e048156.	0.8	7
133	The Impact of Uncertainty in Barrett's Esophagus Progression Rates on Hypothetical Screening and Treatment Decisions. Medical Decision Making, 2015, 35, 726-733.	1.2	6
134	Cost effectiveness of surveillance for GI cancers. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2016, 30, 879-891.	1.0	6
135	Impact of adenoma detection on the benefit of faecal testing <i>vs</i> . colonoscopy for colorectal cancer. International Journal of Cancer, 2017, 141, 2359-2367.	2.3	6
136	Optimizing screening with faecal immunochemical test for both sexes - Cost-effectiveness analysis from Finland. Preventive Medicine, 2022, 157, 106990.	1.6	6
137	COVID-19 and Cancer Global Modelling Consortium (CCGMC): A global reference to inform national recovery strategies. Journal of Cancer Policy, 2022, 32, 100328.	0.6	6
138	Evaluation of New Technologies for Cancer Control Based on Population Trends in Disease Incidence and Mortality. Journal of the National Cancer Institute Monographs, 2013, 2013, 117-123.	0.9	5
139	Utilization of Surveillance after Polypectomy in the Medicare Population – A Cohort Study. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e110937.	1.1	5
140	Diagnostic Accuracy of Stool Tests for Colorectal Cancer Surveillance in Hodgkin Lymphoma Survivors. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2020, 9, 190.	1.0	5
141	Disability-Adjusted Life Years Averted Versus Quality-Adjusted Life Years Gained: A Model Analysis for Breast Cancer Screening. Value in Health, 2021, 24, 353-360.	0.1	5
142	Modeling Strategies to Optimize Cancer Screening in USPSTF Guideline–Noncompliant Women. JAMA Oncology, 2021, 7, 885.	3.4	5
143	Colorectal cancer screening in Australia. Lancet Public Health, The, 2017, 2, e304-e305.	4.7	4
144	Colorectal Cancer Screening in Young Adults. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2021, 174, 1039-1040.	2.0	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	Impact of assumptions on future costs, disutility and mortality in cost-effectiveness analysis; a model exploration. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0253893.	1.1	4
146	Development and Validation of Three Regional Microsimulation Models for Predicting Colorectal Cancer Screening Benefits in Europe. MDM Policy and Practice, 2021, 6, 238146832098497.	0.5	4
147	Integrating personalised genomics into risk stratification models of population screening for colorectal cancer. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2017, 41, 3-4.	0.8	3
148	Optimizing Patient Risk Stratification for Colonoscopy Screening and Surveillance of Colorectal Cancer: The Role for Linked Data. Frontiers in Public Health, 2017, 5, 234.	1.3	3
149	Colorectal Cancer Screening within Colonoscopy Capacity Constraints: Can FIT-Based Programs Save More Lives by Trading off More Sensitive Test Cutoffs against Longer Screening Intervals?. MDM Policy and Practice, 2022, 7, 238146832210970.	0.5	3
150	Different modalities for colorectal cancer screening: experiences in The Netherlands so far. Colorectal Cancer, 2016, 5, 9-19.	0.8	2
151	Diagnostic yield of colonoscopy surveillance in testicular cancer survivors treated with platinum-based chemotherapy: study protocol of a prospective cross-sectional cohort study. BMC Gastroenterology, 2021, 21, 67.	0.8	2
152	Costâ€effectiveness of prophylactic hysterectomy in firstâ€degree female relatives with Lynch syndrome of patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer in the United States: a microsimulation study. Cancer Medicine, 2021, 10, 6835-6844.	1.3	2
153	Comparing Colorectal Cancer Screening Outcomes in the International Cancer Screening Network: A Consortium Proposal. Gastroenterology, 2022, 162, 668-674.	0.6	2
154	Urban density differences in colorectal cancer screening participation and screening yield in The Netherlands. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2022, 27, 101791.	0.8	2
155	ADENOMA DETECTION RATE AND RISK OF INTERVAL POST-COLONOSCOPY COLORECTAL CANCER IN FIT-BASED SCREENING. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2022, 95, AB82-AB83.	0.5	2
156	Cost-effectiveness analysis of colorectal cancer screening in Shanghai, China: A modelling study. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2022, 29, 101891.	0.8	2
157	The Impact of the Policy-Practice Gap on Costs and Benefits of Barrett's Esophagus Management. American Journal of Gastroenterology, 2020, 115, 1026-1035.	0.2	1
158	Surveillance Cessation for Barrett's Esophagus: A Survey of Gastroenterologists. American Journal of Gastroenterology, 2021, 116, 1730-1733.	0.2	1
159	An Evolutionary Algorithm to Personalize Stool-Based Colorectal Cancer Screening. Frontiers in Physiology, 2021, 12, 718276.	1.3	1
160	Response to the letter to the editor by Hassan <i>et al.</i> : The diminutive lesion <i>versus</i> the advanced adenoma: Which is the real target of CT colonography screening?. International Journal of Cancer, 2009, 125, 1239-1240.	2.3	0
161	351: Post-Polypectomy Surveillance Practice of Adenoma Patients - Considerable Room for Improvement. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2010, 71, AB115-AB116.	0.5	0
162	M1403: Perforation and Mortality of Colonoscopy - A Systematic Review. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2010, 71, AB211-AB212.	0.5	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	Using Patient Preferences to Determine Noninferiority Margins in Trials. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2019, 322, 2137.	3.8	0
164	Interpretation and adherence to the updated risk-stratified guideline for colonoscopy surveillance after polypectomy – a nationwide survey. Endoscopy International Open, 2020, 08, E1405-E1413.	0.9	0
165	Intensity of Surveillance for Patients With Colorectal Adenomas. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2020, 172, 442.	2.0	0
166	The impact of colorectal cancer screening on incidence and stage IV disease in the Netherlands Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, 3531-3531.	0.8	0
167	Colonoscopy and Its Complications are Inseparable of FIT-Based Screening. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2021, , .	2.4	0
168	Comparative benefit and cost-effectiveness of mailed-out faecal immunochemical tests vs collection at the general practitioner. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2021, 53, 1118-1125.	1.9	0
169	A restricted look at CRC screening: not considering annual stool testing as an option. American Journal of Managed Care, 2016, 22, e270-4.	0.8	0
170	A personalized and dynamic risk estimation model: The new paradigm in Barrett's esophagus surveillance. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0267503.	1.1	0
171	Modelling optimal use of temporarily restricted colonoscopy capacity in a FIT-based CRC screening program: Application during the COVID-19 pandemic. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0270223.	1.1	0