
List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6903670/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



SVEN WIINDED

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Impacts of conservation incentives in protected areas: The case of Bolsa Floresta, Brazil. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 2022, 111, 102572.	2.1	13
2	European Agri-environmental Policy: Evolution, Effectiveness, and Challenges. Review of Environmental Economics and Policy, 2022, 16, 105-125.	3.1	44
3	Resilient landscapes to prevent catastrophic forest fires: Socioeconomic insights towards a new paradigm. Forest Policy and Economics, 2021, 128, 102458.	1.5	45
4	Incentive-based conservation in Peru: Assessing the state of six ongoing PES and REDD+ initiatives. Land Use Policy, 2021, 108, 105514.	2.5	12
5	Quo vadis global forest governance? A transdisciplinary delphi study. Environmental Science and Policy, 2021, 123, 131-141.	2.4	17
6	REDD+ and equity outcomes: Two cases from Cameroon. Environmental Science and Policy, 2021, 124, 324-335.	2.4	10
7	Coronavirus, macroeconomy, and forests: What likely impacts?. Forest Policy and Economics, 2021, 131, 102536.	1.5	18
8	Biodiversity offsets and payments for environmental services: Clarifying the family ties. Ecological Economics, 2020, 169, 106428.	2.9	19
9	Payments for Environmental Services: Past Performance and Pending Potentials. Annual Review of Resource Economics, 2020, 12, 209-234.	1.5	83
10	Integrated assessment of deforestation drivers and their alignment with subnational climate change mitigation efforts. Environmental Science and Policy, 2020, 114, 352-365.	2.4	15
11	Reply to: In defence of simplified PES designs. Nature Sustainability, 2020, 3, 428-429.	11.5	4
12	REDD+ in Theory and Practice: How Lessons From Local Projects Can Inform Jurisdictional Approaches. Frontiers in Forests and Global Change, 2020, 3, .	1.0	31
13	The Effectiveness of Forest Conservation Policies and Programs. Annual Review of Resource Economics, 2020, 12, 45-64.	1.5	92
14	Focus on leakage and spillovers: informing land-use governance in a tele-coupled world. Environmental Research Letters, 2020, 15, 090202.	2.2	45
15	Selection biases and spillovers from collective conservation incentives in the Peruvian Amazon. Environmental Research Letters, 2019, 14, 045004.	2.2	27
16	Why do payments for watershed services emerge? A cross-country analysis of adoption contexts. World Development, 2019, 119, 111-119.	2.6	20
17	A hidden harvest from semi-arid forests: landscape-level livelihood contributions in Zagros, Iran. Forests Trees and Livelihoods, 2019, 28, 108-125.	0.5	5
18	Adding rewards to regulation: The impacts of watershed conservation on land cover and household wellbeing in Moyobamba, Peru. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0225367.	1.1	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Impacts of Conservation Incentives in Protected Areas. , 2019, , .		Ο
20	Climate, crops, and forests: a pan-tropical analysis of household income generation. Environment and Development Economics, 2018, 23, 279-297.	1.3	22
21	From principles to practice in paying for nature's services. Nature Sustainability, 2018, 1, 145-150.	11.5	214
22	Global variation in the cost of increasing ecosystem carbon. Nature Climate Change, 2018, 8, 38-42.	8.1	10
23	REDD+ as a Public Policy Dilemma: Understanding Conflict and Cooperation in the Design of Conservation Incentives. Forests, 2018, 9, 725.	0.9	12
24	Relationships Between Ecosystem Services: Comparing Methods for Assessing Tradeoffs and Synergies. Ecological Economics, 2018, 150, 96-106.	2.9	122
25	What is REDD+ achieving on the ground?. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 2018, 32, 134-140.	3.1	89
26	REDD+, transformational change and the promise of performance-based payments: a qualitative comparative analysis. Climate Policy, 2017, 17, 708-730.	2.6	47
27	The Effectiveness of Payments for Environmental Services. World Development, 2017, 96, 359-374.	2.6	315
28	Conservation tenders in low-income countries: Opportunities and challenges. Land Use Policy, 2017, 63, 672-678.	2.5	13
29	Learning from REDD+: a response to Fletcher et al Conservation Biology, 2017, 31, 718-720.	2.4	59
30	Comparing tools to predict REDD+ conservation costs to Amazon smallholders. Resources and Energy Economics, 2017, 49, 48-61.	1.1	4
31	Comparing methods for assessing the effectiveness of subnational REDD+ initiatives. Environmental Research Letters, 2017, 12, 074007.	2.2	52
32	Measuring forest and wild product contributions to household welfare: Testing a scalable household survey instrument in Indonesia. Forest Policy and Economics, 2017, 84, 20-28.	1.5	23
33	Will up-scaled forest conservation incentives in the Peruvian Amazon produce cost-effective and equitable outcomes?. Environmental Conservation, 2016, 43, 407-416.	0.7	18
34	Why do forest products become less available?A pan-tropical comparison of drivers of forest-resource degradation. Environmental Research Letters, 2016, 11, 125010.	2.2	18
35	The implementation costs of forest conservation policies in Brazil. Ecological Economics, 2016, 130, 209-220.	2.9	40
36	Mainstreaming Impact Evaluation in Nature Conservation. Conservation Letters, 2016, 9, 58-64.	2.8	275

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Quantifying the economic contribution of wild food harvests to rural livelihoods: A global-comparative analysis. Food Policy, 2016, 62, 122-132.	2.8	99
38	Subjective Wellbeing and Income: Empirical Patterns in the Rural Developing World. Journal of Happiness Studies, 2016, 17, 773-791.	1.9	61
39	Global Patterns in the Implementation of Payments for Environmental Services. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0149847.	1.1	170
40	Emerging Evidence on the Effectiveness of Tropical Forest Conservation. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0159152.	1.1	62
41	National Socioeconomic Surveys in Forestry: Guidance and Survey Models for Measuring the Multiple Roles of Forests in Household Welfare and Livelihoods. , 2016, , .		1
42	Household participation in a Payments for Environmental Services programme: the Nhambita Forest Carbon Project (Mozambique). Environment and Development Economics, 2015, 20, 611-629.	1.3	18
43	Why were upscaled incentive programs for forest conservation adopted? Comparing policy choices in Brazil, Ecuador, and Peru. Ecosystem Services, 2015, 16, 243-252.	2.3	31
44	Revisiting the concept of payments for environmental services. Ecological Economics, 2015, 117, 234-243.	2.9	455
45	Get the science right when paying for nature's services. Science, 2015, 347, 1206-1207.	6.0	206
46	Spatial patterns of carbon, biodiversity, deforestation threat, and REDD+ projects in Indonesia. Conservation Biology, 2015, 29, 1434-1445.	2.4	51
47	How Do Rural Households Cope with Economic Shocks? Insights from Global Data using Hierarchical Analysis. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 2015, 66, 392-414.	1.6	22
48	Mixing Carrots and Sticks to Conserve Forests in the Brazilian Amazon: A Spatial Probabilistic Modeling Approach. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0116846.	1.1	44
49	Forest law enforcement in the Brazilian Amazon: Costs and income effects. Global Environmental Change, 2014, 29, 294-305.	3.6	75
50	Synergies and trade-offs between ecosystem services in Costa Rica. Environmental Conservation, 2014, 41, 27-36.	0.7	87
51	Safety Nets, Gap Filling and Forests: A Global-Comparative Perspective. World Development, 2014, 64, S29-S42.	2.6	187
52	What scope for certifying forest ecosystem services?. Ecosystem Services, 2014, 7, 160-166.	2.3	19
53	Combining Auctions and Performanceâ€Based Payments in a Forest Enrichment Field Trial in Western Kenya. Conservation Biology, 2014, 28, 861-866.	2.4	13
54	Effectiveness and synergies of policy instruments for land use governance in tropical regions. Global Environmental Change, 2014, 28, 129-140.	3.6	330

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Forest Clearing in Rural Livelihoods: Household-Level Global-Comparative Evidence. World Development, 2014, 64, S67-S79.	2.6	81
56	Environmental Income and Rural Livelihoods: A Global-Comparative Analysis. World Development, 2014, 64, S12-S28.	2.6	757
57	Smallholder Specialization Strategies along the Forest Transition Curve in Southwestern Amazonia. World Development, 2014, 64, S149-S158.	2.6	39
58	Linking Forest Tenure Reform, Environmental Compliance, and Incentives: Lessons from REDD+ Initiatives in the Brazilian Amazon. World Development, 2014, 55, 53-67.	2.6	112
59	Rural Income and Forest Reliance in Highland Guatemala. Environmental Management, 2013, 51, 1034-1043.	1.2	48
60	When payments for environmental services will work for conservation. Conservation Letters, 2013, 6, 230-237.	2.8	209
61	On taxing wildlife films and exposure to nature. Oryx, 2013, 47, 483-485.	0.5	5
62	Wildlife film fees: a reply to Jepson & Jennings. Oryx, 2013, 47, 488-489.	0.5	2
63	Of PES and other animals. Oryx, 2012, 46, 1-2.	0.5	16
64	The Scope for Reducing Emissions from Forestry and Agriculture in the Brazilian Amazon. Forests, 2012, 3, 546-572.	0.9	11
65	Heterogeneous users and willingness to pay in an ongoing payment for watershed protection initiative in the Colombian Andes. Ecological Economics, 2012, 75, 126-134.	2.9	49
66	Sustainable Forest Management and Carbon in Tropical Latin America: The Case for REDD+. Forests, 2011, 2, 200-217.	0.9	55
67	Ecosystem-based adaptation to climate change: What scope for payments for environmental services?. Climate and Development, 2011, 3, 143-158.	2.2	33
68	Managing the Miombo Woodlands of Southern Africa: Policies, Incentives and Options for the Rural Poor. Journal of Natural Resources Policy Research, 2010, 2, 57-73.	0.4	133
69	Direct conservation payments in the Brazilian Amazon: Scope and equity implications. Ecological Economics, 2010, 69, 1272-1282.	2.9	194
70	Forest decentralization for REDD? A response to Sandbrook et al Oryx, 2010, 44, 335-337.	0.5	15
71	Show Me the Money: Do Payments Supply Environmental Services in Developing Countries?. Review of Environmental Economics and Policy, 2010, 4, 254-274.	3.1	503
72	For services rendered? Modeling hydrology and livelihoods in Andean payments for environmental services schemes. Forest Ecology and Management, 2009, 258, 1871-1880.	1.4	87

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Payments for Ecosystem Services: A New Way of Conserving Biodiversity in Forests. Journal of Sustainable Forestry, 2009, 28, 576-596.	0.6	69
74	Paying for Watershed Services in Latin America: A Review of Current Initiatives. Journal of Sustainable Forestry, 2009, 28, 497-524.	0.6	54
75	Carrots versus sticks: Scoping alternative avoided deforestation strategies in the Brazilian Amazon. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2009, 6, 252004.	0.2	0
76	Decentralized payments for environmental services: The cases of Pimampiro and PROFAFOR in Ecuador. Ecological Economics, 2008, 65, 685-698.	2.9	204
77	Spatial targeting of payments for environmental services: A tool for boosting conservation benefits. Ecological Economics, 2008, 65, 822-833.	2.9	304
78	Selling two environmental services: In-kind payments for bird habitat and watershed protection in Los Negros, Bolivia. Ecological Economics, 2008, 65, 675-684.	2.9	234
79	Taking stock: A comparative analysis of payments for environmental services programs in developed and developing countries. Ecological Economics, 2008, 65, 834-852.	2.9	894
80	Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. Ecological Economics, 2008, 65, 663-674.	2.9	1,689
81	ls multiple-use forest management widely implementable in the tropics?. Forest Ecology and Management, 2008, 256, 1468-1476.	1.4	69
82	Global cost estimates of reducing carbon emissions through avoided deforestation. Proceedings of the United States of America, 2008, 105, 10302-10307.	3.3	442
83	Payments for environmental services and the poor: concepts and preliminary evidence. Environment and Development Economics, 2008, 13, 279-297.	1.3	332
84	Paying for avoided deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon: from cost assessment to scheme design. International Forestry Review, 2008, 10, 496-511.	0.3	77
85	Payments for Watershed Services in Developing Countries. , 2008, , .		0
86	When Donors Get Cold Feet: the Community Conservation Concession in Setulang (Kalimantan,) Tj ETQq0 0 0 i	rgBT /Over 1.0	loc႘္ 10 Tf 50
87	Hope for Bohemian ecologists – comments on "A possible role of social activity to explain differences in publication output among ecologists?―by Tomáš Grim, Oikos 2008. Web Ecology, 2008, 8, 103-105.	0.4	1
88	The Efficiency of Payments for Environmental Services in Tropical Conservation. Conservation Biology, 2007, 21, 48-58.	2.4	675
89	Chapitre 9 - Les paiements des services environnementaux. , 2007, , 217-230.		0

90Are Direct Payments for Environmental Services Spelling Doom for Sustainable Forest Management in
the Tropics?. Ecology and Society, 2006, 11, .1.0104

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Macroeconomic Change, Competitiveness and Timber Production: A Five-Country Comparison. World Development, 2005, 33, 65-86.	2.6	22
92	Livelihoods, forests, and conservation in developing countries: An Overview. World Development, 2005, 33, 1383-1402.	2.6	688
93	How can market mechanisms for forest environmental services help the poor? Preliminary lessons from Latin America. World Development, 2005, 33, 1511-1527.	2.6	344
94	Oil, Macroeconomics, and Forests: Assessing the Linkages. World Bank Research Observer, 2004, 19, 231-257.	3.3	15
95	Policy Options for Stabilising the Forest Frontier: A Global Perspective. , 2004, , 3-25.		2
96	The Value of Tropical Forest to Local Communities: Complications, Caveats, and Cautions. Ecology and Society, 2002, 6, .	0.9	110
97	Poverty Alleviation and Tropical Forests—What Scope for Synergies?. World Development, 2001, 29, 1817-1833.	2.6	438
98	Ecuador goes bananas: incremental technological change and forest loss , 2001, , 167-194.		8
99	The influence of mineral exports on the variability of tropical deforestation. Environment and Development Economics, 2000, 5, 309-332.	1.3	10
100	Ecotourism and economic incentives — an empirical approach. Ecological Economics, 2000, 32, 465-479.	2.9	321
101	The Economics of Deforestation. , 2000, , .		40
102	Deforestation and the Uses of Wood in the Ecuadorian Andes. Mountain Research and Development, 1996, 16, 367.	0.4	32
103	Do Environmental Services Buyers Prefer Differentiated Rates? A Case Study from the Colombian Andes. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
104	Does REDD+ Complement Law Enforcement? Evaluating Impacts of an Incipient Initiative in Madre de Dios, Peru. Frontiers in Forests and Global Change, 0, 5, .	1.0	2