

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6902174/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



PINCL

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	A matched cohort study of the failure pattern after laparoscopic and open gastrectomy for locally advanced gastric cancer: does the operative approach matter?. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 689-700.	1.3	6
2	A good preoperative immune prognostic index is predictive of better long-term outcomes after laparoscopic gastrectomy compared with open gastrectomy for stage II gastric cancer in elderly patients. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 1814-1826.	1.3	3
3	A novel hematological classifier predicting chemotherapy benefit and recurrence hazard for locally advanced gastric cancer A multicenter IPTW analysis. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 1768-1777.	0.5	1
4	Comparison of long-term outcomes after robotic versus laparoscopic radical gastrectomy: a propensity score-matching study. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 8047-8059.	1.3	5
5	Does three-dimensional surgery affect recurrence patterns in patients with gastric cancer after laparoscopic RO gastrectomy? Results from a 3-year follow-up phase III trial. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 113-123.	1.3	4
6	Reappraise role of No. 10 lymphadenectomy for proximal gastric cancer in the era of minimal invasive surgery during total gastrectomy: a pooled analysis of 4 prospective trial. Gastric Cancer, 2021, 24, 245-257.	2.7	11
7	Perioperative CRP: A novel inflammationâ€based classification in gastric cancer for recurrence and chemotherapy benefit. Cancer Medicine, 2021, 10, 34-44.	1.3	12
8	Reappraise role of lymph node status in patterns of recurrence following curative resection of gastric adenocarcinoma. Chinese Journal of Cancer Research: Official Journal of China Anti-Cancer Association, Beijing Institute for Cancer Research, 2021, 33, 331-342.	0.7	3
9	Predictive Value of Combined Preoperative Carcinoembryonic Antigen Level and Ki-67 Index in Patients With Gastric Neuroendocrine Carcinoma After Radical Surgery. Frontiers in Oncology, 2021, 11, 533039.	1.3	8
10	Prognostic Value of Tumor Regression Grading in Patients Treated With Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Plus Surgery for Gastric Cancer. Frontiers in Oncology, 2021, 11, 587856.	1.3	11
11	Comparison of Survival and Patterns of Recurrence in Gastric Neuroendocrine Carcinoma, Mixed Adenoneuroendocrine Carcinoma, and Adenocarcinoma. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2114180.	2.8	24
12	Characteristics and Research Waste Among Randomized Clinical Trials in Gastric Cancer. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2124760.	2.8	5
13	Assessment of Robotic Versus Laparoscopic Distal Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2021, 273, 858-867.	2.1	126
14	Application of an artificial neural network for predicting the potential chemotherapy benefit of patients with gastric cancer after radical surgery. Surgery, 2021, , .	1.0	3
15	Well-designed retrospective study versus small-sample prospective study in research based on laparoscopic and open radical distal gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2020, 34, 4504-4515.	1.3	8
16	Long-Term Survival after Minimally Invasive Versus Open Gastrectomy for Gastric Adenocarcinoma: A Propensity Score-Matched Analysis of Patients in the United States and China. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 802-811.	0.7	10
17	Advances in laparoscopic surgery for the treatment of advanced gastric cancer in China. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, e7-e13.	0.5	8
18	Development and External Validation of a Nomogram to Predict Recurrence-Free Survival After RO Resection for Stage II/III Gastric Cancer: An International Multicenter Study. Frontiers in Oncology, 2020, 10, 574611.	1.3	9

Ping Li

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Prognostic significance of combined Lymphocyte-monocyte Ratio and Tumor-associated Macrophages in Gastric Cancer Patients after Radical Resection. Journal of Cancer, 2020, 11, 5078-5087.	1.2	11
20	A Novel Insight Into Fecal Occult Blood Test for the Management of Gastric Cancer: Complication, Survival, and Chemotherapy Benefit After R0 Resection. Frontiers in Oncology, 2020, 10, 526746.	1.3	3
21	Comparison of short-term and long-term efficacy of laparoscopic and open gastrectomy in high-risk patients with gastric cancer: a propensity score-matching analysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2019, 33, 58-70.	1.3	28
22	Tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells combined with tumor-associated CD68+ macrophages predict postoperative prognosis and adjuvant chemotherapy benefit in resected gastric cancer. BMC Cancer, 2019, 19, 920.	1.1	39
23	A novel prognosis prediction model after completion gastrectomy for remnant gastric cancer: Development and validation using international multicenter databases. Surgery, 2019, 166, 314-321.	1.0	4
24	Effect of Laparoscopic vs Open Distal Gastrectomy on 3-Year Disease-Free Survival in Patients With Locally Advanced Gastric Cancer. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2019, 321, 1983.	3.8	477
25	Age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index (ACCI) is a significant factor for predicting survival after radical gastrectomy in patients with gastric cancer. BMC Surgery, 2019, 19, 53.	0.6	39
26	Prognostic Value and Association of Sarcopenia and Systemic Inflammation for Patients with Gastric Cancer Following Radical Gastrectomy. Oncologist, 2019, 24, e1091-e1101.	1.9	42
27	Combination of lymphovascular invasion and the AJCC TNM staging system improves prediction of prognosis in NO stage gastric cancer: results from a high-volume institution. BMC Cancer, 2019, 19, 216.	1.1	28
28	The predictive value of the preoperative C-reactive protein–albumin ratio for early recurrence and chemotherapy benefit in patients with gastric cancer after radical gastrectomy: using randomized phase III trial data. Gastric Cancer, 2019, 22, 1016-1028.	2.7	59
29	Is three-dimensional laparoscopic spleen preserving splenic hilar lymphadenectomy for gastric cancer better than that of two-dimensional? Analysis of a prospective clinical research study. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2019, 33, 3425-3435.	1.3	6
30	A novel TNM staging system for gastric cancer based on the metro-ticket paradigm: a comparative study with the AJCC-TNM staging system. Gastric Cancer, 2019, 22, 759-768.	2.7	20
31	CRP/prealbumin, a novel inflammatory index for predicting recurrence after radical resection in gastric cancer patients: post hoc analysis of a randomized phase III trial. Gastric Cancer, 2019, 22, 536-545.	2.7	75
32	Safety and prognostic impact of prophylactic laparoscopic superior mesenteric vein (No. 14v) lymph node dissection for lower-third gastric cancer: a propensity score-matched case–control study. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 1495-1505.	1.3	13
33	Comparison of 3D laparoscopic gastrectomy with a 2D procedure for gastric cancer: A phase 3 randomized controlled trial. Surgery, 2018, 163, 300-304.	1.0	39
34	Is the 8th Edition of the AJCC TNM Staging System Sufficiently Reasonable for All Patients with Noncardia Gastric Cancer? A 12,549-Patient International Database Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 2002-2011.	0.7	27
35	A Propensity Score-Matched Comparison of Robotic Versus Laparoscopic Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer: Oncological, Cost, and Surgical Stress Analysis. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2018, 22, 1152-1162.	0.9	29
36	Development and External Validation of a Simplified Nomogram Predicting Individual Survival After RO Resection for Gastric Cancer: An International, Multicenter Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 2383-2390.	0.7	27

Ping Li

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	A Novel Prognostic Scoring System Based on Preoperative Sarcopenia Predicts the Long-Term Outcome for Patients After R0 Resection for Gastric Cancer: Experiences of a High-Volume Center. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 1795-1803.	0.7	53
38	Randomized, controlled trial comparing clinical outcomes of 3D and 2D laparoscopic surgery for gastric cancer: an interim report. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2017, 31, 2939-2945.	1.3	30
39	Effect of comorbidities on postoperative complications in patients with gastric cancer after laparoscopy-assisted total gastrectomy: results from an 8-year experience at a large-scale single center. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2017, 31, 2651-2660.	1.3	25
40	Huang's three-step maneuver shortens the learning curve of laparoscopic spleen-preserving splenic hilar lymphadenectomy. Surgical Oncology, 2017, 26, 389-394.	0.8	12
41	Preoperative lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio as a strong predictor of survival and recurrence for gastric cancer after radical-intent surgery. Oncotarget, 2017, 8, 79234-79247.	0.8	31
42	Is All Advanced Gastric Cancer Suitable for Laparoscopy-Assisted Gastrectomy With Extended Lymphadenectomy? A Case–Control Study Using a Propensity Score Method. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 1252-1260.	0.7	22
43	A scoring system to predict the risk of organ/space surgical site infections after laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer based on a large-scale retrospective study. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016, 30, 3026-3034.	1.3	17
44	Morbidity and Mortality of Laparoscopic Versus Open D2 Distal Gastrectomy for Advanced Gastric Cancer: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 34, 1350-1357.	0.8	557
45	A Scoring System to Predict the Risk of Postoperative Complications After Laparoscopic Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer Based on a Large-Scale Retrospective Study. Medicine (United States), 2015, 94, e812.	0.4	29
46	Prognostic Value of Tumor Size in Patients with Remnant Gastric Cancer: Is the Seventh UICC Stage Sufficient for Predicting Prognosis?. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e115776.	1.1	17
47	Huang's three-step maneuver for laparoscopic spleen-preserving No. 10 lymph node dissection for advanced proximal gastric cancer. Chinese Journal of Cancer Research: Official Journal of China Anti-Cancer Association, Beijing Institute for Cancer Research, 2014, 26, 208-10.	0.7	35