David Wendler

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6873740/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

140	6,071	109321	76900
papers	citations	35 h-index	74 g-index

142	142	142	5748
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Patientsâ€™ Priorities for Surrogate Decision-Making: Possible Influence of Misinformed Beliefs. AJOB Empirical Bioethics, 2022, 13, 137-151.	1.6	4
2	Surrogate Perspectives on Patient Preference Predictors: Good Idea, but I Should Decide How They Are Used. AJOB Empirical Bioethics, 2022, 13, 125-135.	1.6	5
3	Ethical and epistemic issues in the design and conduct of pragmatic stepped-wedge cluster randomized clinical trials. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 2022, 115, 106703.	1.8	1
4	Acceptable Risks in Pediatric Research: Views of the US Public. Pediatrics, 2022, 149, .	2.1	3
5	Views of IRB members regarding phase 1 pediatric oncology trials. Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, 2022, , 1-12.	0.8	0
6	A new ethical framework to determine acceptable risks in fetal therapy trials. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2022, 42, 962-969.	2.3	1
7	Promoting the Values for Surrogate Decision-making. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2022, 328, 243.	7.4	4
8	The Ethics of Mandatory Retention of Clinical Biospecimens for Research. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2021, 36, 2818-2819.	2.6	1
9	Avoiding exploitation in multinational covid-19 vaccine trials. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n541.	6.0	4
10	A Call for a Patient Preference Predictor. Critical Care Medicine, 2021, 49, 877-880.	0.9	3
11	Do the Potential Medical Benefits of Phase 1 Pediatric Oncology Trials Justify the Risks? Views of the United States Public. Journal of Pediatrics, 2021, 238, 249-258.e3.	1.8	4
12	Resources, methods, and data infrastructure to promote research in dementia care, caregiving, and services. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2021, 69, 1793-1800.	2.6	2
13	A Test of â€˜Utilitarianism for Animals, Kantianism for Peopleâ€™. Journal of Moral Philosophy, 2021, 18, 1-27.	0.4	0
14	Innovative treatment as a precursor to clinical research. Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2021, 131, .	8.2	5
15	Enrolling Minors in COVID-19 Vaccine Trials. Pediatrics, 2021, 147, .	2.1	17
16	Autonomy-based criticisms of the patient preference predictor. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2021, , medethics-2021-107629.	1.8	12
17	Maximizing the value of human biospecimens: Lessons from coronavirus and the Seattle flu study. American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A, 2020, 182, 2826-2828.	1.2	1
18	COVID-19 vaccine trial ethics once we have efficacious vaccines. Science, 2020, 370, 1277-1279.	12.6	41

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	When and how to include vulnerable subjects in clinical trials. Clinical Trials, 2020, 17, 696-702.	1.6	3
20	Issues and Challenges Associated with Data-Sharing in LMICs: Perspectives of Researchers in Thailand. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 2020, 103, 528-536.	1.4	15
21	Ethics of informationâ€gathering interventions in innovative practice. Internal Medicine Journal, 2020, 50, 1583-1587.	0.8	1
22	Ethical issues in denial of church wedding based on coupleâ€™s hemoglobin genotype in Enugu, south eastern Nigeria. BMC Medical Ethics, 2019, 20, 37.	2.4	3
23	The Potential Benefits of Research May Justify Certain Research Risks. Pediatrics, 2019, 143, .	2.1	3
24	Conducting human challenge studies in LMICs: AÂsurvey of researchers and ethics committee members in Thailand. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0223619.	2.5	4
25	The Value in Doing Something. Critical Care Medicine, 2019, 47, 149-151.	0.9	4
26	Broad Consent for Research on Biospecimens: The Views of Actual Donors at Four U.S. Medical Centers. Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics, 2018, 13, 115-124.	1.3	31
27	Innovative approaches to informed consent for randomized clinical trials: Identifying the ethical challenges. Clinical Trials, 2018, 15, 17-20.	1.6	5
28	Understanding preferences regarding consent for pragmatic trials in acute care. Clinical Trials, 2018, 15, 567-578.	1.6	4
29	Reconsidering the Need for Reconsent at 18. Pediatrics, 2018, 142, .	2.1	12
30	Using mobile location data in biomedical research while preserving privacy. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2018, 25, 1402-1406.	4.4	6
31	The Theory and Practice of Surrogate Decision-Making. Hastings Center Report, 2017, 47, 29-31.	1.0	20
32	Patientsâ€™ and Parentsâ€™ Needs, Attitudes, and Perceptions About Early Palliative Care Integration in Pediatric Oncology. JAMA Oncology, 2017, 3, 1214.	7.1	146
33	Public preferences on written informed consent for lowâ€risk pragmatic clinical trials in Spain. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 2017, 83, 1921-1931.	2.4	9
34	Targeted Consent for Research on Standard of Care Interventions in the Emergency Setting. Critical Care Medicine, 2017, 45, e105-e110.	0.9	13
35	A pragmatic analysis of vulnerability in clinical research. Bioethics, 2017, 31, 515-525.	1.4	9
36	Is it important to disclose how treatments are selected in clinical research and clinical care?. AJOB Empirical Bioethics, 2017, 8, 170-177.	1.6	1

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Reframing Consent for Clinical Research: A Function-Based Approach. American Journal of Bioethics, 2017, 17, 3-11.	0.9	176
38	Comparative effectiveness research: what to do when experts disagree about risks. BMC Medical Ethics, 2017, 18, 42.	2.4	3
39	Patientsâ€™ beliefs regarding informed consent for low-risk pragmatic trials. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2017, 17, 145.	3.1	7
40	The Duty to Take Rescue Precautions. Journal of Applied Philosophy, 2016, 33, 240-258.	1.0	3
41	A new method for making treatment decisions for incapacitated patients: what do patients think about the use of a patient preference predictor?. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2016, 42, 235-241.	1.8	18
42	When clinical care is like research: the need for review and consent. Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics, 2016, 37, 193-209.	0.8	6
43	Addressing exploitation of poor clinical trial participants in North America and the European Union. European Journal of Internal Medicine, 2016, 34, e37-e38.	2.2	0
44	Is the French clinical trials regulation discriminatory?. European Journal of Internal Medicine, 2016, 34, e35-e36.	2.2	0
45	Ensuring Respect for Human Research Participants. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016, 316, 1149.	7.4	9
46	The potential exploitation of research participants in high income countries who lack access to health care. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 2016, 81, 857-864.	2.4	19
47	Do patients want their families or their doctors to make treatment decisions in the event of incapacity, and why?. AJOB Empirical Bioethics, 2016, 7, 251-259.	1.6	11
48	Confronting Ethical and Regulatory Challenges of Emergency Care Research With Conscious Patients. Annals of Emergency Medicine, 2016, 67, 538-545.	0.6	33
49	The Potential for Infrastructure Benefits and the Responsiveness Requirement. American Journal of Bioethics, 2016, 16, 1-2.	0.9	0
50	Adolescent research participants' descriptions of medical research. AJOB Empirical Bioethics, 2016, 7, 1-7.	1.6	3
51	Research involving pediatric stem cell donors: A way forward. Clinical Trials, 2016, 13, 304-310.	1.6	1
52	Risks of phase I research with healthy participants: A systematic review. Clinical Trials, 2016, 13, 149-160.	1.6	43
53	Patients' priorities for treatment decision making during periods of incapacity: quantitative survey. Palliative and Supportive Care, 2015, 13, 1165-1183.	1.0	16
54	Is There a Role for Assent or Dissent in Animal Research?. Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics, 2015, 24, 459-472.	0.8	23

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Pragmatic Randomized Trials Without Standard Informed Consent?. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2015, 163, 356-364.	3.9	45
56	Quantifying the risks of non-oncology phase I research in healthy volunteers: meta-analysis of phase I studies. BMJ, The, 2015, 350, h3271-h3271.	6.0	56
57	Involving Communities in Deciding What Benefits They Receive in Multinational Research. Journal of Medicine and Philosophy, 2015, 40, 584-600.	0.8	7
58	Considerations in the evaluation and determination of minimal risk in pragmatic clinical trials. Clinical Trials, 2015, 12, 485-493.	1.6	49
59	Contrasting Views of Risk Perception and Influence of Financial Compensation Between Adolescent Research Participants and Their Parents. Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics, 2015, 10, 49-58.	1.3	9
60	"Targeted" Consent for Pragmatic Clinical Trials. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2015, 30, 679-682.	2.6	24
61	Clinical research: Should patients pay to play?. Science Translational Medicine, 2015, 7, 298ps16.	12.4	22
62	Broad Consent for Research With Biological Samples: Workshop Conclusions. American Journal of Bioethics, 2015, 15, 34-42.	0.9	221
63	Clarifying substituted judgement: the endorsed life approach: TableÂ1. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2015, 41, 723-730.	1.8	27
64	Justice and Nontherapeutic Pediatric Research. American Journal of Bioethics, 2014, 14, 13-15.	0.9	0
65	Use of a Patient Preference Predictor to Help Make Medical Decisions for Incapacitated Patients. Journal of Medicine and Philosophy, 2014, 39, 104-129.	0.8	42
66	The ethics of peer review in bioethics. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2014, 40, 697-701.	1.8	12
67	Which Alternatives Should Investigators Disclose to Research Subjects?. American Journal of Bioethics, 2014, 14, 54-55.	0.9	0
68	Treatment Decision Making for Incapacitated Patients: Is Development and Use of a Patient Preference Predictor Feasible?. Journal of Medicine and Philosophy, 2014, 39, 130-152.	0.8	22
69	Should protections for research with humans who cannot consent apply to research with nonhuman primates?. Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics, 2014, 35, 157-173.	0.8	19
70	Protections for clinical trials in low and middle income countries need strengthening not weakening. BMJ, The, 2014, 349, g4254-g4254.	6.0	25
71	Assent in Research: The Voices of Adolescents. Journal of Adolescent Health, 2014, 54, 515-520.	2.5	41
72	The 50th Anniversary of the Declaration of Helsinki. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2013, 310, 2143.	7.4	74

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	What Should Be Disclosed to Research Participants?. American Journal of Bioethics, 2013, 13, 3-8.	0.9	24
74	Broad versus Blanket Consent for Research with Human Biological Samples. Hastings Center Report, 2013, 43, 3-4.	1.0	36
75	Do U.S. regulations allow more than minor increase over minimal risk pediatric research? Should they?. IRB: Ethics & Human Research, 2013, 35, 1-8.	0.8	5
76	Views of Adolescents and Parents on Pediatric Research Without the Potential for Clinical Benefit. Pediatrics, 2012, 130, 692-699.	2.1	39
77	A New Justification for Pediatric Research Without the Potential for Clinical Benefit. American Journal of Bioethics, 2012, 12, 23-31.	0.9	30
78	Taking the measure of the therapeutic misconception. Clinical Trials, 2012, 9, 762-764.	1.6	6
79	Screening for, Monitoring, and Treatment of Chronic Kidney Disease Stages 1 to 3: A Systematic Review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force and for an American College of Physicians Clinical Practice Guideline. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2012, 156, 570.	3.9	131
80	Shared medical decisionâ€making: considering what options to present based on an ethical analysis of the treatment of brain tumors in very young children. Pediatric Blood and Cancer, 2012, 59, 216-220.	1.5	11
81	WHICH BENEFITS OF RESEARCH PARTICIPATION COUNT AS â€˜DIRECTâ€™?. Bioethics, 2012, 26, 60-67.	1.4	25
82	Systematic Review: Individuals' Goals for Surrogate Decisionâ€Making. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2012, 60, 884-895.	2.6	65
83	Systematic Review: The Effect on Surrogates of Making Treatment Decisions for Others. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2011, 154, 336.	3.9	519
84	What we worry about when we worry about the ethics of clinical research. Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics, 2011, 32, 161-180.	0.8	7
85	How to Enroll Participants in Research Ethically. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2011, 305, 1587.	7.4	14
86	Can We Improve Treatment Decision-Making for Incapacitated Patients?. Hastings Center Report, 2010, 40, 36-45.	1.0	42
87	Interpretation of the Subjects' Condition Requirement: A Legal Perspective. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2010, 38, 365-373.	0.9	2
88	Evaluating the Risks of Clinical Research. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2010, 304, 1472.	7.4	89
89	International Guidelines and Ethical Context. American Journal of Bioethics Primary Research, 2010, 1, 28-30.	1.5	0
90	Are physicians obligated always to act in the patient's best interests?. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2010, 36, 66-70.	1.8	41

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Risk-benefit assessment in medical research--critical review and open questions. Law, Probability and Risk, 2010, 9, 151-177.	2.4	30
92	Does it matter whether investigators intend to benefit research subjects?. Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal, 2010, 20, 353-70.	0.5	3
93	Response to Open Peer Commentaries on â€œMust Research Participants Understand Randomization?â€. American Journal of Bioethics, 2009, 9, W1-W2.	0.9	0
94	Must Research Participants Understand Randomization?. American Journal of Bioethics, 2009, 9, 3-8.	0.9	17
95	The ethics of sham invasive intervention trials. Clinical Trials, 2009, 6, 401-402.	1.6	9
96	Benefits and Burdens of Participation in a Longitudinal Clinical Trial. Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics, 2009, 4, 89-97.	1.3	13
97	Minimal Risk in Pediatric Research as a Function of Age. JAMA Pediatrics, 2009, 163, 115.	3.0	22
98	WHAT SHOULD RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS UNDERSTAND TO UNDERSTAND THEY ARE PARTICIPANTS IN RESEARCH?. Bioethics, 2008, 22, 203-208.	1.4	67
99	Research Involving Wards of the State: Protecting Particularly Vulnerable Children. Journal of Pediatrics, 2008, 152, 9-14.	1.8	10
100	Is it Possible to Protect Pediatric Research Subjects without Blocking Appropriate Research?. Journal of Pediatrics, 2008, 152, 467-470.	1.8	18
101	Children's and Their Parents' Views on Facing Research Risks for the Benefit of Others. JAMA Pediatrics, 2008, 162, 9.	3.0	58
102	Why Patients Continue to Participate in Clinical Research. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2008, 168, 1294.	3.8	69
103	How do Children and Parents Make Decisions About Pediatric Clinical Research?. Journal of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology, 2008, 30, 823-828.	0.6	37
104	How Should Treatment Decisions Be Made for Incapacitated Patients, and Why?. PLoS Medicine, 2007, 4, e35.	8.4	34
105	How does the collection of genetic test results affect research participants?. American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A, 2007, 143A, 1733-1738.	1.2	18
106	A Standard for Assessing the Risks of Pediatric Research: Pro and Con. Journal of Pediatrics, 2007, 150, 579-582.	1.8	22
107	Minimal risk in pediatric research. Journal of Pediatrics, 2006, 149, 855-861.	1.8	27
108	The Accuracy of Surrogate Decision Makers. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2006, 166, 493.	3.8	796

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Overcoming language barriers in medical care. Pediatric Blood and Cancer, 2006, 47, 747-747.	1.5	2
110	PROTECTING COMMUNITIES IN HEALTH RESEARCH FROM EXPLOITATION. Bioethics, 2006, 20, 248-253.	1.4	65
111	One-time general consent for research on biological samples. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2006, 332, 544-547.	2.3	173
112	Three Steps to Protecting Pediatric Research Participants from Excessive Risks. PLOS Clinical Trials, 2006, 1, e25.	3.5	8
113	One-Time General Consent for Research on Biological Samples. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2006, 166, 1449.	3.8	30
114	Does Random Treatment Assignment Cause Harm to Research Participants?. PLoS Medicine, 2006, 3, e188.	8.4	35
115	Quality of Parental Consent in a Ugandan Malaria Study. American Journal of Public Health, 2005, 95, 1184-1189.	2.7	41
116	Research With Stored Biological Samples. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2005, 165, 652.	3.8	97
117	PLACEBO RESEARCH AND THE SPIRIT OF INFORMED CONSENT. Psychosomatic Medicine, 2005, 67, 678.	2.0	3
118	Protecting Subjects Who Cannot Give Consent: Toward a Better Standard for "Minimal" Risks. Hastings Center Report, 2005, 35, 37-43.	1.0	38
119	Deception in Research on the Placebo Effect. PLoS Medicine, 2005, 2, e262.	8.4	133
120	Are Racial and Ethnic Minorities Less Willing to Participate in Health Research?. PLoS Medicine, 2005, 3, e19.	8.4	652
121	Quantifying the Federal Minimal Risk Standard. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2005, 294, 826.	7.4	113
122	What is a â€œMinorâ€•Increase over Minimal Risk?. Journal of Pediatrics, 2005, 147, 575-578.	1.8	36
123	Research on stored biological samples: the views of Ugandans. IRB: Ethics & Human Research, 2005, 27, 1-5.	0.8	21
124	Protecting subjects who cannot give consent: toward a better standard for "minimal" risks. Hastings Center Report, 2005, 35, 37-43.	1.0	21
125	Risk Standards for Pediatric Research: Rethinking the Grimes Ruling. Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal, 2004, 14, 187-198.	0.5	22
126	How Do Institutional Review Boards Apply the Federal Risk and Benefit Standards for Pediatric Research?. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2004, 291, 476.	7.4	246

#	Article	IF	Citations
127	Can We Ensure That All Research Subjects Give Valid Consent?. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2004, 164, 2201.	3.8	49
128	Assessing the Ethical and Practical Wisdom of Surrogate Consent for Living Organ Donation. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2004, 291, 732.	7.4	7
129	Deception in the Pursuit of Science. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2004, 164, 597.	3.8	82
130	Moral Standards for Research in Developing Countries from "Reasonable Availability" to "Fair Benefits". Hastings Center Report, 2004, 34, 17.	1.0	137
131	Why we need legal standards for pediatric research. Journal of Pediatrics, 2004, 144, 150-153.	1.8	8
132	WENDLER ET AL. RESPOND. American Journal of Public Health, 2004, 94, 2048-a-2049.	2.7	0
133	The Standard of Care Debate: Can Research in Developing Countries Be Both Ethical and Responsive to Those Countriesâ€™ Health Needs?. American Journal of Public Health, 2004, 94, 923-928.	2.7	79
134	Nonbeneficial Research with Individuals Who Cannot Consent: Is It Ethically Better to Enroll Healthy or Affected Individuals?. IRB: Ethics & Human Research, 2003, 25, 1.	0.8	4
135	A Response to Commentators on "Should Children Decide Whether They Are Enrolled in Nonbeneficial Research?". American Journal of Bioethics, 2003, 3, 37-38.	0.9	6
136	Nonbeneficial research with individuals who cannot consent: is it ethically better to enroll healthy or affected individuals?. IRB: Ethics & Human Research, 2003, 25, 1-4.	0.8	1
137	The ethics of paying for children's participation in research. Journal of Pediatrics, 2002, 141, 166-171.	1.8	95
138	What Research with Stored Samples Teaches Us About Research with Human Subjects. Bioethics, 2002, 16, 33-54.	1.4	22
139	Informed Consent for Research. , 0, , 703-710.		1
140	Consent, decisional capacity and guardianship in mental health research. Wellcome Open Research, 0, 7, 183.	1.8	1