

# Juan Pablo Alperin

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6866815/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

33  
papers

1,614  
citations

687363

13  
h-index

580821

25  
g-index

51  
all docs

51  
docs citations

51  
times ranked

1679  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The state of OA: a large-scale analysis of the prevalence and impact of Open Access articles. PeerJ, 2018, 6, e4375.	2.0	606
2	The evaluation of scholarship in academic promotion and tenure processes: Past, present, and future. F1000Research, 2018, 7, 1605.	1.6	177
3	Use of the Journal Impact Factor in academic review, promotion, and tenure evaluations. ELife, 2019, 8, .	6.0	177
4	Why we publish where we do: Faculty publishing values and their relationship to review, promotion and tenure expectations. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0228914.	2.5	103
5	How significant are the public dimensions of faculty work in review, promotion and tenure documents?. ELife, 2019, 8, .	6.0	89
6	Communicating Scientific Uncertainty in an Age of COVID-19: An Investigation into the Use of Preprints by Digital Media Outlets. Health Communication, 2022, 37, 726-738.	3.1	55
7	Geographic variation in social media metrics: an analysis of Latin American journal articles. Aslib Journal of Information Management, 2015, 67, 289-304.	2.1	50
8	Identifying diffusion patterns of research articles on Twitter: A case study of online engagement with open access articles. Public Understanding of Science, 2019, 28, 2-18.	2.8	50
9	Authorial and institutional stratification in open access publishing: the case of global health research. PeerJ, 2018, 6, e4269.	2.0	35
10	Ask not what altmetrics can do for you, but what altmetrics can do for developing countries. Bulletin of the American Society for Information Science, 2013, 39, 18-21.	0.2	24
11	The academic ethics of open access to research and scholarship. Ethics and Education, 2011, 6, 217-223.	0.7	20
12	Citation databases omit local journals. Nature, 2014, 511, 155-155.	27.8	18
13	Local chatter or international buzz? Language differences on posts about Zika research on Twitter and Facebook. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0190482.	2.5	18
14	How faculty define quality, prestige, and impact of academic journals. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0257340.	2.5	16
15	“When I saw my peers annotating”, Information and Learning Science, 2020, 121, 207-230.	1.3	15
16	Brazil’s exception to the world-class university movement. Quality in Higher Education, 2013, 19, 158-172.	1.1	12
17	Open access and scholarly publishing in Latin America: ten flavours and a few reflections   Acesso livre e publica�o acad�mica na Am�rica Latina: dez sabores e algumas reflex�es. Liinc Em Revista, 2008, 4, .	0.2	12
18	Making headlines: an analysis of US government-funded cancer research mentioned in online media. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e025783.	1.9	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Framing Science: How Opioid Research Is Presented in Online News Media. <i>Frontiers in Communication</i> , 2020, 5, .	1.2	8
20	The role of collegiality in academic review, promotion, and tenure. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2022, 17, e0265506.	2.5	8
21	How much research shared on Facebook happens outside of public pages and groups? A comparison of public and private online activity around PLOS ONE papers. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 0, , 1-22.	3.3	7
22	PLOS, Please publish our articles on Wednesdays: A look at altmetrics by day of publication. <i>The Winnower</i> , 2015, , .	0.0	6
23	Measuring, rating, supporting, and strengthening open access scholarly publishing in Brazil. <i>Education Policy Analysis Archives</i> , 0, 24, 54.	0.4	5
24	Using social annotation to construct knowledge with others: A case study across undergraduate courses. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2022, 11, 235.	1.6	3
25	Using social annotation to construct knowledge with others: A case study across undergraduate courses. <i>F1000Research</i> , 0, 11, 235.	1.6	3
26	Politicians & the public: The analysis of political communication in social media. <i>Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2018, 55, 646-649.	0.6	2
27	Las limitaciones de Scopus como fuente de indicadores: Buscando una visibilidad integral para revistas argentinas en ciencias sociales. <i>E-Ciencias De La Informaci3n</i> , 0, , .	0.1	2
28	Academic Publishing in a Global Context: The Politics and Practices of Publishing in English (review). <i>Journal of Scholarly Publishing</i> , 2011, 42, 545-549.	0.6	1
29	Have You Seen This? Why Political Pundits Share Scholarly Research on Social Media. <i>Scholarly and Research Communication</i> , 2020, 11, 21.	0.0	1
30	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0228914.		0
31	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0228914.		0
32	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0228914.		0
33	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0228914.		0