## Christina C Demski

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6805081/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

27 papers 1,580 citations

471509 17 h-index 552781 26 g-index

27 all docs

27 docs citations

times ranked

27

1432 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Experience of extreme weather affects climate change mitigation and adaptation responses. Climatic Change, 2017, 140, 149-164.	3.6	285
2	Nuclear power, climate change and energy security: Exploring British public attitudes. Energy Policy, 2011, 39, 4823-4833.	8.8	248
3	Creating a national citizen engagement process for energy policy. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2014, 111, 13606-13613.	7.1	142
4	Public values for energy system change. Global Environmental Change, 2015, 34, 59-69.	7.8	137
5	Attribution matters: Revisiting the link between extreme weather experience and climate change mitigation responses. Global Environmental Change, 2019, 54, 31-39.	7.8	81
6	Public perceptions of demand-side management and a smarter energy future. Nature Climate Change, 2015, 5, 550-554.	18.8	72
7	Individual-motivational factors in the acceptability of demand-side and supply-side measures to reduce carbon emissions. Energy Policy, 2012, 48, 812-819.	8.8	65
8	Public values for energy futures: Framing, indeterminacy and policy making. Energy Policy, 2015, 87, 665-672.	8.8	63
9	Exploring public perceptions of energy security risks in the UK. Energy Policy, 2014, 66, 369-378.	8.8	51
10	National context is a key determinant of energy security concerns across Europe. Nature Energy, 2018, 3, 882-888.	39.5	48
11	The resilience paradox: flooding experience, coping and climate change mitigation intentions. Climate Policy, 2019, 19, 703-715.	5.1	47
12	The relationship between justice and acceptance of energy transition costs in the UK. Applied Energy, 2018, 222, 451-459.	10.1	46
13	From nuclear to renewable: Energy system transformation and public attitudes. Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, 2012, 68, 41-51.	0.6	45
14	Energy justice discourses in citizen deliberations on systems flexibility in the United Kingdom: Vulnerability, compensation and empowerment. Energy Research and Social Science, 2020, 66, 101494.	6.4	42
15	Effects of exemplar scenarios on public preferences for energy futures using the my2050 scenario-building tool. Nature Energy, 2017, 2, .	39.5	38
16	Deliberating the social acceptability of energy storage in the UK. Energy Policy, 2019, 133, 110908.	8.8	33
17	Acceptance of energy transitions and policies: Public conceptualisations of energy as a need and basic right in the United Kingdom. Energy Research and Social Science, 2019, 48, 33-45.	6.4	31
18	Beyond climate, culture and comfort in European preferences for low-carbon heat. Global Environmental Change, 2021, 66, 102200.	7.8	19

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Public prioritisation of energy affordability in the UK. Energy Policy, 2017, 110, 404-409.	8.8	16
20	Using role play to explore energy perceptions in the United States and United Kingdom. Energy Research and Social Science, 2018, 45, 363-373.	6.4	15
21	The causal effect of flood experience on climate engagement: evidence from search requests for green electricity. Climatic Change, 2019, 156, 191-207.	3.6	15
22	How Personal Experience Affects Perception of and Decisions Related to Climate Change: A Psychological View. Weather, Climate, and Society, 2021, 13, 397-408.	1.1	12
23	Of profits, transparency, and responsibility: Public views on financing energy system change in Great Britain. Energy Research and Social Science, 2019, 55, 236-246.	6.4	11
24	Policy Acceptance of Low-Consumption Governance Approaches: The Effect of Social Norms and Hypocrisy. Sustainability, 2020, 12, 1247.	3.2	8
25	Valuing public engagement with energy system transitions: the importance of what lies beneath. Carbon Management, 2013, 4, 659-662.	2.4	4
26	Public Attitudes and Concerns about Ammonia as an Energy Vector. Energies, 2021, 14, 7296.	3.1	4
27	Cross-National Comparative Communication and Deliberation About the Risks of Nanotechnologies. , 2017, , .		2