Dominique Brossard

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6792489/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

61984 64796 7,151 115 43 79 citations h-index g-index papers 118 118 118 5038 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Believing and sharing misinformation, fact-checks, and accurate information on social media: The role of anxiety during COVID-19. New Media and Society, 2023, 25, 141-162.	5.0	87
2	Polarized platforms? How partisanship shapes perceptions of "algorithmic news bias― New Media and Society, 2023, 25, 2833-2854.	5.0	9
3	How institutional factors at US land-grant universities impact scientists' public scholarship. Public Understanding of Science, 2023, 32, 124-142.	2.8	4
4	Enhanced threat or therapeutic benefit? Risk and benefit perceptions of human gene editing by purpose and heritability of edits. Journal of Risk Research, 2022, 25, 139-155.	2.6	3
5	Whose AI? How different publics think about AI and its social impacts. Computers in Human Behavior, 2022, 130, 107182.	8.5	21
6	The chronic growing pains of communicating science online. Science, 2022, 375, 613-614.	12.6	11
7	The science of YouTube: What factors influence user engagement with online science videos?. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0267697.	2.5	22
8	Public engagement: Faculty lived experiences and perspectives underscore barriers and a changing culture in academia. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0269949.	2.5	8
9	Publics' Support for Novel and Established Science Issues Linked to Perceived Knowledge and Deference to Science. International Journal of Public Opinion Research, 2021, 33, 422-431.	1.3	8
10	Political and personality predispositions and topical contexts matter: Effects of uncivil comments on science news engagement intentions. New Media and Society, 2021, 23, 894-919.	5.0	9
11	The state of GMOs on social media. Politics and the Life Sciences, 2021, 40, 40-55.	0.7	5
12	(Mis)informed about what? What it means to be a science-literate citizen in a digital world. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2021, 118, .	7.1	60
13	What we know about effective public engagement on CRISPR and beyond. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2021, 118, .	7.1	40
14	Scientists' incentives and attitudes toward public communication. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2020, 117, 1274-1276.	7.1	71
15	Modeling Risk Perceptions, Benefit Perceptions, and Approval of Releasing Genetically Engineered Mosquitoes as a Response to Zika Virus. Environmental Communication, 2020, 14, 933-953.	2.5	6
16	Deference and decision-making in science and society: How deference to scientific authority goes beyond confidence in science and scientists to become authoritarianism. Public Understanding of Science, 2020, 29, 800-818.	2.8	27
17	Societal Debates About Emerging Genetic Technologies: Toward a Science of Public Engagement. Environmental Communication, 2020, 14, 859-864.	2.5	20
18	Development of an interdisciplinary, multi-method approach to seasonal climate forecast communication at the local scale. Climatic Change, 2020, 162, 2021-2042.	3.6	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	What Do We (Not) Know About Global Views of Human Gene Editing? Insights and Blind Spots in the CRISPR Era. CRISPR Journal, 2020, 3, 148-155.	2.9	17
20	Saw It on Facebook: The Role of Social Media in Facilitating Science Issue Awareness. Social Media and Society, 2020, 6, 205630512093041.	3.0	11
21	Of Society, Nature, and Health: How Perceptions of Specific Risks and Benefits of Genetically Engineered Foods Shape Public Rejection. Environmental Communication, 2020, 14, 1017-1031.	2.5	28
22	Fact-checking as risk communication: the multi-layered risk of misinformation in times of COVID-19. Journal of Risk Research, 2020, 23, 1052-1059.	2.6	238
23	Public attitudes toward urban foxes and coyotes: the roles of perceived risks and benefits, political ideology, ecological worldview, and attention to local news about urban wildlife. Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 2020, 25, 405-420.	1.8	13
24	Scientists' and the Publics' Views of Synthetic Biology. Risk, Systems and Decisions, 2020, , 371-387.	0.8	1
25	Seeing through risk-colored glasses: Risk and benefit perceptions, knowledge, and the politics of fracking in the United States. Energy Research and Social Science, 2019, 55, 168-178.	6.4	20
26	Distinguishing scientific knowledge: The impact of different measures of knowledge on genetically modified food attitudes. Public Understanding of Science, 2019, 28, 449-467.	2.8	28
27	Are attitudes toward labeling nano products linked to attitudes toward GMO? Exploring a potential â€~spillover' effect for attitudes toward controversial technologies. Journal of Responsible Innovation, 2019, 6, 50-74.	4.9	27
28	Promises and perils of gene drives: Navigating the communication of complex, post-normal science. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2019, 116, 7692-7697.	7.1	86
29	The effect of comment moderation on perceived bias in science news. Information, Communication and Society, 2019, 22, 129-146.	4.0	19
30	Ukrainian nationalist parties and connective action: an analysis of electoral campaigning and social media sentiments. Information, Communication and Society, 2019, 22, 1376-1395.	4.0	10
31	Uncivil and personal? Comparing patterns of incivility in comments on the Facebook pages of news outlets. New Media and Society, 2018, 20, 3678-3699.	5.0	97
32	Pink slimed: Media framing of novel food technologies and risk related to ground beef and processed foods in the U.S Meat Science, 2018, 143, 242-251.	5.5	9
33	How do policymakers and think tank stakeholders prioritize the risks of the nuclear fuel cycle? A semantic network analysis. Journal of Risk Research, 2018, 21, 599-621.	2.6	4
34	Toxic Talk: How Online Incivility Can Undermine Perceptions of Media. International Journal of Public Opinion Research, 2018, 30, 156-168.	1.3	115
35	Opposing ends of the spectrum: Exploring trust in scientific and religious authorities. Public Understanding of Science, 2018, 27, 11-28.	2.8	41
36	Rethinking Social Amplification of Risk: Social Media and Zika in Three Languages. Risk Analysis, 2018, 38, 2599-2624.	2.7	69

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and MedicineÂreport on genetically engineered crops influences publicÂdiscourse. Politics and the Life Sciences, 2018, 37, 250-261.	0.7	17
38	Protective Progressives to Distrustful Traditionalists: A Post Hoc Segmentation Method for Science Communication. Environmental Communication, 2018, 12, 1023-1045.	2.5	8
39	An Overview of Attitudes Toward Genetically Engineered Food. Annual Review of Nutrition, 2018, 38, 459-479.	10.1	95
40	The Values of Synthetic Biology: Researcher Views of Their Field and Participation in Public Engagement. BioScience, 2018, 68, 782-791.	4.9	6
41	YouTube, Social Norms and Perceived Salience of Climate Change in the American Mind. Environmental Communication, 2017, 11, 1-16.	2.5	72
42	Analyzing public sentiments online: combining human- and computer-based content analysis. Information, Communication and Society, 2017, 20, 406-427.	4.0	71
43	The case of #arseniclife: Blogs and Twitter in informal peer review. Public Understanding of Science, 2017, 26, 937-952.	2.8	25
44	Elevating the conversation about GE crops. Nature Biotechnology, 2017, 35, 302-304.	17.5	6
45	Selective perception of novel science: how definitions affect information processing about nanotechnology. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 2017, 19, 1.	1.9	2
46	Engaging the Public at a Science Festival. Science Communication, 2017, 39, 250-277.	3.3	28
47	Information-Sharing and Community-Building: Exploring the Use of Twitter in Science Public Relations. Science Communication, 2017, 39, 569-597.	3.3	48
48	U.S. attitudes on human genome editing. Science, 2017, 357, 553-554.	12.6	104
49	Attitudes about Food and Food-Related Biotechnology. Public Opinion Quarterly, 2017, 81, 577-596.	1.6	19
50	The (Changing) Nature of Scientist–Media Interactions. , 2017, , .		4
51	Conflict or Caveats? Effects of Media Portrayals of Scientific Uncertainty on Audience Perceptions of New Technologies. Risk Analysis, 2016, 36, 831-846.	2.7	27
52	Mapping Neuroscientists' Perceptions of the Nature and Effects of Public Visibility. Science Communication, 2016, 38, 170-196.	3.3	13
53	"Shared―Information in the Age of Big Data. Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly, 2016, 93, 430-445.	2.7	12
54	Attitudinal gaps: How experts and lay audiences form policy attitudes toward controversial science. Science and Public Policy, 2016, 43, 196-206.	2.4	14

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	New Media Audiences' Perceptions of Male and Female Scientists in Two Sci-Fi Movies. Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society, 2015, 35, 93-103.	2.9	3
56	Value predispositions as perceptual filters: Comparing of public attitudes toward nanotechnology in the United States and Singapore. Public Understanding of Science, 2015, 24, 582-600.	2.8	37
57	Policy decision-making, public involvement and nuclear energy: what do expert stakeholders think and why?. Journal of Responsible Innovation, 2015, 2, 266-279.	4.9	5
58	Selecting Our Own Science. Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 2015, 658, 172-191.	1.6	46
59	Science News Consumption Patterns and Their Implications for Public Understanding of Science. Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly, 2015, 92, 597-616.	2.7	54
60	The Role of News Media in the Social Amplification of Risk. , 2015, , 69-85.		10
61	Building Buzz. Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly, 2014, 91, 772-791.	2.7	101
62	Misperceptions in Polarized Politics: The Role of Knowledge, Religiosity, and Media. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2014, 47, 654-661.	0.5	16
63	Disentangling the Influence of Value Predispositions and Risk/Benefit Perceptions on Support for Nanotechnology Among the American Public. Risk Analysis, 2014, 34, 965-980.	2.7	37
64	Channeling Science Information Seekers' Attention? A Content Analysis of Top-Ranked vs. Lower-Ranked Sites in Google. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 2014, 19, 562-575.	3.3	6
65	Inequalities in Scientific Understanding. Science Communication, 2014, 36, 352-378.	3.3	47
66	Partisan amplification of risk: American perceptions of nuclear energy risk in the wake of the Fukushima Daiichi disaster. Energy Policy, 2014, 67, 727-736.	8.8	55
67	The "Nasty Effect:―Online Incivility and Risk Perceptions of Emerging Technologies. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 2014, 19, 373-387.	3.3	514
68	Effects of Journalistic Adjudication on Factual Beliefs, News Evaluations, Information Seeking, and Epistemic Political Efficacy. Mass Communication and Society, 2014, 17, 615-638.	2.1	42
69	Public communication of science 2.0. EMBO Reports, 2014, 15, 749-753.	4.5	72
70	Using a Deliberative Exercise To Foster Public Engagement in Nanotechnology. Journal of Chemical Education, 2014, 91, 179-187.	2.3	14
71	Disconnected discourses. Materials Today, 2014, 17, 48-49.	14.2	2
72	Ciència, públic i nous mitjans. Reflexió sobre el present i el futur de la divulgació cientÃfica. Metode, 2014, .	0.1	1

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Science, New Media, and the Public. Science, 2013, 339, 40-41.	12.6	269
74	Tweeting nano: how public discourses about nanotechnology develop in social media environments. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 2013, 15, 1.	1.9	45
75	What's in a name? How we define nanotech shapes public reactions. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 2013, 15, 1.	1.9	7
76	Following the Leader: Using Opinion Leaders in Environmental Strategic Communication. Society and Natural Resources, 2013, 26, 1438-1453.	1.9	31
77	New media landscapes and the science information consumer. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2013, 110, 14096-14101.	7.1	265
78	MEDIALIZED SCIENCE?. Journalism Practice, 2013, 7, 413-429.	2.2	27
79	Medialisierung der Neurowissenschaften. , 2013, , 311-336.		7
80	News coverage of controversial emerging technologies: $\langle i \rangle$ Evidence for the issue attention cycle in print and online media $\langle i \rangle$. Politics and the Life Sciences, 2012, 31, 87-96.	0.7	13
81	Coverage of emerging technologies: A comparison between print and online media. New Media and Society, 2012, 14, 1039-1059.	5.0	97
82	The Role of Media and Deference to Scientific Authority in Cultivating Trust in Sources of Information about Emerging Technologies. International Journal of Public Opinion Research, 2012, 24, 225-237.	1.3	81
83	News coverage of controversial emerging technologies: Evidence for the issue attention cycle in print and online media. Politics and the Life Sciences, 2012, 31, 87-96.	0.7	6
84	Precision of Information, Sensational Information, and Selfâ€Efficacy Information as Messageâ€Level Variables Affecting Risk Perceptions. Risk Analysis, 2012, 32, 155-166.	2.7	28
85	Information snapshots: What Google searches really tell us about emerging technologies. Nano Today, 2012, 7, 72-75.	11.9	4
86	There Is Water Everywhere: How News Framing Amplifies the Effect of Ecological Worldviews on Preference for Flooding Protection Policy. Mass Communication and Society, 2011, 14, 553-577.	2.1	9
87	The Role of Perceptions of Media Bias in General and Issue-Specific Political Participation. Mass Communication and Society, 2011, 14, 343-374.	2.1	55
88	Interpersonal Amplification of Risk? Citizen Discussions and Their Impact on Perceptions of Risks and Benefits of a Biological Research Facility. Risk Analysis, 2011, 31, 324-334.	2.7	71
89	Stimulating Upstream Engagement: An Experimental Study of Nanotechnology Information Seeking. Social Science Quarterly, 2011, 92, 1191-1214.	1.6	6
90	Media, Social Proximity, and Risk: A Comparative Analysis of Newspaper Coverage of Avian Flu in Hong Kong and in the United States. Journal of Health Communication, 2011, 16, 889-907.	2.4	56

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	The changing information environment for nanotechnology: online audiences and content. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 2010, 12, 1083-1094.	1.9	62
92	Narrowing the nano discourse?†â€This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant No. DMR-0832760). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation Materials Today, 2010, 13, 52-54.	14.2	22
93	The Soul of a Polarized Democracy. Communication Research, 2009, 36, 315-340.	5.9	69
94	Religiosity as a perceptual filter: examining processes of opinion formation about nanotechnology. Public Understanding of Science, 2009, 18 , 546 - 558 .	2.8	233
95	"Split Screens―and "Spin Rooms― Debate Modality, Post-Debate Coverage, and the New Videomalaise. Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media, 2009, 53, 242-261.	1.5	26
96	Interactions with the Mass Media. Science, 2008, 321, 204-205.	12.6	182
97	Science-Media Interface. Science Communication, 2008, 30, 266-276.	3.3	95
98	Media Coverage of Public Health Epidemics: Linking Framing and Issue Attention Cycle Toward an Integrated Theory of Print News Coverage of Epidemics. Mass Communication and Society, 2008, 11, 141-160.	2.1	196
99	Reporting a Potential Pandemic. Science Communication, 2007, 28, 429-454.	3.3	148
100	The Polls Trends: Public Reactions to Global Health Threats and Infectious Diseases. Public Opinion Quarterly, 2007, 71, 671-692.	1.6	26
101	Democracy Based on Difference: Examining the Links Between Structural Heterogeneity, Heterogeneity of Discussion Networks, and Democratic Citizenship. Journal of Communication, 2006, 56, 728-753.	3.7	198
102	Do They Know What They Read? Building a Scientific Literacy Measurement Instrument Based on Science Media Coverage. Science Communication, 2006, 28, 47-63.	3.3	61
103	Scientific knowledge and attitude change: The impact of a citizen science project. International Journal of Science Education, 2005, 27, 1099-1121.	1.9	464
104	Are Issue-Cycles Culturally Constructed? A Comparison of French and American Coverage of Global Climate Change. Mass Communication and Society, 2004, 7, 359-377.	2.1	257
105	Social norms and expectancy violation theories: assessing the effectiveness of health communication campaigns. Communication Monographs, 2004, 71, 448-470.	2.7	73
106	Social Structure and Citizenship: Examining the Impacts of Social Setting, Network Heterogeneity, and Informational Variables on Political Participation. Political Communication, 2004, 21, 315-338.	3.9	263
107	Are Social Norms Campaigns Really Magic Bullets? Assessing the Effects of Students' Misperceptions on Drinking Behavior. Health Communication, 2003, 15, 481-497.	3.1	94
108	Pathways to Political Participation? Religion, Communication Contexts, and Mass Media. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 2003, 15, 300-324.	1.8	94

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Do Citizens Want to Have Their Say? Media, Agricultural Biotechnology, and Authoritarian Views of Democratic Processes in Science. Mass Communication and Society, 2003, 6, 291-312.	2.1	50
110	Advocating for controversial issues: The effect of activism on complianceâ€gaining strategy likelihood of use. Communication Studies, 2003, 54, 265-281.	1.2	6
111	Framing Science. The International Journal of Press/Politics, 2003, 8, 36-70.	1.2	265
112	Knowledge, Reservations, or Promise?. Communication Research, 2002, 29, 584-608.	5.9	262
113	Social Challenges. , 0, , 17-31.		5
114	Mapping the Landscape of Public Attitudes on Synthetic Biology. BioScience, 0, , biw171.	4.9	22
115	The risk of relocation: risk perceptions and communication surrounding the tradeoffs between floods and economic opportunities in Iquitos, Peru. Journal of Risk Research, 0, , 1-16.	2.6	0