## **Daniel Strech**

#### List of Publications by Citations

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6775536/daniel-strech-publications-by-citations.pdf

Version: 2024-04-28

This document has been generated based on the publications and citations recorded by exaly.com. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

116 1,881 38 25 h-index g-index citations papers 167 2,509 3.5 5.33 L-index avg, IF ext. citations ext. papers

#	Paper	IF	Citations
116	Extent of non-publication in cohorts of studies approved by research ethics committees or included in trial registries. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , <b>2014</b> , 9, e114023	3.7	115
115	How physicians allocate scarce resources at the bedside: a systematic review of qualitative studies. Journal of Medicine and Philosophy, <b>2008</b> , 33, 80-99	1.1	81
114	How to write a systematic review of reasons. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2012</b> , 38, 121-6	2.5	78
113	The impact of social media on medical professionalism: a systematic qualitative review of challenges and opportunities. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , <b>2013</b> , 15, e184	7.6	77
112	Are physicians willing to ration health care? Conflicting findings in a systematic review of survey research. <i>Health Policy</i> , <b>2009</b> , 90, 113-24	3.2	60
111	Reasons Why Post-Trial Access to Trial Drugs Should, or Need not be Ensured to Research Participants: A Systematic Review. <i>Public Health Ethics</i> , <b>2011</b> , 4, 160-184	1.8	59
110	The representation of patient experience and satisfaction in physician rating sites. A criteria-based analysis of English- and German-language sites. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , <b>2010</b> , 10, 332	2.9	53
109	Routinely collected data for randomized trials: promises, barriers, and implications. <i>Trials</i> , <b>2018</b> , 19, 29	2.8	51
108	Value judgments in the analysis and synthesis of evidence. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , <b>2008</b> , 61, 521-4	5.7	46
107	Systematic reviews of empirical bioethics. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2008</b> , 34, 472-7	2.5	45
106	Ethical principles for physician rating sites. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , <b>2011</b> , 13, e113	7.6	42
105	Putting public health ethics into practice: a systematic framework. <i>Frontiers in Public Health</i> , <b>2015</b> , 3, 23	6	40
104	The full spectrum of ethical issues in dementia care: systematic qualitative review. <i>British Journal of Psychiatry</i> , <b>2013</b> , 202, 400-6	5.4	40
103	Preclinical efficacy studies in investigator brochures: Do they enable risk-benefit assessment?. <i>PLoS Biology</i> , <b>2018</b> , 16, e2004879	9.7	39
102	Standards of practice in empirical bioethics research: towards a consensus. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 19, 68	2.9	37
101	Collective agency and the concept of PoublicRin public involvement: A practice-oriented analysis. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 17, 1	2.9	36
100	The need for systematic reviews of reasons. <i>Bioethics</i> , <b>2012</b> , 26, 315-28	2	35

### (2013-2015)

99	Evidence-informed recommendations to reduce dissemination bias in clinical research: conclusions from the OPEN (Overcome failure to Publish nEgative fiNdings) project based on an international consensus meeting. <i>BMJ Open</i> , <b>2015</b> , 5, e006666	3	35
98	A template for broad consent in biobank research. Results and explanation of an evidence and consensus-based development process. <i>European Journal of Medical Genetics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 59, 295-309	2.6	35
97	Ethical issues in public health surveillance: a systematic qualitative review. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , <b>2017</b> , 17, 295	4.1	32
96	Current state of ethics literature synthesis: a systematic review of reviews. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , <b>2016</b> , 14, 152	11.4	28
95	Eztliches Handeln bei Mittelknappheit. Ethik in Der Medizin, 2008, 20, 94-109	0.5	26
94	Normative arguments and new solutions for the unbiased registration and publication of clinical trials. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , <b>2012</b> , 65, 276-81	5.7	25
93	How psychiatry journals support the unbiased translation of clinical research. A cross-sectional study of editorial policies. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , <b>2013</b> , 8, e75995	3.7	25
92	Quality of ethical guidelines and ethical content in clinical guidelines: the example of end-of-life decision-making. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2011</b> , 37, 390-6	2.5	23
91	Access policies in biobank research: what criteria do they include and how publicly available are they? A cross-sectional study. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 25, 293-300	5.3	21
90	3Rs missing: animal research without scientific value is unethical <i>BMJ Open Science</i> , <b>2019</b> , 3,	4.6	20
89	Result dissemination from clinical trials conducted at German university medical centers was delayed and incomplete. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , <b>2019</b> , 115, 37-45	5.7	19
88	Inclusion of ethical issues in dementia guidelines: a thematic text analysis. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , <b>2013</b> , 10, e100	01408	18
87	Evidence-based ethicswhat it should be and what it shouldnR. BMC Medical Ethics, 2008, 9, 16	2.9	18
86	The spectrum of ethical issues in a Learning Health Care System: a systematic qualitative review. <i>International Journal for Quality in Health Care</i> , <b>2018</b> , 30, 161-168	1.9	17
85	Current practice of public involvement activities in biomedical research and innovation: a systematic qualitative review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , <b>2014</b> , 9, e113274	3.7	17
84	The full spectrum of ethical issues in the care of patients with ALS: a systematic qualitative review. <i>Journal of Neurology</i> , <b>2016</b> , 263, 201-209	5.5	16
83	Participatory improvement of a template for informed consent documents in biobank research study results and methodological reflections. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 18, 78	2.9	16
82	Evidence-based health information from the usersRperspectivea qualitative analysis. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , <b>2013</b> , 13, 405	2.9	16

81	Animal Study Registries: Results from a Stakeholder Analysis on Potential Strengths, Weaknesses, Facilitators, and Barriers. <i>PLoS Biology</i> , <b>2016</b> , 14, e2000391	9.7	16
80	Dissemination bias in systematic reviews of animal research: a systematic review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , <b>2014</b> , 9, e116016	3.7	16
79	The bench is closer to the bedside than we think: Uncovering the ethical ties between preclinical researchers in translational neuroscience and patients in clinical trials. <i>PLoS Biology</i> , <b>2018</b> , 16, e200634	1 <b>3</b> 9·7	15
78	International requirements for consent in biobank research: qualitative review of research guidelines. <i>Journal of Medical Genetics</i> , <b>2014</b> , 51, 773-81	5.8	14
77	Quality of reporting of randomized controlled trials of pharmacologic treatment of bipolar disorders: a systematic review. <i>Journal of Clinical Psychiatry</i> , <b>2011</b> , 72, 1214-21	4.6	14
76	Publication rates in animal research. Extent and characteristics of published and non-published animal studies followed up at two German university medical centres. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , <b>2019</b> , 14, e0223758	3.7	14
75	Practice variation across consent templates for biobank research. a survey of German biobanks. <i>Frontiers in Genetics</i> , <b>2013</b> , 4, 240	4.5	13
74	Improving the trustworthiness, usefulness, and ethics of biomedical research through an innovative and comprehensive institutional initiative. <i>PLoS Biology</i> , <b>2020</b> , 18, e3000576	9.7	12
73	When Choosing Wisely meets clinical practice guidelines. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen</i> , <b>2014</b> , 108, 601-3	1.4	12
72	Developments in the Frequency of Ratings and Evaluation Tendencies: A Review of German Physician Rating Websites. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , <b>2017</b> , 19, e299	7.6	12
71	Public Awareness and Use of German Physician Ratings Websites: Cross-Sectional Survey of Four North German Cities. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , <b>2017</b> , 19, e387	7.6	12
70	Bias in dissemination of clinical research findings: structured OPEN framework of what, who and why, based on literature review and expert consensus. <i>BMJ Open</i> , <b>2016</b> , 6, e010024	3	11
69	Participation rate or informed choice? Rethinking the European key performance indicators for mammography screening. <i>Health Policy</i> , <b>2014</b> , 115, 100-3	3.2	11
68	Preclinical efficacy in therapeutic area guidelines from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency: a cross-sectional study. <i>British Journal of Pharmacology</i> , <b>2018</b> , 175, 42	:2 <b>§</b> :42:	38 <sup>11</sup>
67	The Public® Awareness of and Attitude Toward Research Biobanks - A Regional German Survey. <i>Frontiers in Genetics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 9, 190	4.5	10
66	How can bedside rationing be justified despite coexisting inefficiency? The need for <b>B</b> enchmarks of efficiency <i>B Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2014</b> , 40, 89-93	2.5	10
65	The role of ethics committees and ethics consultation in allocation decisions: a 4-stage process. <i>Medical Care</i> , <b>2010</b> , 48, 821-6	3.1	10
64	Zuclopenthixol dihydrochloride for schizophrenia. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , <b>2005</b> , CD005474	5.2	10

### (2008-2015)

63	Ethical review of biobank research: Should RECs review each release of material from biobanks operating under an already-approved broad consent and data protection model?. <i>European Journal of Medical Genetics</i> , <b>2015</b> , 58, 545-9	2.6	9
62	Current practices for access, compensation, and prioritization in biobanks. Results from an interview study. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 26, 1572-1581	5.3	9
61	The Full Spectrum of Clinical Ethical Issues in Kidney Failure. Findings of a Systematic Qualitative Review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , <b>2016</b> , 11, e0149357	3.7	9
60	An integrated conceptual framework for evaluating and improving RunderstandingRin informed consent. <i>Trials</i> , <b>2017</b> , 18, 482	2.8	8
59	Clinical Ethics in Gabon: The Spectrum of Clinical Ethical Issues Based on Findings from In-Depth Interviews at Three Public Hospitals. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , <b>2015</b> , 10, e0132374	3.7	8
58	Systematic and transparent inclusion of ethical issues and recommendations in clinical practice guidelines: a six-step approach. <i>Implementation Science</i> , <b>2014</b> , 9, 184	8.4	8
57	Do editorial policies support ethical research? A thematic text analysis of author instructions in psychiatry journals. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , <b>2014</b> , 9, e97492	3.7	7
56	Herausforderungen expliziter Leistungsbegrenzungen durch kostensensible Leitlinien. Ergebnisse einer qualitativen Interviewstudie mit leitenden Klinikfzten. <i>Gesundheitsokonomie Und</i> <i>Qualitatsmanagement</i> , <b>2009</b> , 14, 38-43	0.3	7
55	Practice evaluation of biobank ethics and governance: current needs and future perspectives. Journal of Medical Genetics, <b>2019</b> , 56, 176-185	5.8	7
54	Why are so few patients rating their physicians on German physician rating websites? A qualitative study. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , <b>2018</b> , 18, 670	2.9	7
53	How factual do we want the facts? Criteria for a critical appraisal of empirical research for use in ethics. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2010</b> , 36, 222-5	2.5	6
52	How to evaluate conflict of interest policies. American Journal of Bioethics, 2011, 11, 37-9	1.1	6
51	Do Public Involvement Activities in Biomedical Research and Innovation Recruit Representatively? A Systematic Qualitative Review. <i>Public Health Genomics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 19, 193-202	1.9	6
50	Research Guideline Recommendations for Broad Consent Forms in Biobank Research and How They Are Currently Addressed in Practice. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2015</b> , 15, 60-3	1.1	5
49	What methods do reviews of normative ethics literature use for search, selection, analysis, and synthesis? In-depth results from a systematic review of reviews. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , <b>2017</b> , 6, 261	3	5
48	Did we describe what you meant? Findings and methodological discussion of an empirical validation study for a systematic review of reasons. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2014</b> , 15, 69	2.9	5
47	Why the "appraisal of guidelines for research and evaluation" instrument can and should further inform ethics policy work. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2012</b> , 12, 25-7	1.1	5
46	Evidenz-basierte Ethik. <i>Ethik in Der Medizin</i> , <b>2008</b> , 20, 274-286	0.5	5

45	Applying for, reviewing and funding public health research in Germany and beyond. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , <b>2016</b> , 14, 43	3.7	5
44	Zuclopenthixol dihydrochloride for schizophrenia. <i>Schizophrenia Bulletin</i> , <b>2009</b> , 35, 855-6	1.3	4
43	Results dissemination of registered clinical trials across Polish academic institutions: a cross-sectional analysis. <i>BMJ Open</i> , <b>2020</b> , 10, e034666	3	4
42	Off-label use, compassionate use und individuelle Heilversuche: ethische Implikationen zulassungsBerschreitender Arzneimittelanwendungen <b>2017</b> , 95-105		3
41	Attitudes towards animal study registries and their characteristics: An online survey of three cohorts of animal researchers. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , <b>2020</b> , 15, e0226443	3.7	3
40	Ethical issues in obesity prevention for school children: a systematic qualitative review. <i>International Journal of Public Health</i> , <b>2017</b> , 62, 981-988	4	3
39	Implizite Rationierung als Rechtsproblem Ergebnisse einer qualitativen Interviewstudie zur Situation in deutschen Krankenhüsern. <i>Medizinrecht</i> , <b>2007</b> , 25, 703-706	О	3
38	Der Umgang mit Wahrscheinlichkeiten und das Vertrauen in die Medizin. <i>Ethik in Der Medizin</i> , <b>2005</b> , 17, 103-113	0.5	3
37	Results dissemination from completed clinical trials conducted at German university medical centers remained delayed and incomplete. The 2014-2017 cohort <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , <b>2021</b> ,	5.7	3
36	Priorisierung und Rationierung im Gesundheitswesen - Status quo und Perspektiven <b>2011</b> , 51-61		3
35	Investigator brochures for phase I/II trials lack information on the robustness of preclinical safety studies. <i>British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology</i> , <b>2021</b> , 87, 2723-2731	3.8	3
34	Broad donor consent for human biobanks in Germany and Europe: a strategy to facilitate cross-border sharing and exchange of human biological materials and related data. <i>Journal of Laboratory Medicine</i> , <b>2019</b> , 43, 291-299	0.9	2
33	Zur Ethik einer restriktiven Regulierung der Studienregistrierung. Ethik in Der Medizin, <b>2011</b> , 23, 177-18	<b>9</b> 0.5	2
32	Tracking the timely dissemination of clinical studies. Characteristics and impact of 10 tracking variables. <i>F1000Research</i> , <b>2018</b> , 7, 1863	3.6	2
31	Implementation of data access and use procedures in clinical data warehouses. A systematic review of literature and publicly available policies. <i>BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</i> , <b>2020</b> , 20, 157	3.6	2
30	The full spectrum of ethical issues in dementia research: findings of a systematic qualitative review. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 22, 32	2.9	2
29	Ethics Reporting in Biospecimen and Genetic Research: Current Practice and Suggestions for Changes. <i>PLoS Biology</i> , <b>2016</b> , 14, e1002521	9.7	2
28	Details of risk-benefit communication in informed consent documents for phase I/II trials. <i>Clinical Trials</i> , <b>2021</b> , 18, 71-80	2.2	2

# (2016-)

27	Results dissemination from completed clinical trials conducted at German university medical centers remains delayed and incomplete. The 2014-2017 cohort		2
26	The contribution and attitudes of research ethics committees to complete registration and non-selective reporting of clinical trials: A European survey. <i>Research Ethics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 12, 123-136	2.8	1
25	BMBF-Klausurwoche: Clinical Ethics Consultation: theories & methods [Implementation [I evaluation. <i>Ethik in Der Medizin</i> , <b>2008</b> , 20, 148-150	0.5	1
24	Challenges and proposed solutions in making clinical research on COVID-19 ethical. A status quo analysis across German research ethics committees		1
23	Kostensensible Leitlinien als Priorisierungsinstrument <b>2011</b> , 75-98		1
22	Results Availability and Timeliness of Registered COVID-19 Clinical Trials: A Cross-Sectional Study		1
21	Web-based survey among animal researchers on publication practices and incentives for increasing publication rates. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , <b>2021</b> , 16, e0250362	3.7	1
20	Robust preclinical evidence in somatic cell genome editing: A key driver of responsible and efficient therapeutic innovations. <i>Drug Discovery Today</i> , <b>2021</b> , 26, 2238-2243	8.8	1
19	Do German university medical centres promote robust and transparent research? A cross-sectional study of institutional policies <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , <b>2022</b> , 20, 39	3.7	1
18	Data protection-compliant broad consent for secondary use of health care data and human biosamples for (bio)medical research: towards a new German national standard. <i>Journal of Biomedical Informatics</i> , <b>2022</b> , 104096	10.2	1
17	Improved evidence will support sound decision making but will not solve the problem of cost containment in oncology. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , <b>2011</b> , 29, 3943	2.2	Ο
16	Results availability and timeliness of registered COVID-19 clinical trials: interim cross-sectional results from the DIRECCT study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , <b>2021</b> , 11, e053096	3	Ο
15	Public-Health-Ethik <b>2012</b> , 137-142		О
14	Ethics Literacy and "Ethics University": Two Intertwined Models for Public Involvement and Empowerment in Bioethics. <i>Frontiers in Public Health</i> , <b>2015</b> , 3, 287	6	Ο
13	Reporting of prior clinical studies in Investigator Brochures did not dela dhere to the basic principles of evidence synthesis: a cross-sectional study. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , <b>2021</b> , 130, 87-95	5.7	О
12	Results publications are inadequately linked to trial registrations: An automated pipeline and evaluation of German university medical centers <i>Clinical Trials</i> , <b>2022</b> , 17407745221087456	2.2	Ο
11	Kommentar I zum Fall: <b>D</b> er Patientenwille als oberste Instanz <b>B</b> chwierige Umsetzung in der klinischen Forschung <b>Ethik in Der Medizin</b> , <b>2016</b> , 28, 153-155	0.5	
10	Input analysis for two public consultations on the EU Clinical Trials Regulation. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , <b>2016</b> , 14, 69	3.7	

9	Which public to involve? More reflection on collective agency and sufficient representativeness is needed. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2014</b> , 14, 31-3	1.1
8	Pandemien und Forschungsethik. Eine Bersicht zu zentralen Herausforderungen <b>2021</b> , 163-178	
7	Umgang mit Mittelbegrenzung im Gesundheitswesen <b>2020</b> , 751-770	
6	Umgang mit Mittelbegrenzung im Gesundheitswesen <b>2016</b> , 1-20	
5	Zur Abgrenzung zwischen Interessenkonflikten, Fehlverhalten und professioneller Integrit <b>E 2011</b> , 81-8	37
4	Systematic Reviews of Reasons in Public Health Ethics Literature: A Roadmap. <i>Public Health Ethics Analysis</i> , <b>2013</b> , 119-138	0.2
3	Where Public Health Meets Ethics. Conceptual Foundations and Practical Challenges of Public Health. <i>Public Health Ethics Analysis</i> , <b>2013</b> , 5-23	0.2
2	Wissenschaftlicher und sozialer Wert <b>2014</b> , 299-303	

5. Ethik und rechtliche Fragen **2018**, 157-186