

Camiel Rosman

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6775211/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

192
papers

13,422
citations

41258

49
h-index

22764

112
g-index

196
all docs

196
docs citations

196
times ranked

9065
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Learning Curves of Ivor Lewis Totally Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy by Hospital and Surgeon Characteristics. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2022, 275, 911-918.	2.1	13
2	European consensus on essential steps of Minimally Invasive Ivor Lewis and McKeown Esophagectomy through Delphi methodology. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 446-460.	1.3	8
3	Impact of nationwide centralization of oesophageal, gastric, and pancreatic surgery on travel distance and experienced burden in the Netherlands. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 48, 348-355.	0.5	8
4	Performance with robotic surgery versus 3D- and 2D-laparoscopy during pancreatic and biliary anastomoses in a biotissue model: pooled analysis of two randomized trials. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 4518-4528.	1.3	10
5	Textbook outcome following esophagectomy for cancer: international cohort study. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2022, 109, 439-449.	0.1	12
6	Age-specific incidence, treatment, and survival trends in esophageal cancer: a Dutch population-based cohort study. <i>Acta Oncologica</i> , 2022, 61, 545-552.	0.8	7
7	Treatment decision-making during outpatient clinic visit of patients with esophagogastric cancer. The perspectives of clinicians and patients, a mixed method, multiple case study. <i>Cancer Medicine</i> , 2022, , .	1.3	1
8	Shrinkage versus fragmentation response in neoadjuvantly treated oesophageal adenocarcinoma: significant prognostic relevance. <i>Histopathology</i> , 2022, , .	1.6	6
9	Treatment of anastomotic leak after esophagectomy: insights of an international case vignette survey and expert discussions. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2022, , .	0.2	5
10	Clinical variation in the organization of clinical pathways in esophagogastric cancer, a mixed method multiple case study. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2022, 22, 527.	0.9	1
11	124: DETERMINING SEVERITY OF ESOPHAGEAL ANASTOMOTIC LEAK IN PATIENTS AFTER ESOPHAGECTOMY: DEVELOPMENT OF THE SEAL SCORE. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2022, 35, .	0.2	0
12	39: TREATMENT OF ANASTOMOTIC LEAKAGE AFTER ESOPHAGECTOMY (TENTACLE® ESOPHAGUS) STUDY: EFFICACY OF DIFFERENT INITIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES FOR ANASTOMOTIC LEAKAGE. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2022, 35, .	0.2	0
13	Age and Charlson Comorbidity Index score are not independent risk factors for severe complications after curative esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: a Dutch population-based cohort study. <i>Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 43, 101789.	0.8	2
14	Severity of oEsophageal Anastomotic Leak in patients after oesophagectomy: the SEAL score. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2022, 109, 864-871.	0.1	9
15	Training benchmarks based on validated composite scores for the RobotiX robot-assisted surgery simulator on basic tasks. <i>Journal of Robotic Surgery</i> , 2021, 15, 69-79.	1.0	1
16	Early diagnosis is associated with improved clinical outcomes in benign esophageal perforation: an individual patient data meta-analysis. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 3492-3505.	1.3	20
17	Added value of 3D-vision during robotic pancreatoduodenectomy anastomoses in biotissue (LAEBOT) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Overle Techniques, 2021, 35, 2928-2935.	1.3	11
18	Treatment of anastomotic leakage after rectal cancer resection: The TENTACLE® Rectum study. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2021, 23, 982-988.	0.7	16

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The Fun Factor: Does Serious Gaming Affect the Volume of Voluntary Laparoscopic Skills Training?. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 45, 66-71.	0.8	6
20	Impact of pathological tumor response after CROSS neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery on long-term outcome of esophageal cancer: a population-based study. <i>Acta Oncologica</i> , 2021, 60, 497-504.	0.8	23
21	Technique of open and minimally invasive intrathoracic reconstruction following esophagectomy—an expert consensus based on a modified Delphi process. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.2	8
22	Management of complex ventral hernias: results of an international survey. <i>BJS Open</i> , 2021, 5, .	0.7	6
23	Outcomes of curative esophageal cancer surgery in elderly: A meta-analysis. <i>World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology</i> , 2021, 13, 131-146.	0.8	10
24	How can robot-assisted surgery provide value for money?. <i>BMJ Surgery, Interventions, and Health Technologies</i> , 2021, 3, e000042.	0.6	6
25	Supervised exercise after oesophageal cancer surgery: the PERFECT multicentre randomized clinical trial. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 108, 786-796.	0.1	12
26	Changes in hospital variation in the probability of receiving treatment with curative intent for esophageal and gastric cancer. <i>Cancer Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 71, 101897.	0.8	5
27	Commentary: endoscopic vacuum therapy for anastomotic leakage after esophagectomy and total gastrectomy: obstacles to finding true evidence. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.2	0
28	Postoperative Complications and Long-Term Quality of Life After Multimodality Treatment for Esophageal Cancer: An Analysis of the Prospective Observational Cohort Study of Esophageal-Gastric Cancer Patients (POCOP). <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 28, 7259-7276.	0.7	18
29	Comparison of short-term outcomes from the International Oesophago-Gastric Anastomosis Audit (OGAA), the Esophagectomy Complications Consensus Group (ECCG), and the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit (DUCA). <i>BJS Open</i> , 2021, 5, .	0.7	4
30	Novel imaging techniques for intraoperative margin assessment in surgical oncology: A systematic review. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2021, 149, 635-645.	2.3	27
31	Updated protocol of the SANO trial: a stepped-wedge cluster randomised trial comparing surgery with active surveillance after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for oesophageal cancer. <i>Trials</i> , 2021, 22, 345.	0.7	54
32	Mortality from esophagectomy for esophageal cancer across low, middle, and high-income countries: An international cohort study. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 47, 1481-1488.	0.5	18
33	Prognostic value of patient-reported quality of life for survival in oesophagogastric cancer: analysis from the population-based POCOP study. <i>Gastric Cancer</i> , 2021, 24, 1203-1212.	2.7	9
34	Intrathoracic vs Cervical Anastomosis After Totally or Hybrid Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy for Esophageal Cancer. <i>JAMA Surgery</i> , 2021, 156, 601.	2.2	65
35	Response to the Comment on “Learning Curves of Ivor Lewis Totally Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy by Hospital and Surgeon Characteristics a Retrospective Multi-national Cohort Study”. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2021, 274, e930.	2.1	3
36	Postoperative intensive care unit stay after minimally invasive esophagectomy shows large hospital variation. Results from the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 47, 1961-1968.	0.5	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Outcomes of Patients with Anastomotic Leakage After Transhiatal, McKeown or Ivor Lewis Esophagectomy: A Nationwide Cohort Study. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 45, 3341-3349.	0.8	14
38	551 INCREASED POSTOPERATIVE MORBIDITY AFTER TOTALLY MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGECTOMY FOR CANCER IN ELDERLY PATIENTS. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.2	0
39	734 PL11.06 PROGNOSTIC FACTORS FOR MORTALITY IN PATIENTS WITH ANASTOMOTIC LEAKAGE AFTER ESOPHAGECTOMY FOR CANCER (TENTACLEâ€”ESOPHAGUS STUDY). <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.2	0
40	388 TREATMENT OF ANASTOMOTIC LEAKAGE AFTER ESOPHAGECTOMY (TENTACLEâ€”ESOPHAGUS) STUDY: FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH ANASTOMOTIC LEAKAGE SEVERITY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.2	0
41	382 INTRATHORACIC VERSUS CERVICAL ANASTOMOSIS AFTER MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGECTOMY FOR OESOPHAGEAL CANCER: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL (ICAN TRIAL). <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.2	0
42	771 IMPACT OF NATIONWIDE CENTRALIZATION OF ESOPHAGEAL, GASTRIC, AND PANCREATIC SURGERY ON TRAVEL DISTANCE AND EXPERIENCED BURDEN IN THE NETHERLANDS. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.2	0
43	679 TREATMENT OF ANASTOMOTIC LEAKAGE AFTER ESOPHAGECTOMY (TENTACLEâ€”ESOPHAGUS) STUDY: EFFICACY OF DIFFERENT INITIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES FOR ANASTOMOTIC LEAKAGE. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.2	0
44	130 SEVERITY OF ANASTOMOTIC LEAKAGE AFTER DIFFERENT TYPES OF ESOPHAGECTOMY: A NATIONWIDE COHORT STUDY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2021, 34, .	0.2	0
45	Selective Decontamination of the Digestive Tract to Prevent Postoperative Pneumonia and Anastomotic Leakage after Esophagectomy: A Retrospective Cohort Study. <i>Antibiotics</i> , 2021, 10, 43.	1.5	4
46	Generalizability of the Results and Concerns About Leakage Rates of the ICAN Trialâ€”Reply. <i>JAMA Surgery</i> , 2021, , .	2.2	0
47	Anastomotic leak following oesophagectomy: research priorities from an international Delphi consensus study. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 108, 66-73.	0.1	6
48	OO1â€”PROPHYLACTIC MESH PLACEMENT DURING FORMATION OF AN END-COLOSTOMY LONG TERM RCT ON EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 108, .	0.1	0
49	Postoperative outcomes in oesophagectomy with trainee involvement. <i>BJS Open</i> , 2021, 5, .	0.7	1
50	Propensity Scoreâ€”Matched Analysis Comparing Minimally Invasive Ivor Lewis Versus Minimally Invasive Mckeown Esophagectomy. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2020, 271, 128-133.	2.1	63
51	Diagnostic criteria and symptom grading for delayed gastric conduit emptying after esophagectomy for cancer: international expert consensus based on a modified Delphi process. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2020, 33, .	0.2	28
52	Robot assisted versus laparoscopic suturing learning curve in a simulated setting. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 3679-3689.	1.3	39
53	Predicting lymph node metastases with endoscopic resection in cT2N0M0 oesophageal cancer: A systematic review and metaâ€”analysis. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2020, 8, 35-43.	1.6	7
54	Controlled mechanical ventilation to detect regional lymph node metastases in esophageal cancer using USPIO-enhanced MRI; comparison of image quality. <i>Magnetic Resonance Imaging</i> , 2020, 74, 258-265.	1.0	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Extent and consequences of lymphadenectomy in oesophageal cancer surgery: case vignette survey. <i>BMJ Surgery, Interventions, and Health Technologies</i> , 2020, 2, e000026.	0.6	3
56	Fit-for-Discharge Criteria after Esophagectomy: An International Expert Delphi Consensus. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2020, 34, .	0.2	5
57	Prospective validation of classification of intraoperative adverse events (ClassIntra): international, multicentre cohort study. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2020, 370, m2917.	3.0	62
58	Assessment of validity evidence for the RobotiX robot assisted surgery simulator on advanced suturing tasks. <i>BMC Surgery</i> , 2020, 20, 183.	0.6	10
59	442 HAS HOSPITAL VARIATION IN THE PROBABILITY OF RECEIVING TREATMENT WITH CURATIVE INTENT FOR ESOPHAGEAL AND GASTRIC CANCER DECREASED OVER TIME?. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2020, 33, .	0.2	0
60	388 TREATMENT OF ANASTOMOTIC LEAKAGE AFTER ESOPHAGECTOMY (TENTACLEâ€™ESOPHAGUS) STUDY: FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH ANASTOMOTIC LEAKAGE SEVERITY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2020, 33, .	0.2	0
61	Totally minimally invasive esophagectomy versus hybrid minimally invasive esophagectomy: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2020, 33, .	0.2	28
62	Identifying Biomarkers in Lymph Node Metastases of Esophageal Adenocarcinoma for Tumor-Targeted Imaging. <i>Molecular Diagnosis and Therapy</i> , 2020, 24, 191-200.	1.6	8
63	Construct Validity of a Serious Game for Laparoscopic Skills Training: Validation Study. <i>JMIR Serious Games</i> , 2020, 8, e17222.	1.7	16
64	Metastatic pattern in esophageal and gastric cancer: Influenced by site and histology. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2020, 26, 6037-6046.	1.4	36
65	Randomized clinical trial on the effect of a supervised exercise program on quality of life, fatigue, and fitness following esophageal cancer treatment (PERFECT study).. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2020, 38, 12055-12055.	0.8	1
66	Intrathoracic versus cervical anastomosis after minimally invasive esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: A randomized controlled trial.. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2020, 38, 4509-4509.	0.8	0
67	Treatment of anastomotic leakage after esophagectomy (TENTACLE study). <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 46, e25-e26.	0.5	0
68	Transanal Endoscopic Microsurgery with or without Completion Total Mesorectal Excision for T2 and T3 Rectal Carcinoma. <i>Digestive Surgery</i> , 2019, 36, 76-82.	0.6	10
69	International Variation in Surgical Practices in Units Performing Oesophagectomy for Oesophageal Cancer: A Unit Survey from the Oesophagoâ€™Gastric Anastomosis Audit (OGAA). <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2019, 43, 2874-2884.	0.8	27
70	Detecting Pathological Complete Response in Esophageal Cancer after Neoadjuvant Therapy Based on Imaging Techniques: A Diagnostic Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Journal of Thoracic Oncology</i> , 2019, 14, 1156-1171.	0.5	85
71	Distribution of lymph node metastases in esophageal carcinoma [TIGER study]: study protocol of a multinational observational study. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2019, 19, 662.	1.1	62
72	Sa1247 EARLY DIAGNOSIS IS ASSOCIATED WITH IMPROVED CLINICAL OUTCOME IN BENIGN ESOPHAGEAL PERFORATIONS: AN INDIVIDUAL PATIENT DATA META-ANALYSIS. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2019, 89, AB186-AB187.	0.5	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	The oncological and surgical safety of robot-assisted surgery in colorectal cancer: outcomes of a longitudinal prospective cohort study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 3644-3655.	1.3	39
74	Synoptic reporting increases quality of upper gastrointestinal cancer pathology reports. <i>Virchows Archiv Fur Pathologische Anatomie Und Physiologie Und Fur Klinische Medizin</i> , 2019, 475, 255-259.	1.4	20
75	Cardiorespiratory Comorbidity and Postoperative Complications following Esophagectomy: a European Multicenter Cohort Study. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 26, 2864-2873.	0.7	46
76	Learning curve and postoperative outcomes of minimally invasive esophagectomy. <i>Journal of Thoracic Disease</i> , 2019, 11, S777-S785.	0.6	54
77	Resection of hepatic and pulmonary metastasis from metastatic esophageal and gastric cancer: a nationwide study. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.2	13
78	Management of intrathoracic and cervical anastomotic leakage after esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: a systematic review. <i>World Journal of Emergency Surgery</i> , 2019, 14, 17.	2.1	54
79	Superiority of Step-up Approach vs Open Necrosectomy in Long-term Follow-up of Patients With Necrotizing Pancreatitis. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2019, 156, 1016-1026.	0.6	145
80	Author's response regarding manuscript "Evolution of the surgical technique of minimally invasive Ivor-Lewis esophagectomy: description according to the IDEAL framework". <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.2	0
81	P42 EFFICACY OF ENDOSCOPIC TREATMENT FOR CONTAINED LEAKAGE AFTER IVOR LEWIS ESOPHAGECTOMY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.2	0
82	O102 FINDING FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH SAFE IMPLEMENTATION OF IVOR LEWIS TOTALLY MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGECTOMY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.2	0
83	P113 ESOPHAGECTOMY-SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE STRUCTURED ASSESSMENT OF TECHNICAL SKILL (E-OSATS): CONSENSUS ON ESSENTIAL IVOR-LEWIS AND MCKEOWN STEPS THROUGH DELPHI METHODOLOGY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.2	0
84	P63 REQUIREMENTS FOR A NEW DIAGNOSTIC TEST TO DETECT LYMPH NODE METASTASES IN ESOPHAGEAL CANCER: A HEALTH-ECONOMIC MODELLING STUDY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.2	0
85	P65 USPIO-MRI FOR PRE-OPERATIVE LYMPH NODE STAGING AFTER NEOADJUVANT CHEMORADIO THERAPY: FEASIBILITY AND VALIDATION FRAMEWORK. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.2	0
86	P121 ESOPHAGECTOMY-SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE STRUCTURED ASSESSMENT OF TECHNICAL SKILL (E-OSATS): VALIDATION PROTOCOL. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.2	0
87	O19 OUTCOMES OF IVOR LEWIS VERSUS MCKEOWN ESOPHAGECTOMY FOR CANCER: A PROPENSITY SCORE MATCHED ANALYSIS OF THE NETHERLANDS CANCER REGISTRY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.2	0
88	P204 DETECTING PATHOLOGICAL COMPLETE RESPONSE IN ESOPHAGEAL CANCER AFTER NEOADJUVANT THERAPY BASED ON IMAGING TECHNIQUES: A DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.2	0
89	The Influence of Age on Complications and Overall Survival After Ivor Lewis Totally Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2019, 23, 1293-1300.	0.9	18
90	Clinical response after laparoscopic fenestration of symptomatic hepatic cysts: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2019, 33, 691-704.	1.3	33

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Surgeon Volume and Surgeon Age in Relation to Proficiency Gain Curves for Prognosis Following Surgery for Esophageal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 26, 497-505.	0.7	20
92	Evolution of the surgical technique of minimally invasive Ivor-Lewis esophagectomy: description according to the IDEAL framework. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.2	10
93	Learning Curve and Associated Morbidity of Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 269, 88-94.	2.1	207
94	EARLY DIAGNOSIS IS ASSOCIATED WITH IMPROVED CLINICAL OUTCOME IN BENIGN ESOPHAGEAL PERFORATIONS: AN INDIVIDUAL PATIENT DATA META-ANALYSIS. , 2019, 51, .		2
95	Colicky pain and related complications after cholecystectomy for mild gallstone pancreatitis. <i>Hpb</i> , 2018, 20, 745-751.	0.1	2
96	Multicentre randomized clinical trial of inspiratory muscle training <i>versus</i> usual care before surgery for oesophageal cancer. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2018, 105, 502-511.	0.1	71
97	Time interval between neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and surgery for oesophageal or junctional cancer: A nationwide study. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2018, 91, 76-85.	1.3	39
98	Factors contributing to variation in the use of multimodality treatment in patients with gastric cancer: A Dutch population based study. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2018, 44, 260-267.	0.5	3
99	Long-term survival improvement in oesophageal cancer in the Netherlands. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2018, 94, 138-147.	1.3	56
100	A Population-based Study on Lymph Node Retrieval in Patients with Esophageal Cancer: Results from the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2018, 25, 1211-1220.	0.7	39
101	Endoscopic or surgical step-up approach for infected necrotising pancreatitis: a multicentre randomised trial. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2018, 391, 51-58.	6.3	504
102	The long-term effects of early oral feeding following minimal invasive esophagectomy. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2018, 31, 1-8.	0.2	30
103	PS01.173: MANAGEMENT OF INTRATHORACIC AND CERVICAL ANASTOMOTIC LEAKAGE AFTER ESOPHAGECTOMY FOR ESOPHAGEAL CANCER: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2018, 31, 99-99.	0.2	0
104	PS01.202: MANAGEMENT OF RESECTABLE ESOPHAGEAL AND GASTRIC (MIXED ADENO)NEUROENDOCRINE CARCINOMA: A NATIONWIDE COHORT STUDY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2018, 31, 107-107.	0.2	1
105	Prospective observational cohort study of oesophagogastric cancer patients (POCOP): A Dutch nationwide cohort. <i>Annals of Oncology</i> , 2018, 29, viii234.	0.6	0
106	RA05.09: THE INFLUENCE OF AGE ON OVERALL SURVIVAL AND COMPLICATIONS AFTER IVOR LEWIS TOTALLY MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGEAL SURGERY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2018, 31, 29-29.	0.2	0
107	RA07.02: IDENTIFYING TUMOR MARKERS IN ESOPHAGEAL ADENOCARCINOMA AND LYMPH NODE METASTASES FOR TARGETED FLUORESCENCE IMAGING. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2018, 31, 34-34.	0.2	0
108	PS02.078: FEASIBILITY OF PREOPERATIVE STAGING WITH USPIO ENHANCED MRI IN PATIENTS WITH RESECTABLE ESOPHAGEAL CARCINOMA (PRECIES STUDY). <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2018, 31, 142-142.	0.2	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	PS01.246: ESOPHAGECTOMY-SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE STRUCTURED ASSESSMENT OF TECHNICAL SKILL (E-OSATS): CONSENSUS ON ESSENTIAL STEPS THROUGH DELPHI METHODOLOGY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2018, 31, 119-119.	0.2	0
110	FA04.06: RESECTION OF HEPATIC AND PULMONARY METASTASIS FROM ESOPHAGEAL AND GASTRIC CANCER: A NATIONWIDE STUDY. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2018, 31, 9-9.	0.2	1
111	Detection of residual disease after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for oesophageal cancer (preSANO): a prospective multicentre, diagnostic cohort study. <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2018, 19, 965-974.	5.1	211
112	Clinical response after laparoscopic fenestration of large simple hepatic cysts: a systematic review. <i>Journal of Hepatology</i> , 2018, 68, S629.	1.8	0
113	Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy plus surgery versus active surveillance for oesophageal cancer: a stepped-wedge cluster randomised trial. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2018, 18, 142.	1.1	166
114	Management of resectable esophageal and gastric (mixed adeno)neuroendocrine carcinoma: A nationwide cohort study. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2018, 44, 1955-1962.	0.5	29
115	Learning curves in minimally invasive esophagectomy. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2018, 24, 4974-4978.	1.4	28
116	Techniques and short-term outcomes for total minimally invasive Ivor Lewis esophageal resection in distal esophageal and gastroesophageal junction cancers: pooled data from six European centers. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 119-126.	1.3	55
117	Multicenter, Prospective, Longitudinal Study of the Recurrence, Surgical Site Infection, and Quality of Life After Contaminated Ventral Hernia Repair Using Biosynthetic Absorbable Mesh. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2017, 265, 205-211.	2.1	213
118	Textbook outcome as a composite measure in oesophagogastric cancer surgery. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 104, 742-750.	0.1	174
119	Randomized clinical trial of biodegradable intraluminal sheath to prevent anastomotic leak after stapled colorectal anastomosis. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 104, 1010-1019.	0.1	33
120	Minimally Invasive Versus Open Esophageal Resection. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2017, 266, 232-236.	2.1	415
121	Prophylactic Mesh Placement During Formation of an End-colostomy Reduces the Rate of Parastomal Hernia. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2017, 265, 663-669.	2.1	72
122	Failure-to-rescue in patients undergoing surgery for esophageal or gastric cancer. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2017, 43, 1962-1969.	0.5	53
123	Improved Functional Results After Minimally Invasive Esophagectomy: Intrathoracic Versus Cervical Anastomosis. <i>Annals of Thoracic Surgery</i> , 2017, 103, 267-273.	0.7	82
124	Physical Exercise Following Esophageal Cancer Treatment (PERFECT) study: design of a randomized controlled trial. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2017, 17, 552.	1.1	18
125	The feeding route after esophagectomy: a review of literature. <i>Journal of Thoracic Disease</i> , 2017, 9, S785-S791.	0.6	37
126	McKeown or Ivor Lewis totally minimally invasive esophagectomy for cancer of the esophagus and gastroesophageal junction: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Thoracic Disease</i> , 2017, 9, S826-S833.	0.6	71

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	Predictive factors for post-operative respiratory infections after esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: outcome of randomized trial. <i>Journal of Thoracic Disease</i> , 2017, 9, S861-S867.	0.6	22
128	Immediate Postoperative Oral Nutrition Following Esophagectomy: A Multicenter Clinical Trial. <i>Annals of Thoracic Surgery</i> , 2016, 102, 1141-1148.	0.7	81
129	Early outcomes from the Dutch Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Audit. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2016, 103, 1855-1863.	0.1	121
130	Intrathoracic versus Cervical ANastomosis after minimally invasive esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: study protocol of the ICAN randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2016, 17, 505.	0.7	37
131	Electromagnetic-Guided Bedside Placement of Nasoenteral Feeding Tubes by Nurses Is Non-Inferior to Endoscopic Placement by Gastroenterologists: A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. <i>American Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2016, 111, 1123-1132.	0.2	16
132	Prophylactic mesh placement to prevent parastomal hernia, early results of a prospective multicentre randomized trial. <i>Hernia: the Journal of Hernias and Abdominal Wall Surgery</i> , 2016, 20, 535-541.	0.9	56
133	Antibiotic Duration After Laparoscopic Appendectomy for Acute Complicated Appendicitis. <i>JAMA Surgery</i> , 2016, 151, 323.	2.2	69
134	Prospective nationwide outcome audit of surgery for suspected acute appendicitis. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 103, 144-151.	0.1	80
135	Incisional Hernia: Difficult Cases 2. <i>Hernia: the Journal of Hernias and Abdominal Wall Surgery</i> , 2015, 19, S105-S111.	0.9	0
136	Improved quality of care for patients undergoing an abdominoperineal excision for rectal cancer. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2015, 41, 201-207.	0.5	15
137	Long-term outcome after randomizing prolene hernia system, mesh plug repair and lichtenstein for inguinal hernia repair. <i>Hernia: the Journal of Hernias and Abdominal Wall Surgery</i> , 2015, 19, 77-81.	0.9	34
138	Costs of complications after colorectal cancer surgery in the Netherlands: Building the business case for hospitals. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2015, 41, 1059-1067.	0.5	63
139	Determinants of improved survival after oesophagectomy for cancer. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 102, 668-675.	0.1	11
140	Electromagnetic guided bedside or endoscopic placement of nasoenteral feeding tubes in surgical patients (CORE trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, 119.	0.7	7
141	Systematic review and meta-analysis for laparoscopic versus open colon surgery with or without an ERAS programme. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2015, 29, 3443-3453.	1.3	165
142	Same-admission versus interval cholecystectomy for mild gallstone pancreatitis (PONCHO): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2015, 386, 1261-1268.	6.3	276
143	Quality of Life and Late Complications After Minimally Invasive Compared to Open Esophagectomy: Results of a Randomized Trial. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 39, 1986-1993.	0.8	169
144	Study protocol for the nutritional route in oesophageal resection trial: a single-arm feasibility trial (NUTRIENT trial). <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e004557-e004557.	0.8	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
145	Minimally Invasive Oesophagectomy: Preliminary Results after Introduction of an Intrathoracic Anastomosis. <i>Digestive Surgery</i> , 2014, 31, 95-103.	0.6	20
146	The role of routine fine-needle aspiration in the diagnosis of infected necrotizing pancreatitis. <i>Surgery</i> , 2014, 155, 442-448.	1.0	101
147	Preoperative exercise therapy for elective major abdominal surgery: A systematic review. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2014, 12, 134-140.	1.1	83
148	Preoperative inspiratory muscle training to prevent postoperative pulmonary complications in patients undergoing esophageal resection (PREPARE study): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2014, 15, 144.	0.7	43
149	Isosorbide dinitrate ointment <i>vs</i> botulinum toxin <i>A</i> (<i>Dysport</i>) as the primary treatment for chronic anal fissure: a randomized multicentre study. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2014, 16, O360-6.	0.7	34
150	Reply. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2014, 218, 1075.	0.2	1
151	Early Angiopoietin-2 Levels after Onset Predict the Advent of Severe Pancreatitis, Multiple Organ Failure, and Infectious Complications in Patients with Acute Pancreatitis. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2014, 218, 26-32.	0.2	28
152	Transluminal endoscopic step-up approach versus minimally invasive surgical step-up approach in patients with infected necrotizing pancreatitis (TENSION trial): design and rationale of a randomised controlled multicenter trial [ISRCTN09186711]. <i>BMC Gastroenterology</i> , 2013, 13, 161.	0.8	116
153	Altered Cortical Responsiveness to Pain Stimuli after High Frequency Electrical Stimulation of the Skin in Patients with Persistent Pain after Inguinal Hernia Repair. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2013, 8, e82701.	1.1	4
154	Association Analysis of Genetic Variants in the Myosin IXB Gene in Acute Pancreatitis. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2013, 8, e85870.	1.1	14
155	Minimally invasive versus open oesophagectomy for patients with oesophageal cancer: a multicentre, open-label, randomised controlled trial. <i>Lancet</i> , 2012, 379, 1887-1892.	6.3	1,429
156	Pancreatitis of biliary origin, optimal timing of cholecystectomy (PONCHO trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2012, 13, 225.	0.7	38
157	Clinical Outcome in Relation to Timing of Surgery in Chronic Pancreatitis. <i>Archives of Surgery</i> , 2012, 147, 925-32.	2.3	79
158	PREVENTion of a parastomal hernia with a prosthetic mesh in patients undergoing permanent end-colostomy; the PREVENT-trial: study protocol for a multicenter randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2012, 13, 226.	0.7	26
159	A Conservative and Minimally Invasive Approach to Necrotizing Pancreatitis Improves Outcome. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2011, 141, 1254-1263.	0.6	584
160	Traditional invasive vs. minimally invasive esophagectomy: a multi-center, randomized trial (TIME-trial). <i>BMC Surgery</i> , 2011, 11, 2.	0.6	126
161	Timing of cholecystectomy after mild biliary pancreatitis. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2011, 98, 1446-1454.	0.1	71
162	A prospective cohort study to investigate cost-minimisation, of Traditional open, open fAst track recovery and laParoscopic fAst track multimodal management, for surgical patients with colon carcinomas (TAPAS study). <i>BMC Surgery</i> , 2010, 10, 18.	0.6	21

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
163	A Step-up Approach or Open Necrosectomy for Necrotizing Pancreatitis. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2010, 362, 1491-1502.	13.9	1,358
164	The PROPATRIA trial: best practices at the time were followed. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2010, 375, 1249-1250.	6.3	4
165	Coping with increasing numbers of medical students in rural clinical schools: options and opportunities. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2009, 190, 101-101.	0.8	0
166	Life and death of the nasogastric tube in elective colonic surgery in the Netherlands. <i>Clinical Nutrition</i> , 2009, 28, 26-28.	2.3	17
167	To eat or not to eat: Facilitating early oral intake after elective colonic surgery in the Netherlands. <i>Clinical Nutrition</i> , 2009, 28, 29-33.	2.3	31
168	Timing and impact of infections in acute pancreatitis. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2009, 96, 267-273.	0.1	300
169	The Dutch multicenter experience of the Endo-Sponge treatment for anastomotic leakage after colorectal surgery. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2009, 23, 1379-1383.	1.3	136
170	Early Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography in Predicted Severe Acute Biliary Pancreatitis. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2009, 250, 68-75.	2.1	107
171	Intestinal Barrier Dysfunction in a Randomized Trial of a Specific Probiotic Composition in Acute Pancreatitis. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2009, 250, 712-719.	2.1	138
172	The impact of pain on daily activities following open mesh inguinal hernia repair. <i>Hernia: the Journal of Hernias and Abdominal Wall Surgery</i> , 2008, 12, 153-157.	0.9	36
173	An overview of the features influencing pain after inguinal hernia repair. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2008, 6, 351-356.	1.1	47
174	Probiotic prophylaxis in predicted severe acute pancreatitis: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2008, 371, 651-659.	6.3	1,239
175	Early closure of a multicenter randomized clinical trial of endoscopic stenting versus surgery for stage IV left-sided colorectal cancer. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2008, 40, 184-191.	1.0	280
176	Chronic pain after mesh repair of inguinal hernia: a systematic review. <i>American Journal of Surgery</i> , 2007, 194, 394-400.	0.9	330
177	Repair of Giant Midline Abdominal Wall Hernias: "Components Separation Technique" versus Prosthetic Repair. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2007, 31, 756-763.	0.8	254
178	Pain after Open Preperitoneal Repair versus Lichtenstein Repair: A Randomized Trial. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2007, 31, 1751-1757.	0.8	60
179	Colonoscopic perforations: a review of 30,366 patients. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2007, 21, 994-997.	1.3	283
180	Minimally invasive 'step-up approach' versus maximal necrosectomy in patients with acute necrotising pancreatitis (PANTER trial): design and rationale of a randomised controlled multicenter trial [ISRCTN13975868]. <i>BMC Surgery</i> , 2006, 6, 6.	0.6	158

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
181	Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute Appendicitis in Children: A Survey Among Dutch Surgeons and Comparison with Evidence-based Practice. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2006, 30, 512-518.	0.8	12
182	Hernia repair in elderly patients under unmonitored local anaesthesia is feasible. <i>Hernia: the Journal of Hernias and Abdominal Wall Surgery</i> , 2005, 9, 218-222.	0.9	35
183	A young female with severe upper abdominal pain and profuse vomiting. <i>European Respiratory Journal</i> , 2005, 26, 1188-1190.	3.1	2
184	Randomized trial comparing the Prolene® Hernia System, mesh plug repair and Lichtenstein method for open inguinal hernia repair. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2004, 92, 33-38.	0.1	89
185	Preferred Mesh-Based Inguinal Hernia Repair in a Teaching Setting. <i>Archives of Surgery</i> , 2004, 139, 1097.	2.3	21
186	“Components separation technique” for the repair of large abdominal wall hernias. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2003, 196, 32-37.	0.2	275
187	Can topical negative pressure be used to control complex enterocutaneous fistulae?. <i>Journal of Wound Care</i> , 2003, 12, 343-345.	0.5	17
188	Local Treatment of Generalised Peritonitis in Rats; Effects on Bacteria, Endotoxin and Mortality. <i>The European Journal of Surgery</i> , 1999, 165, 1072-1079.	1.0	19
189	Effect of Intraperitoneal Antimicrobials on the Concentration of Bacteria, Endotoxin, and Tumor Necrosis Factor in Abdominal Fluid and Plasma in Rats. <i>European Surgical Research</i> , 1996, 28, 351-360.	0.6	22
190	Translocation of Bacteria and Endotoxin in Organ Donors. <i>Archives of Surgery</i> , 1994, 129, 1063.	2.3	64
191	Selective decontamination of the digestive tract prevents secondary infection of the abdominal cavity, and endotoxemia and mortality in sterile peritonitis in laboratory rats. <i>Critical Care Medicine</i> , 1992, 20, 1699-1704.	0.4	26
192	Selective Decontamination of the Digestive Tract in Hepatobiliary Surgery: A Concept. <i>HPB Surgery</i> , 1990, 2, 1-5.	2.2	5