Mark S Reed

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6682436/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

28190 35952 110 16,074 55 97 citations h-index g-index papers 111 111 111 14471 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Stakeholder participation for environmental management: A literature review. Biological Conservation, 2008, 141, 2417-2431.	1.9	2,828
2	Who's in and why? A typology of stakeholder analysis methods for natural resource management. Journal of Environmental Management, 2009, 90, 1933-1949.	3.8	1,503
3	What is Social Learning?. Ecology and Society, 2010, 15, .	1.0	931
4	Integrating local and scientific knowledge for environmental management. Journal of Environmental Management, 2010, 91, 1766-1777.	3.8	739
5	Stakeholder Analysis and Social Network Analysis in Natural Resource Management. Society and Natural Resources, 2009, 22, 501-518.	0.9	662
6	Bottom up and top down: Analysis of participatory processes for sustainability indicator identification as a pathway to community empowerment and sustainable environmental management. Journal of Environmental Management, 2006, 78, 114-127.	3.8	661
7	An adaptive learning process for developing and applying sustainability indicators with local communities. Ecological Economics, 2006, 59, 406-418.	2.9	536
8	Unpacking & Department of Social & Department	1.0	444
9	What are shared and social values of ecosystems?. Ecological Economics, 2015, 111, 86-99.	2.9	364
10	A theory of participation: what makes stakeholder and public engagement in environmental management work?. Restoration Ecology, 2018, 26, S7.	1.4	291
11	Five principles for the practice of knowledge exchange in environmental management. Journal of Environmental Management, 2014, 146, 337-345.	3.8	267
12	Ten essentials for action-oriented and second order energy transitions, transformations and climate change research. Energy Research and Social Science, 2018, 40, 54-70.	3.0	260
13	Adaptations to climate change, drought and desertification: local insights to enhance policy in southern Africa. Environmental Science and Policy, 2009, 12, 748-765.	2.4	243
14	Knowledge exchange: a review and research agenda for environmental management. Environmental Conservation, 2013, 40, 19-36.	0.7	240
15	Evaluating knowledge exchange in interdisciplinary and multi-stakeholder research. Global Environmental Change, 2014, 25, 204-220.	3.6	230
16	Environmental change in moorland landscapes. Earth-Science Reviews, 2007, 82, 75-100.	4.0	229
17	PARTICIPATORY INDICATOR DEVELOPMENT: WHAT CAN ECOLOGISTS AND LOCAL COMMUNITIES LEARN FROM EACH OTHER. Ecological Applications, 2008, 18, 1253-1269.	1.8	213
18	The Politics of Digital Agricultural Technologies: A Preliminary Review. Sociologia Ruralis, 2019, 59, 203-229.	1.8	200

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	How does the context and design of participatory decision making processes affect their outcomes? Evidence from sustainable land management in global drylands. Ecology and Society, 2016, 21, .	1.0	197
20	Combining analytical frameworks to assess livelihood vulnerability to climate change and analyse adaptation options. Ecological Economics, 2013, 94, 66-77.	2.9	179
21	Encouraging collaboration for the provision of ecosystem services at a landscape scale—Rethinking agri-environmental payments. Land Use Policy, 2012, 29, 244-249.	2.5	168
22	Participatory scenario development for environmental management: A methodological framework illustrated with experience from the UK uplands. Journal of Environmental Management, 2013, 128, 345-362.	3.8	166
23	Learning from Doing Participatory Rural Research: Lessons from the Peak District National Park. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 2006, 57, 259-275.	1.6	158
24	Carbon budget for a British upland peat catchment. Science of the Total Environment, 2003, 312, 133-146.	3.9	155
25	Shared values and deliberative valuation: Future directions. Ecosystem Services, 2016, 21, 358-371.	2.3	148
26	Land degradation and climate change: building climate resilience in agriculture. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 2017, 15, 450-459.	1.9	144
27	Governing longâ€term social–ecological change: what can the adaptive management and transition management approaches learn from each other?. Environmental Policy and Governance, 2009, 19, 3-20.	2.1	139
28	Integrating local and scientific knowledge for adaptation to land degradation: Kalahari rangeland management options. Land Degradation and Development, 2007, 18, 249-268.	1.8	136
29	Disintegrated development at the rural–urban fringe: Re-connecting spatial planning theory and practice. Progress in Planning, 2013, 83, 1-52.	2.3	134
30	Stakeholder engagement in the study and management of invasive alien species. Journal of Environmental Management, 2019, 229, 88-101.	3.8	134
31	If you have a hammer everything looks like a nail: traditional versus participatory model building. Interdisciplinary Science Reviews, 2007, 32, 263-282.	1.0	121
32	Crossâ€scale monitoring and assessment of land degradation and sustainable land management: A methodological framework for knowledge management. Land Degradation and Development, 2011, 22, 261-271.	1.8	116
33	Ecosystem services and the idea of shared values. Ecosystem Services, 2016, 21, 184-193.	2.3	114
34	Land degradation assessment in Southern Africa: integrating local and scientific knowledge bases. Land Degradation and Development, 2007, 18, 99-116.	1.8	102
35	A three-tiered approach to participatory vulnerability assessment in the Solomon Islands. Global Environmental Change, 2010, 20, 713-728.	3.6	101
36	The Deliberative Value Formation model. Ecosystem Services, 2016, 21, 194-207.	2.3	100

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Investing in nature: Developing ecosystem service markets for peatland restoration. Ecosystem Services, 2014, 9, 54-65.	2.3	98
38	A place-based approach to payments for ecosystem services. Global Environmental Change, 2017, 43, 92-106.	3.6	97
39	Adaptation strategies for reducing vulnerability to future environmental change. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 2010, 8, 414-422.	1.9	96
40	Competing Structure, Competing Views: The Role of Formal and Informal Social Structures in Shaping Stakeholder Perceptions. Ecology and Society, 2010, 15, .	1.0	91
41	Improving the link between payments and the provision of ecosystem services in agri-environment schemes. Ecosystem Services, 2014, 9, 44-53.	2.3	91
42	Anticipating Vulnerability to Climate Change in Dryland Pastoral Systems: Using Dynamic Systems Models for the Kalahari. Ecology and Society, 2010, 15, .	1.0	87
43	Evaluating impact from research: A methodological framework. Research Policy, 2021, 50, 104147.	3.3	83
44	â€Who's in the Network?' When Stakeholders Influence Data Analysis. Systemic Practice and Action Research, 2008, 21, 443-458.	1.0	82
45	Participatory selection process for indicators of rangeland condition in the Kalahari. Geographical Journal, 2002, 168, 224-234.	1.6	81
46	The future of the uplands. Land Use Policy, 2009, 26, S204-S216.	2.5	80
47	A structured multi-stakeholder learning process for Sustainable Land Management. Journal of Environmental Management, 2012, 107, 52-63.	3.8	72
48	Relationships between anthropogenic pressures and ecosystem functions in UK blanket bogs: Linking process understanding to ecosystem service valuation. Ecosystem Services, 2014, 9, 5-19.	2.3	72
49	Anticipating and Managing Future Trade-offs and Complementarities between Ecosystem Services. Ecology and Society, 2013, 18, .	1.0	70
50	The ripple effect: Institutionalising pro-environmental values to shift societal norms and behaviours. Ecosystem Services, 2016, 21, 230-240.	2.3	69
51	Integrating Methods for Developing Sustainability Indicators to Facilitate Learning and Action. Ecology and Society, 2005, 10, .	1.0	69
52	Afforestation, agricultural abandonment and intensification: Competing trajectories in semi-arid Mediterranean agro-ecosystems. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 2012, 159, 90-104.	2.5	64
53	Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis to identify dryland ecosystem service trade-offs under different rangeland land uses. Ecosystem Services, 2016, 17, 142-151.	2.3	62
54	KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT FOR LAND DEGRADATION MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT: AN ANALYSIS OF CONTEMPORARY THINKING. Land Degradation and Development, 2013, 24, 307-322.	1.8	61

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	A New Dryland Development Paradigm Grounded in Empirical Analysis of Dryland Systems Science. Land Degradation and Development, 2017, 28, 1952-1961.	1.8	61
56	Biodiversity, land degradation, and climate change: Participatory planning in Romania. Applied Geography, 2009, 29, 77-90.	1.7	54
57	High levels of participation in conservation projects enhance learning. Conservation Letters, 2011, 4, 116-126.	2.8	54
58	Participatory environmental assessment in drylands: Introducing a new approach. Journal of Arid Environments, 2012, 77, 1-10.	1.2	53
59	The politics of research impact: academic perceptions of the implications for research funding, motivation and quality. British Politics, 2018, 13, 295-311.	0.8	53
60	Implementing the UNCCD: Participatory challenges. Natural Resources Forum, 2007, 31, 198-211.	1.8	52
61	Farmer typology, future scenarios and the implications for ecosystem service provision: a case study from south-eastern Spain. Regional Environmental Change, 2013, 13, 601-614.	1.4	49
62	Have farmers had enough of experts?. Environmental Management, 2022, 69, 31-44.	1.2	48
63	Building university-based boundary organisations that facilitate impacts on environmental policy and practice. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0203752.	1.1	44
64	Is this what success looks like? Mismatches between the aims, claims, and evidence used to demonstrate impact from knowledge exchange processes at the interface of environmental science and policy. Environmental Science and Policy, 2021, 125, 202-218.	2.4	44
65	Can carbon offsetting pay for upland ecological restoration?. Science of the Total Environment, 2009, 408, 26-36.	3.9	42
66	Linking degradation assessment to sustainable land management: A decision support system for Kalahari pastoralists. Journal of Arid Environments, 2010, 74, 149-155.	1.2	39
67	Participatory Evaluation of Monitoring and Modeling of Sustainable Land Management Technologies in Areas Prone to Land Degradation. Environmental Management, 2014, 54, 1022-1042.	1.2	38
68	Pathways to policy impact: a new approach for planning and evidencing research impact. Evidence and Policy, 2018, 14, 431-458.	0.5	37
69	Learning from Experiences in Adaptive Action Research: a Critical Comparison of two Case Studies Applying Participatory Scenario Development and Modelling Approaches. Environmental Policy and Governance, 2011, 21, 433-453.	2.1	36
70	Land Degradation, Desertification and Climate Change., 0, , .		34
71	A framework for scaling sustainable land management options. Land Degradation and Development, 2018, 29, 3272-3284.	1.8	34
72	Monitoring and assessing the influence of social, economic and policy factors on sustainable land management in drylands. Land Degradation and Development, 2011, 22, 240-247.	1.8	32

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Integrative geospatial approaches for the comprehensive monitoring and assessment of land management sustainability: Rationale, Potentials, and Characteristics. Land Degradation and Development, 2011, 22, 226-239.	1.8	31
74	Using scenarios to explore UK upland futures. Futures, 2009, 41, 619-630.	1.4	29
75	Modelling the coupled dynamics of moorland management and upland vegetation. Journal of Applied Ecology, 2009, 46, 278-288.	1.9	28
76	From Framework to Action: The DESIRE Approach to Combat Desertification. Environmental Management, 2014, 54, 935-950.	1.2	27
77	Involving society in restoration and conservation. Restoration Ecology, 2018, 26, S3.	1.4	27
78	Predicting the future carbon budget of an upland peat catchment. Climatic Change, 2007, 85, 139-158.	1.7	23
79	Integrating different understandings of landscape stewardship into the design of agri-environmental schemes. Environmental Conservation, 2016, 43, 350-358.	0.7	23
80	Property rights in UK uplands and the implications for policy and management. Ecological Economics, 2010, 69, 1355-1363.	2.9	22
81	Combining social network approaches with social theories to improve understanding of natural resource governance., 0,, 44-72.		21
82	Integrated framework for stakeholder participation: Methods and tools for identifying and addressing human–wildlife conflicts. Conservation Science and Practice, 2021, 3, e399.	0.9	21
83	Effects of grazing and cultivation on forest plant communities in Mount Elgon National Park, Uganda. African Journal of Ecology, 2000, 38, 154-162.	0.4	16
84	Using stakeholder and social network analysis to support participatory processes. International Journal of Biodiversity Science and Management, 2006, 2, 249-252.	0.7	16
85	Epistemic responsibility as an edifying force in academic research: investigating the moral challenges and opportunities of an impact agenda in the UK and Australia. Palgrave Communications, 2017, 3, .	4.7	16
86	Social capital factors affecting uptake of sustainable soil management practices: a literature review. Emerald Open Research, 0, 2, 8.	0.0	16
87	Soil-Improving Cropping Systems for Sustainable and Profitable Farming in Europe. Land, 2022, 11, 780.	1.2	16
88	Writing impact case studies: a comparative study of high-scoring and low-scoring case studies from REF2014. Palgrave Communications, 2020, 6, .	4.7	15
89	Regional consequences of the way land users respond to future water availability in Murcia, Spain. Regional Environmental Change, 2013, 13, 615-632.	1.4	13
90	Social network analysis for stakeholder selection and the links to social learning and adaptive co-management., 2011,, 95-118.		12

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	What does the future hold for semi-arid Mediterranean agro-ecosystems? –ÂExploring cellular automata and agent-based trajectoriesÂofÂfuture land-use change. Applied Geography, 2012, 35, 474-490.	1.7	12
92	The tree of participation: a new model for inclusive decision-making. Community Development Journal, 0, , .	0.6	11
93	Integrating ecosystem markets to co-ordinate landscape-scale public benefits from nature. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0258334.	1.1	11
94	Impact Culture: Transforming How Universities Tackle Twenty First Century Challenges. Frontiers in Sustainability, 2021, 2, .	1.3	10
95	Allelopathic potential of five agroforestry trees, Botswana. African Journal of Ecology, 2007, 45, 590-593.	0.4	8
96	Managing Peatland Ecosystem Services: Current UK Policy and Future Challenges in a Changing World. Scottish Geographical Journal, 2011, , 1-22.	0.4	8
97	Renewing Universities in Our Climate Emergency: Stewarding System Change and Transformation. Frontiers in Sustainability, 2021, 2, .	1.3	8
98	Habitat monitoring in the wider countryside: A case study on the pursuit of innovation in red deer management. Journal of Environmental Management, 2013, 128, 779-786.	3.8	7
99	Mediation and conservation conflicts: from top-down to bottom-up., 2015,, 226-239.		6
100	Social capital factors affecting uptake of sustainable soil management practices: a literature review. Emerald Open Research, 0, 2, 8.	0.0	6
101	Perceived Causes and Solutions to Soil Degradation in the UK and Norway. Land, 2022, 11, 131.	1.2	6
102	Lessons Learned from a Computer-Assisted Participatory Planning and Management Process in the Peak District National Park, England., 2009, , 189-202.		5
103	Evidence-informed climate policy: mobilising strategic research and pooling expertise for rapid evidence generation. Climatic Change, 2019, 156, 171-190.	1.7	5
104	Effects ofÂhedgerow enhancement as a net zero strategy on farmland biodiversity: a rapid review. Emerald Open Research, 0, 3, 23.	0.0	5
105	Linking ecosystem changes to their social outcomes: Lost in translation. Ecosystem Services, 2021, 50, 101327.	2.3	4
106	What can we learn from anthropological practice to conduct socially just participatory action research?. Educational Action Research, 2021, 29, 526-552.	0.8	3
107	Improving the evidence base for delivery of public goods from public money in agri-environment schemes. Emerald Open Research, 0, 2, 57.	0.0	3
108	Participatory Land Degradation Assessment. , 2008, , 719-729.		1

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Can digital reinvention of ecological monitoring remove barriers to its adoption by practitioners? A case study of deer management in Scotland. Journal of Environmental Management, 2016, 184, 186-195.	3.8	О
110	Evidence-basedÂresearchÂimpactÂpraxis:ÂIntegrating scholarship and practice to ensure research benefits society. Open Research Europe, 0, 1, 137.	2.0	0